

Prepared by: **TECHNIKON LLC** 5301 Price Avenue V McClellan, CA, 95652 V (916) 929-8001 www.technikonllc.com

US Army Contract DAAE30-02-C-1095 FY 2003 Tasks

Draft of Process Improvement: Klein Sand Mixer & Thwing-Albert Tensile Tester

Technikon #1410-610 FX

July 2004 (revised for public distribution)











DAIMLERCHRYSLER Find Motor Company General Motors.

this page intentionally left blank

Draft of Process Improvement: Klein Sand Mixer & Thwing-Albert Tensile Tester

Technikon # 1410-610 FX

This report has been reviewed for completeness and accuracy and approved for release by the following:

Process Engineering Manager:	// Original Signed //	
	Steven Knight	Date
VP Measurement Technologies:	// Original Signed //	
	Clifford Glowacki, CIH	Date
VP Operations:	// Original Signed //	
	George Crandell	Date
President:	// Original Signed //	
	William Walden	Date

The data contained in this report were developed to assess the capability of the test apparatus relative to apparatus accepted as standard and used in the industry. You may not obtain the same results in your facility.

this page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

Exect	tive Summary.		1
1.0	Introducti	on	5
1	.1 Back	ground	5
1	.2 CERI	P Objectives	5
1	.3 Repo	rt Organization	6
1	.4 Speci	fic Test Plans and Objectives	6
2.0	Test Meth	odology	9
2	.1 Descr	ription of Testing Program and Equipment	9
		Test Plan Review and Approval	12
		Data Reduction, Tabulation and Preliminary Report Preparation:	13
		Report Preparation and Review:	13
2	.3 Quali	ty Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures	. 13
3.0	Test Resu	lts	15
4.0	Discussio	n of Results	21
		Choice of Sand for Making Dogbones for Tensile Testing.	21
		Effect of batch size on Hobart mixer mixedness	21
		Comparison of Mixedness of Hobart Epicentric and Klein Oscillatory Sand Mixers.	21
		Core Box Cavity Comparison of Tensile Strengths	22
		Comparison of the Thwing-Albert & Dietert 405 Core Tensile Testers.	22

List of Figures

Figure 2-1	Tree Panning Tool for Sand Sampling	9
Figure 2-2	Sand Splitter for Sample Homogenization	9
Figure 2-3	Programmable LOI Oven	9
Figure 2-4	Hobart Epicentric Sand Mixer	10
Figure 2-5	Klein Eccentric Oscillating Sand Mixer	10
Figure 2-6	Klein Oscillating Sand Mixer Bowl	10
Figure 2-7	Redford/Carver Dogbone Core Machine	11
Figure 2-8	Three-On Dogbone Core Box	11
Figure 2-9	Weighing Dogbones to 0.1 Gram Resolution	11

Figure 2-10	Thwing-Albert QC-3A and Dietert 405 Tensile Testers in Temperature Controlled Room
Figure 2-11	Tested Dogbones12
Figure 3-1a	Accumulative LOI Average Values of a Sequence of Determinations on Four (4) Sands Evaluated for Use as a Sand to Make Dogbone Tensile Test Pieces
Figure 3-1b	Accumulative LOI Standard Deviation of Sequence of LOI Measurements on Sands Evaluated for Use as a Sand to Make Dogbone Tensile Test Pieces
Figure 3-2	Data from Tables 3-3a and 3-3b. The Two Mixers are Virtually Indistinguishable.18

List of Tables

Table 1	Sand Selection, Mixer Batch Size, Mixer Selection, Core Box Cavity Bias, and Tensile Test Comparisons
Table 1-1	Test Plan Summary7
Table 2-1	Process Parameters Measured
Table 3-1	Indigenous LOI of Raw Sands Considered for Making Tensile Test Specimens15
Table 3-2	Average and Standard Deviation of LOI Measurements Made on 2000, 3000, & 4000 Gram Batches of Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in the Hobart Mixer
Table 3-3a	Hobart Mixer Mixedness. Summary of Standard Deviation of LOI for Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in Hobart Mixer, Sampled 12 Locations
Table 3-3b	Klein Mixer Mixedness Index. Summary of Standard Deviation of LOI for Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in Klein Mixer, Sampled 12 Locations
Table 3-4	Cavity Variation: Tensile Strength (psi) for 3 Cavity Corebox by Core Box Cavity for Wedron Sand Mixed in Hobart Mixer. All Cavities are Virtually Indistinguishable
Table 3-5	Average and Standard Deviation of Tensile Strength for Dogbones Containing 1.4 % Binder, Made from Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in the Hobart Mixer for 4 Minutes or Mixed in the Klein Mixer for 45 Seconds and Made Within 15-45 Minutes After Mixing in the New Three-On Core Box, Aged 24 Hours and Tested on the Thwing-Albert or Dietert 405 Tensile Tester

Appendices

Appendix A	Approved Test Plan and Instructions for Test FX	23
Appendix B	Process Data Details	35
Appendix C	Glossary	51

Executive Summary

The objective of this testing was to determine 1) the capability of the Klein eccentric oscillating production sand mixer to produce uniformly coated resin bonded core sand and 2) the relative capability of the Thwing-Albert QC-3A and the Dietert 405 Universal tensile testers to provide representative tensile data from test core "dogbone" test specimens.

The outcome of both sand mixing and tensile testing are subject to multi variable influences. The mixing process in addition to the geometry and speed of the mixer is influenced by the amount of binder to be incorporated, the temperature dependent viscosity of the binder, the adhesion of the binder to the sand, the weight of the sand load being mixed, the volume of the sand load relative to the mixer bowl and implements as well as the particle sizing and specific gravity of the sand itself. Tensile testing in addition to design considerations of the tester responds to the equipment & sand temperature, electronic stability of the readouts, uniformity of the binder throughout the sand mass, the dogbone manufacturing techniques, and again the sand sizing distribution.

In order to isolate the features of the tensile tester that affect the tensile values, the sand dogbone itself had to be made in a very uniform reproducible manner and the mixing of the sand going into the dogbones had to be thorough and consistent.

The degree of mixing thoroughness or "mixedness" is a measure of uniformity of the distribution of the binder throughout the sand but it requires a means of measurement. LOI is a valid measure of binder content. Variability in LOI measurements made on multiple samples sourced from various selected locations in each sand batch and from multiple batches was chosen as the criterion for measuring "mixedness". When using the LOI as an adjudicating test, samples from each variable were burned together in the oven on each cycle and several replicating runs were done with each variable's sample occupying a different location in the oven on each run.

In order to minimize the interference from the sand itself on the LOI's measurement of weight loss, four (4) sands where characterized for minimal indigenous LOI value and variability. Wedron 530 silica sand was chosen as the test sand on this basis.

The Hobart Epicentric lab mixer was chosen as a reference mixer because it is a well known mixer with a history of being thorough. Measurement of the LOI variability of sand mixed in the model K45SSWH Hobart mixer in a series of LOI tests based on batch size clearly pointed to a 4000 gram batch as producing sand batches with minimal variation in mixedness. The mixing protocol as developed at Ashland Chemical Co. called for a 4 minute total mixer cycle time in this type of mixer for doing core binder tests.

The Klein E101D099 eccentric oscillating production mixer, by contrast, was run at the factory set batch size of about 50 pounds and cycle of 45 seconds.

A series of 10 mixes in each mixer was LOI tested. Based on the LOI measurement and standard deviation of the series of replicating tests from 12 locations in each mixer the two mixers were indistinguishable. The Hobart K45SSWH mixer was chosen from an historical perspective as the

standard and the Klein E101D099 mixer the comparative to produce mixed sand for dogbone tensile test specimen manufacture for the tensile test machine comparison.

The tensile measurement is a force divided by cross sectional area measurement. In order to control the cross section at the fracture zone a fully enclosed dogbone core box was chosen over an open faced multi-cavity core die. This decision mandated a blown core rather than a hand packed one which carried the benefit of removing the operator behavior from the list of variables contributing to inconsistency of the tensile test piece, the dogbone. A new three (3) cavity endblown no-draft, no-slide-surface core box was manufactured to replace the two (2) cavity sideblown core box previously used.

A set of 60 TEA gassed dogbones was made in the new 3-cavity core box from Hobart mixed sand aged 15 minutes to 45 minutes to determine if a cavity positional bias on the tensile strength existed in the core box. The center cavity exhibited a 1-2 % superiority over the outboard cavities however the superiority was only 0.5 standard deviation of the tensile strength and therefore the cavities would have to be considered indistinguishable.

Finally 60 TEA gassed sets of three (3) cores were made with Wedron 530 sand having 1.4 % Ashland ISOCURE ® 305LF/904GR binder mixed in 5 batches in the Hobart mixer for 4 minutes at 72-77 °F. The Cores were all batch, blow cycle and core cavity identified, aged 24 hours and randomized (within cavity) to the two tensile machines and broken. The same procedure was repeated using two sand batches from the Klein mixer a total of 360 dogbones.

The Thwing –Albert tensile tester measured the tensile strength of randomized dogbones of the same composition, same sand mixer, and same core making method 64 psi higher than the Dietert 405 tensile tester. This same difference in tensile strength occurred with dogbones whose sand was mixed in either mixer.

Both Tensile testers measured the tensile strength of randomized dogbones of the same composition, and same core making method 24 psi higher for sand mixed in the Hobart mixer vs. sand mixed in the Klein mixer.

Dogbones whose sands were mixed in either mixer gave tensile strength measurements that had less variability using the Dietert 405 tensile tester vs. the Thwing-Albert machine.

The most consistent tensile values came from sand mixed in the Klein mixer tested on the Dietert 405 tensile tester. The least consistent was from sand mixed in the Hobart mixer tested on the Thwing-Albert tensile tester.

Table 1 summarizes the numeric results of this evaluation:

Process Event	Mixer	Variable Criterion		Average	Standard Deviation
	Hobart	Wexford W450 Lakesand	LOI, %	0.037	0.038
Sand		Amador A-70 Silica		0.125	0.018
Selection		US Silica OK-90 Silica		0.114	0.015
		Technisand Wedron 530 Silica		0.077	0.015
Miyor Potoh	Hobart	2000 gram batch	LOI %	1.383	0.032
Size		3000 gram batch		1.340	0.028
		4000 gram batch		1.346	0.015
Mixing		Hobart Epicentric mixer	LOI, %	1.187	0.116
Homogeneity		Klein Eccentric mixer		1.195	0.093
	Hobart	North cavity	Thwing-Albert Tensile	1.3	3.7
Core Box Cavity Bias		Center Cavity	Strength, psi: Cavity	-3.1	6.7
		South Cavity	difference from cavity average	1.8	7.1
Tensile Strength Capability	Hobart	Thwing-Albert QC-3A	Tensile	301.5	21.62
		Deitert 405	strength, psi	237.7	16.20
	Klein	Thwing-Albert QC-3A	Tensile	277.7	16.44
	-	Deitert 405	strength, psi	213.7	9.03

Table 1Sand Selection, Mixer Batch Size, Mixer Selection, Core Box Cavity
Bias, and Tensile Test Comparisons.

this page intentionally left blank

1.0 Introduction

1.1 BACKGROUND

Technikon LLC is a privately held contract research organization located in McClellan, California, a suburb of Sacramento. Technikon offers emissions research services to industrial and go vernment clients specializing in the metal casting and mobile emissions areas. Technikon operates the Casting Emission Reduction Program (CERP). CERP is a cooperative initiative between the Department of Defense (US Army) and the United States Council for Automotive Research (USCAR). Its purpose is to evaluate alternative casting materials and processes that are designed to reduce air emissions and/or produce more efficient casting processes. Other technical partners directly supporting the project include: the American Foundry Society (AFS); the Casting Industry Suppliers Association (CISA); the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA); and the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

1.2 CERP OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of CERP is to evaluate the impact of new materials, equipment, and processes on airborne emissions from the production of metal castings. To accomplish this objective, the Technikon facility has been created to evaluate alternate materials and production processes designed to achieve significant airborne emission reductions, especially for organic Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). HAP emissions reduction from the alternative materials, equipment and production processes is expressed as a comparison to similar emissions from a baseline or reference test. These alternate materials, equipment, and processes may need to be further adapted and defined so that they will integrate into current commercial green sand casting facilities smoothly and with minimal capital expenditure.

Testing is conducted in order to evaluate the impact on air emissions from a proposed alternative material, equipment or process. The Technikon foundry is a simple, general-purpose mechanically assisted foundry, which was adapted and instrumented to allow the collection of detailed emission measurements, using methods based on USEPA air testing protocols. Measurements are taken during pouring, casting cooling, and shakeout processes performed on <u>discrete</u> mold and/or core packages under tightly controlled conditions not feasible in a commercial foundry.

Castings are randomly selected to evaluate the impact of the alternate material, equipment, or process on the quality of the casting.

The results of the testing conducted at the foundry are not suitable for use as general emission factors. The specific materials used (gray iron from an electric melt furnace, greensand with seacal, and a cold box core with a relatively old resin binding system); the specific castings produced the specific production processes employed and the specific testing conditions (relatively low stack velocity, long sampling times, high capture rates, produce emission results unique to the materials, castings, casting processes and measurement conditions used. The data produced are intended to demonstrate the <u>relative</u> emission reductions from the use of alternative materials, equipment and processes, and not the absolute emission levels that would be experienced in commercial foundries. A number of process parameters such as casting surface area, sand to

metal ratios, pouring temperatures, stack flow rates, LOI levels, seacoal and resin contents, and the type of foundry (Cope & Drag versus Disa for example) can have a significant impact on actual emission levels.

The foundry provides simultaneous detailed individual emission measurements using methods based on US EPA protocols for the melting, pouring, sand preparation, mold making, and core making processes. The core making area of the foundry contains three core blowers, a Georg Fischer for the preparation of automotive block cores, a Redford that is used for the production of step cores, and a second smaller Redford to produce dogbone tensile test specimens as in this test

1.3 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This report has been designed to document the methodology and results of a specific test plan that was used to evaluate the variability of mixing and tensile testing. Section 2 of this report includes a summary of the methodologies used for data collection and analysis, QA/QC procedures, and data management and reduction methods. Specific data collected during this test are summarized in Section 3 of this report with detailed data included in appendices of this report.

Section 4 of this report contains a discussion of the results.

The raw data for this test series are included in a data binder that is maintained at the Technikon facility.

1.4 SPECIFIC TEST PLANS AND OBJECTIVES

This report contains the results of testing performed to assess the impact of mixing sand for tensile test specimens, dogbones, using the Hobart Epicentric and the Klein eccentric oscillating core sand mixer. Secondly, the report contains the results of testing to compare tensile testing measurements made on the Thwing-Albert QC-3A tensile tester to those made on the Deitert 405 tensile tester. All tensile test dogbones were prepared in a manner to be statistically indistinguishable

A summary of the test plan for the individual test series is shown in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1	Test Plan Summary
-----------	-------------------

Parameter Varied	Reference equipment	Comparative equipment		
Sand mixers	Hobart K45SSWH	Klein E101D099		
Tensile testers	Deitert 405 Universal	Thwing-Albert QC- 3A		
Test sands	Wexford W450 Lakesand	Wedron 530 Silica	Amador A-70 Silica	US Silica Oklahoma 90
Test type	Binder	Binder content	Adjudication basis	Repetitions
Selection of test sand	None	NA	LOI content & LOI variability	12 each sand
Reference mixer batch size sele ction	Ashland Chemical ISOCURE® LF305/904GR	1.4 %	LOI variability	12 locations each of three (3) batch sizes
Selection of mixer for dogbone sand	Ashland Chemical ISOCURE® LF305/904GR	1.4 %	"Mixedness" LOI variability	12 locations in each of 10 batches from each mixer 240 total
Core box qualification	Ashland Chemical ISOCURE® LF305/904GR	1.4 %	Tensile test Thwing-Albert	30 qualified dogbones from each cavity
Compare Tensile testers	Ashland Chemical ISOCURE® LF305/904GR	1.4 %	Tensile test	30 qualified dogbones from each mixer from each core cavity for each tensile tester 360 total

this page intentionally left blank

2.0 Test Methodology

2.1 DESCRIPTION OF TESTING PROGRAMAND EQUIPMENT

The testing was conducted at the Technikon foundry core room and materials laboratory using methods based on the AFS Mold & Core Testing manual 3rd addition. No air emission measurements were required for this test series. The first objective of the testing was to evaluate the mixing characteristics of the Klein sand mixer relative the reference Hobart laboratory sand mixer in order to choose the mixer that would contribute to the most uniform dogbone tensile test piece. The second objective was to evaluate by comparison the tensile testing capability of the Thwing-Albert QC-3A tensile tester relative to the reference Dietert 405 tensile tester.

The outcome of both sand mixing and tensile testing are subject to multi variable influences. The mixing process in addition to the geometry and speed of the mixer is influenced by the amount of binder to be incorporated, the temperature dependent viscosity of the binder, the adhesion of the binder to the sand, the weight of the sand load being mixed, the volume of the sand load relative to the mixer bowl and implement volume as well as the particle sizing of the sand itself. Tensile testing in addition to geometric design considerations of the tester responds to the equipment and sand temperature, stability and resolution of the readouts, uniformity of the binder throughout the sand mass, the dogbone manufacturing techniques, and again the sand sizing distribution as it affects the packing density of the sand.

In order to isolate the features of the tensile tester that effect the tensile values, the sand dogbone itself had to be made in a very uniform reproducible manner and the mixing of the sand going into the dogbones had to be thorough and consistent.

Figure 2-1 Tree Panning Tool for Sand Sampling











The degree of mixing thoroughness or "mixedness" is a measure of uniformity of the distribution of the binder throughout the sand. It requires a means of measurement. LOI is a valid measure proportional to binder content. Variability in LOI measurements made on multiple samples sourced from various selected locations in each sand batch and from multiple batches was chosen as the criterion for measuring "mixedness". LOI measurements are made by measuring the weight loss from having burned a sample in air in an oven for 2 hours at 1800°F. When using the LOI as an adjudicating test biases in the burning of the samples are mitigated by placing the samples from each process variable together in the oven on each burn cycle and replicating the burns with each variable's sample occupying a different location in the oven.

Raw sand carries an indigenous LOI value resulting from breakdown of limestone and clay minerals present. In order to minimize the interference from the sand itself on the LOIs measurement of weight loss, four (4) sands where characterized for minimal indigenous LOI value and variability. Wedron 530 silica sand was chosen as the test sand on this basis. See table 3-1

The Hobart Epicentric lab mixer was chosen as a reference mixer because it is a well known mixer with a history of being thorough. Measurement of the LOI variability of sand mixed in the Hobart K45SSWH mixer in a series of LOI tests based on batch size clearly pointed to a 4000 gram batch as producing sand batches of Wedron 530 with minimal variation. The protocol, as developed at Ashland Chemical Co., called for a 4 minute total mixer cycle time in this type of mixer for doing core binder tests. See Table 3-2

The Klein eccentric oscillating sand mixer, by contrast, was run at the factory set batch size, about 50 pounds, and a total cycle time of 45 seconds.

A series of 10 mixes in each mixer was LOI tested. Based on the LOI measurement and standard deviation of the series of replicating tests from 12 locations in each mixer, the two mixers were indistinguishable. The Hobart K45SSWH mixer was chosen from an historical perspective as the standard and the Klein mixer the comparative to produce mixed sand for dogbone tensile test specimen manufacture for the tensile test machine comparison. The Klein mixer produced a slightly smaller variability. See Tables 3-3a and 3-3b.

Figure 2-4 Hobart Epicentric Sand Mixer



Figure 2-5 Klein Eccentric Oscillating Sand Mixer



Figure 2-6 Klein Eccentric Sand Mixer Bowl



Figure 2-7 Redford/Carver Dogbone Core Machine



The tensile measurement is a force divided by cross sectional area measurement. In order to control the cross section at the

fracture zone a fully enclosed dogbone core box was chosen over an open multi-cavity core die. This decision mandated a blown core rather than a hand packed one which carried the benefit of removing the operator behav-

Figure 2-8 Three-On Dogbone Core Box



ior from the list of variables contributing to inconsistency of the tensile test piece, the dogbone. A new three (3) cavity end-blown no-draft, no-slide-surface core box was manufactured to replace the two (2) cavity sideblown core box previously used. Re-orientation of the blow direction may be the single factor having the great-

est influence on the change in the average core tensile values compared to historical values using the same binder system and sand. A set 60 dogbones made from mixed sand aged 15 minutes to 45 minutes was made on the new 3-cavity box to determine if a cavity positional bias existed in the box. See Table 3-4

Finally 60 TEA gassed sets of three (3) cores were made with Wedron 530 sand having 1.4% Ashland ISOCURE® 305LF/904GR binder mixed in 5 batches





in the Hobart mixer for 4 minutes at 72- $77^{\circ}F$ The Cores were all blow batch. cvcle and cavitv identified. aged 24 hours, randomized (within cavity) to the two tenFigure 2-10 Thwing-Albert QC-3A and Dietert 405 Tensile Testers in Temperature Controlled Room





Figure 2-11 Tested Dogbones

The same procedure was repeated using a single sand batch from the Klein mixer. See Table 3-5.

Test Plan Review and Approval

The proposed test plan was reviewed by the Technikon personnel and approved. Table 2-1 lists the process parameters that were monitored during each test. The analytical equipment and methods used are also listed.

Parameter	Analytical Equipment and Methods		
Core weight	Mettler SB12001 electronic platform scale (gravimetric)		
Sand Temperature	Fluke 52 thermocouple		
LOI	Denver analytic (AFS procedure 5100-00-S)		
Sand batching weight	Mettler SB12001 electronic platform scale (gravimetric		
Core machine pressure	Machine mounted pressure gauge		
Tea gas & purge air temperature	Chromo lox temperature controller		
Tensile tester ambient temperature	Room ambient air temperature control system		

Table 2-1	Process Parameters Measured
-----------	-----------------------------

Data Reduction, Tabulation and Preliminary Report Preparation:

The analytical results of the LOI and tensile tests are included in Section 3 of this report. Calibrations and other QA/QC measurements are kept on file at Technikon.

Report Preparation and Review:

This Preliminary Draft Report was reviewed by the Process Team to ensure its completeness, consistency with the test plan, and adherence to the prescribed QA/QC procedures. Appropriate observations, conclusions and recommendations are added to the report to produce a Draft Report. The Draft Report is reviewed by the Vice President-Measurement Technologies, the Vice President-Operations, the Manager-Process Engineering, the Technikon President, and the USCAR Representative.

2.3 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC) PROCEDURES

Detailed QA/QC and data validation procedures for the process parameters, are included in the "Technikon Testing, Quality Control and Quality Assurance, and Data Validation Procedures Manual" In order to ensure the timely review of critical quality control parameters, the following procedures are followed:

• Immediately following the individual runs performed for each test, specific process parameters were reviewed by the Manager-Process Engineering to ensure that the parameters are maintained within the prescribed control ranges. Where data are not within the prescribed ranges, the Manager-Process Engineering and the Vice President-Operations determine whether the individual test samples should be invalidated or flagged for further analysis.

this page intentionally left blank

3.0 Test Results

Table 3-1. Average and standard deviation of LOI for 4 sands evaluated as a sand to make tensile test dogbone test pieces.

Figure 3-1a Values of LOI from 4 sands evaluated for use as a sand to make dogbone tensile test pieces.

Figure 3-1b Aggregate Standard Deviation from sequence of LOI measurements from sands evaluated for use as a sand to make dogbone tensile test pieces.

Table 3-2 Average and Standard deviation of LOI measurements made on 2000, 3000, & 4000 gram sand batches of Wedron 530 mixed in the Hobart sand mixer.

Table 3-3a Hobart mixer mixedness. Summary of Standard deviation of LOI for Wedron 530 sand mixed in Hobart mixer, sampled 12 locations.

Table 3-3b Klein mixer mixedness index. Summary of Standard deviation of LOI for Wedron 530 sand mixed in Klein mixer, sampled 12 locations.

Figure 3-2. Data from Tables 3-3a and 3-3b.

Table 3-4 Standard deviation of tensile strength for 3 cavity core box by core box cavity for Wedron sand mixed in Hobart mixer.

Table 3-5. Average and Standard deviation of tensile strength for dogbones containing 1.4 % binder, made from Wedron 530 sand mixed in the Hobart mixer for 4 minutes or mixed in the Klein mixer for 45 seconds and made within 15-45 minutes after mixing in the new three-on core box, aged 24 hours and tested on the Thwing-Albert or Dietert 405 tensile tester The details of this data are in appendix B.

Table 3-1Indigenous LOI of Raw Sands Considered for Making Tensile TestSpecimens.

	A-70	OK 90	Wedron 530	Wexford W450
Average % LOI	0.125	0.114	0.077	0.366
LOI St Dev	0.018	0.015	0.015	0.038

Figure 3-1a Accumulative LOI Average Values of a Sequence of Determinations on Four (4) Sands Evaluated for Use as a Sand to Make Dogbone Tensile Test Pieces.



Figure 3-1b Accumulative LOI Standard Deviation of Sequence of LOI Measurements on Sands Evaluated for Use as a Sand to Make Dogbone Tensile Test Pieces.



Table 3-2Average and Standard Deviation of LOI Measurements Made on 2000,
3000, & 4000 Gram Batches of Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in the Hobart Mixer.

		2000		3000		4000
LOI Sequence	Location	gram	Location	gram	Location	gram
No.	ID	batch LOI	ID	batch LOI	ID	batch LOI
1	2000-12-1	1.3764	3000-12-1	1.3192	4000-12-1	1.3343
2	2000-6-1	1.4226	3000-6-1	1.3299	4000-6-1	1.3452
3	2000-3-1	1.3828	3000-3-1	1.3190	4000-3-1	1.3397
4	2000-9-1	1.4327	3000-9-1	1.3090	4000-9-1	1.3417
5	2000-12-2	1.3821	3000-12-2	1.3492	4000-12-2	1.3312
6	2000-6-2	1.3429	3000-6-2	1.3107	4000-6-2	1.3270
7	2000-3-2	1.3891	3000-3-2	1.3155	4000-3-2	1.3314
8	2000-9-2	1.3468	3000-9-2	1.3763	4000-9-2	1.3389
9	2000-12-3	1.3585	3000-12-3	1.3710	4000-12-3	1.3581
10	2000-6-3	1.3641	3000- 6-3	1.3278	4000-6-3	1.3633
11	2000-3-3	1.3673	3000-3-3	1.3884	4000-3-3	1.3601
12	2000-9-3	1.4355	3000-9-3	1.3701	4000-9-3	1.3775
Average		1.3834		1.3405		1.3457
St. Dev.		0.0315		0.0281		0.0152

Table 3-3aHobart Mixer Mixedness. Summary of Standard Deviation of LOI for
Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in Hobart Mixer, Sampled 12 Locations.

	Batch					Batch				Batch	Position
	number 1	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	number 6	Batch	Batch	Batch	number	averages
Position in bowl	see note	number 2	number 3	number 4	number 5	see note	number 7	number 8	number 9	10	see note
H 3-1	1.196	1.198	1.260	1.286	1.294	1.305	1.450	1.422	1.451	1.432	1.349
H 6-1	1.282	1.264	1.348	1.321	1.315	1.314	1.380	1.344	1.399	1.365	1.342
H 9-1	1.251	1.293	1.267	1.316	1.294	1.283	1.273	1.231	1.295	1.275	1.281
H 12-1	1.197	1.196	1.202	1.234	1.221	1.231	1.285	1.198	1.280	1.261	1.235
H 3-2	1.188	1.176	1.246	1.286	1.246	1.108	1.141	1.119	1.146	1.144	1.188
H 6-2	2.248	1.063	1.121	1.140	1.131	3.893	1.173	1.178	1.149	1.731	1.211
H 9-2	1.128	1.107	1.103	1.136	1.156	1.169	1.140	1.160	1.153	1.080	1.129
H 12-2	1.066	1.071	1.127	1.139	1.119	1.236	1.192	1.193	1.184	1.179	1.150
H 3-3	1.056	1.033	1.080	1.159	1.094	1.638	1.151	1.155	1.171	1.143	1.123
H 6-3	1.059	1.051	1.074	1.134	0.939	1.109	1.172	1.112	1.132	1.132	1.093
H 9-3	1.004	1.077	1.072	1.085	1.089	1.079	1.097	1.050	1.094	1.047	1.076
H 12-3	1.041	1.056	1.042	1.069	1.070	1.087	1.089	1.064	1.091	1.070	1.069
Batch Average	see note	1.132	1.162	1.192	1.164	see note	1.212	1.186	1.212	1.238	1.187
Batch Std Dev.	see note	0.089	0.099	0.091	0.113	see note	0.113	0.108	0.118	0.196	0.116

Note batches 1 & 6 had visible resin balls at position 6-2 do not use

Table 3-3bKlein Mixer Mixedness Index. Summary of Standard Deviation of LOI
for Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in Klein Mixer, Sampled 12 Locations.

	Batch	Batch number	Position								
Position in bowl	number 1	number 2	number 3	number 4	number 5	number 6	number 7	number 8	number 9	10	averages
K 3-1	1.405	1.362	1.382	1.391	1.397	1.371	1.297	1.278	1.287	1.286	1.345
K 6-1	1.310	1.296	1.299	1.345	1.332	1.253	1.299	1.297	1.369	1.248	1.305
K 9-1	1.421	1.260	1.295	1.259	1.290	1.325	1.307	1.327	1.424	1.303	1.321
K 12-1	1.233	1.221	1.195	1.246	1.183	1.270	1.222	1.229	1.251	1.196	1.225
K 3-2	1.227	1.186	1.225	1.259	1.208	1.140	1.121	1.080	1.180	1.080	1.171
K 6-2	1.172	1.218	1.172	1.142	1.124	1.170	1.175	1.146	1.218	1.123	1.166
K 9-2	1.180	1.123	1.147	1.203	1.143	1.203	1.183	1.143	0.959	1.154	1.144
K 12-2	1.114	1.171	1.146	1.154	1.167	1.110	1.144	1.124	1.125	1.094	1.135
K 3-3	1.140	1.198	1.205	1.181	1.153	1.194	1.088	1.144	1.127	1.076	1.151
K 6-3	1.086	1.146	1.124	1.136	1.138	1.079	1.144	1.140	1.141	1.116	1.125
K 9-3	1.144	1.227	1.173	1.208	1.156	1.249	1.121	1.118	1.172	1.125	1.169
K 12-3	1.078	1.124	1.090	1.118	1.114	1.141	1.068	1.063	0.953	1.088	1.084
Batch Averages	1.209	1.211	1.204	1.220	1.200	1.209	1.181	1.174	1.184	1.157	1.195
Batch St. Dev.s	0.115	0.071	0.084	0.084	0.091	0.088	0.083	0.087	0.142	0.081	0.093

Figure 3-2 Data from Tables 3-3a and 3-3b. The Two Mixers are Virtually Indistinguishable.



Table 3-4Cavity Variation: Tensile Strength (psi) for 3 Cavity Corebox by CoreBox Cavity for Wedron Sand Mixed in Hobart Mixer. All Cavities are Virtually
Indistinguishable.

				Ave of
Core cavity	North	Middle	South	Cav ave.
Batch #1: 9 Bone Cavity Average	229.3	233.7	228.8	230.6
Batch #2: 9 Bone Cavity Average	241.6	245.1	238.4	241.7
Average Difference: 9 bone cavity average from average of cavity averages	-0.7	3.2	-2.6	
Average of cavity std devs	6.0	7.2	7.3	
Ave. Diff as % of Ave cavity std devs	-11.4	45.2	-35.0	

Table 3-5Average and Standard Deviation of Tensile Strength for DogbonesContaining 1.4 % Binder, Made from Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in the Hobart Mixerfor 4 Minutes or Mixed in the Klein Mixer for 45 Seconds and Made Within 15-45Minutes After Mixing in the New Three-On Core Box, Aged 24 Hours and Testedon the Thwing-Albert or Dietert 405 Tensile Tester.

Break Sequence	Sand Mix Age @ Make hh:mm	Dogbone age @ Break Hours	North Core Weight grams	North Core Tensil psi	Middle Core Weight grams	Middle Core Tensil psi	South Core Weight grams	South Core Tensil psi	dogbone cavity average Tensile
T-A Hobart									
Average	0:12	24.10	111.91	303.82	111.20	307.42	112.04	293.4	301.55
St Dev	0:04	1.26	0.53	10.73	0.27	21.72	0.14	32.4	21.62
Reduced St Dev				0.035		0.071		0.110	0.072
90 % Conf upper limit	0:19	26.21	112.79	321.84	111.66	343.91	112.27	347.8	337.87
90 % Conf lower limit	0:05	21.99	111.02	285.79	110.74	270.93	111.81	239.0	265.24
405 Hobart									ſ
Average	0:10	26.36	111.65	236.75	111.08	243.32	111.97	233.04	237.70
St Dev	0:04	1.52	0.34	13.87	0.38	11.54	0.21	23.18	16.20
Reduced St Dev				0.059		0.047		0.099	0.068
90 % Conf upper limit	0:18	28.92	112.22	260.05	111.72	262.70	112.32	271.99	264.91
90 % Conf lower limit	0:03	23.80	111.08	213.45	110.44	223.94	111.62	194.09	210.49
T-A Klein									
Average	0:15	24.93	111.89	274.85	111.23	282.36	112.11	275.84	277.68
St Dev	0:09	0.44	0.12	17.47	0.17	17.86	0.16	13.98	16.44
Reduces St Dev				0.064		0.063		0.051	0.059
90 % Conf upper limit	0:31	25.68	112.10	304.21	111.52	312.36	112.38	299.32	305.30
90 % Conf lower limit	0:00	0.08	111.69	245.50	110.95	252.37	111.83	252.35	250.07
405 Klein									
Average	0:17	26.05	111.85	213.60	111.28	216.57	112.03	211.07	213.74
St Dev	0:06	0.75	0.08	8.49	0.18	9.00	0.13	9.58	9.03
Reduced St. Dev.				0.040		0.042		0.045	0.042
90 % Conf upper limit	0:28	27.31	111.99	227.87	111.58	231.69	112.26	227.16	228.91
90 % Conf lower limit	0:05	24.79	111.71	199.33	110.99	201.44	111.81	194.97	198.58

this page intentionally left blank

4.0 Discussion of Results

In this evaluation every attempt was made to remove all the variables that influence mixing and tensile testing except those created by the machines themselves.

The tensile specimens, the dogbones, were made in a single 3-cavity corebox using the same batches of sand having the same age since mixing, 15-45 minutes .The resulting dogbones from each sand batch were randomized within cavity identifications and distributed to the two tensile test machines.

The mixing effectiveness of the sand mixers was evaluated using the LOI measurement of the binder content for replicated samples from 12 specified locations in the respective mix bowls.

To make sure that the sand was not itself contributing to the variation in the LOI measurement, four (4) sands were pre-evaluated to choose a sand that was least interfering and least variable in LOI measurement.

Choice of Sand for Making Dogbones for Tensile Testing.

Table 3-1 demonstrates that Wedron 530 sand had the lowest and least variable indigenous LOI and thus was selected as the sand from which the dogbones were to be made. Figures 3-1a & b show that the Wedron sand statistically stabilized with fewer samples.

Effect of batch size on Hobart mixer mixedness

The influence of the batch size on mixer effectiveness for the reference Hobart mixer is shown in Table 3-2. The variability in LOI, used as a measure of "mixedness", was least with a 4000 gram batch. For all sand mixing for dogbone manufacture using the Hobart mixer the batch size was chosen at 4000 grams (about 8-3/4 pounds). The batch size for the Klein mixed sand for dogbones was taken at the manufacturer's recommendation for this mixer at nominally 50 pounds.

Comparison of Mixedness of Hobart Epicentric and Klein Oscillatory Sand Mixers.

Each mixer was used to make 10 batches of sand to evaluate the resulting consistency of binder content. The Hobart mixer was run for a total of four (4) minutes for each batch. The sand and binder components were pre-weighed and then charged into the mixer at selected times according a protocol provided by Ashland Chemical Co. for core sand evaluations using a Hobart mixer. The Klein mixer was run for 45 seconds according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sand and binder dispensing rates into the Klein mixer were statistically pre-calibrated. Each batch was sampled at 12 specified locations in the sand bowl. The results shown in Tables 3-3a & b and graphically in Figure 3-2 indicate that binder distribution in sands mixed in either of these mixers was virtually indistinguishable. The mean value of the LOI for each batch from each mixer in was within the value of the standard deviation of the other mixer results.

It was noteworthy that each mixer had a deposit that was not mixed at all. The Klein's dead spot was an annular ring around the foam discharge plug. The Hobart's was at the center bottom of the bowl.

Core Box Cavity Comparison of Tensile Strengths.

All dogbones were made in a three (3) cavity end-blown core box. The cavities were rotated 10 degrees about the blow axis so that no draft was required on the dogbone itself. Nine (9) sets of three (3) dogbones were made from each of two sand batches mixed in the Hobart mixer and aged 9-31 minutes during dogbone manufacture. The dogbones were tensile tested after two (2) hours. The binder was 1.4% Ashland Chemical Co. ISOCURE® 305/904 binder and TEA catalyzed. All materials, dogbones, mixers, core blowers, and tensile testers were normalized in temperature to 72-77°F. Table 3-4 shows the results by cavity. The central cavity exhibited tensile strengths about 1-2 % higher than the outboard cavities. The outboard cavities were about 1 % different from each other. The differences however were inside the spread of one (1) standard deviation and therefore all cavities must be considered indistinguishable. This pattern persisted throughout all tensile testing.

Comparison of the Thwing-Albert & Dietert 405 Core Tensile Testers.

Eight (8) batches of sand were required from the Hobart mixer and 2 batches were required from the Klein mixer so that 60 sets of 3 dogbones from each mixer's sand, a total of 360 dogbones could be made. The dogbones were made with sand that was aged a minimum of 15 minutes but no material was used that was older than 45 minutes from the end of the mixing. The binder was 1.4 % Ashland Chemical Co. ISOCURE® 305/904 binder and TEA catalyzed. All materials, dogbones, mixers, core blowers, and tensile testers were normalized in temperature to 72-77°F. The dogbones were tensile tested on both the Thwing-Albert and the Dietert 405 by mixer type and core cavity, twelve (12) sets of thirty (30) dogbones. Within each mixer type and core cavity the dogbones were randomized to convert the make sequence to a tensile test break sequence and distributed between the two tensile test machines. See Appendix Table B6.

The results are shown in Table 3-5. The center cavity again exhibited a 1-2 % higher tensile strength than the end cavities but within the standard deviation. The Thwing-Albert machine gave tensile strength values 64 psi greater than the Dietert machine with cores made from sand mixed with each mixer. Both tensile testers measured tensile strengths to be 24 psi greater for bones whose sand was prepared in the Hobart mixer compared to the sand prepared in the Klein mixer. With sands from both mixers the Dietert machine exhibited a narrower spread of tensile values and the variation was least with the Hobart mixed sand.

APPENDIX A APPROVED TEST PLAN AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR TEST FX

this page intentionally left blank

TECHNIKON TEST PLAN

>	CONTRACT NUMBER:	1410 TASK NUMBER: 6.1 Test FX
>	SITE:	Core Department
>	TEST TYPE:	Comparative Evaluation of
		 Hobart Epicentric lab mixer and Klein Eccentric Oscillatory sand mixers.
		 Thwing-Albert QC-3A and Dietert 405 Tensile test- ing machines
>	METAL TYPE:	N/A
>	MOLD TYPE:	N/A
>	NUMBER OF MOLDS:	N/A
>	CORE TYPE:	1.4 % Ashland ISOCURE ® 305/904 binder
>	CORE BOX:	3-cavity axially blown dogbone.
>	TEST DATE:	START: 26 Apr 2004
		FINISHED: 11 June 2004

TEST OBJECTIVES:

1. Compare mixing efficiency of sand mixers using variability if LOI of qualified mixed sands as the measure of "mixedness". The reference machine is to be a Hobart Epicentric mixer and the comparative machine is to be Klein eccentric oscillatory mixer.

2. Compare absolute values and consistency of tensile strength measurements on two tensile strength testing machines. The reference machine is to be Dietert 405; comparative machine is to be Thwing-Albert QC-3a tensile tester.

VARIABLES

Sand type, Sand homogeneity, LOI value, LOI variability, Binder content consistency, Core box tooling, Core manufacturing technique, Mixed sand age at Dogbone manufacture, Dogbone age at tensile test period. Material and machinery temperature.

BRIEF OVERVIEW:

In order to separate the variables that influence the measured tensile strength value of resin bonded sand dogbone tensile test specimens the dogbones must be manufactured in the most consistent manner possible from homogeneous sand mixed with a constant amount of binder in the most consistent manner feasible. Therefore this test is designed to evaluate each step of dogbone manufacture in reverse order so that the materials, tools, and machinery are demonstrated to be the least variable at each step of preparation. Where the influence of a variable on tensile strength measurement is not fully understood that variable will be maintained within a narrow range for all testing that is in some manner dependent on it. Thus all similar materials, tooling, and machinery will be kept in the same room in the temperature range of 72-77 °F. Sand mixing of multiple batches, manufacturing of dogbones, and tensile testing of dogbones will each be done in the shortest span of time practical to minimize time dependent variation..

Since LOI will be the measure of "mixedness" the sand used in the mixing test and follow up tensile dogbone manufacture must exhibit minimal indigenous LOI and minimal LOI variability. Four qualified foundry sands are to be sampled from there respective sources using a tree panning method of obtaining a representative cross section of material. The extracted sands will then each be re-blended using sand splitter techniques to maximize their homogeneity. Final samples will be taken from the re-blended material. Each kind of sand will then have multiple measurements made of its indigenous LOI according to standard AFS procedures. A single kind of sand will be selected for all subsequent processing based on lowest LOI value and least LOI standard deviation.

The optimal batch size for the reference Hobart mixer will then be determined based on the minimal LOI standard deviation over 10 sand batches each for three batch sizes appropriate to the mixer bowl volume.

The "mixedness" will be determined for both the Hobart and Klein mixers by making multiple batches in each mixer using the same sourced sand selected above. The Hobart mixer will be evaluated at its optimal batch size using a 4 minute mixing protocol developed by Ashland Specialties Co. for core sand testing using a Hobart mixer. The Klein mixer will be evaluated using the manufacture's recommended batch size, nominally 50 pounds, and the cycle time, 45 seconds. The binder for the Hobart mixer will be gravimetrically measured for each batch. The sand and binder for the Klein mixer will have to be statistically calibrated to the same nominal binder content as the Hobart. The material feeds to the Klein mixer are by automatic machine cycle.

Dogbones will be manufactured in an axially blown three (3) cavity corebox on a Redford Carver core machine. Bias in the tensile strength based on core cavity will be determined from two (2) nine (9)-dogbone lots from each cavity. Each lot of 9 dogbones from each cavity represents one (1) Hobart sand batch of the selected sand. Based on these results it will be decided whether the cavities can be co-mingled randomly for tensile testing or that they must be segregated by cavity and each cavity being treated as a randomized replication of the tensile test.

Finally, thirty (30) dogbone lots made in the three cavity core box from the selected sand, mixed in each mixer, will be separately tensile tested repeatedly on each tensile test machine to determine the tensile strength mean and standard deviation that each tensile test machine determines. The tensile test portion will include a total of 360 dogbones. The sand batches from each mixer will be aged 15 minutes from the end of mixing before dogbones manufacture commences and no material older than 45 minutes from the end of mixing will be used. Tensile testing will commence 24 hours after completion of the dogbone manufacture.

Series FX

Evaluation of Thwing-Albert Tensile Tester and Klein Sand Mixer Process Instructions

A. Experiment:

- Measure the accuracy and precision of the Thwing-Albert tensile tester relative to the Deitert 405 Universal tensile tester on qualified core dog bones. Measure by LOI the degree of mixedness of core sands prepared on the Klein mixer relative to a Hobart laboratory mixer.
- **B.** Materials & equipment:
 - 1) Sands: Wexford W450, Wedron 530, US Silica Oklahoma 90, & Amador 70.
 - 2) Ashland Isocure® 305 part I/904 part II core binder system TEA catalyzed.
 - 3) Ashland 700 TEA
 - 4) Mettler-Toledo SB12001 platform scale.
 - 5) Hobart model K45SSWH mixer with open paddle attachment and bowl cover.
 - 6) Two 250-500 ml polyethylene dispensing bottles.
 - 7) R/C model HBT/CBT core blower with 2 cavity Dogbone core box attached.
 - 8) Klein model E101D099 vibratory mixer.
 - 9) Deitert Model 405 universal sand tester with dogbone tensile attachment.
 - **10**) Thwing-Albert Model QC-3A tensile tester.
 - **11**) ELE model CL-244 gate type sand splitter.
 - **12)** Thermometer with one (1) oF resolution.
 - **13**) Stop watch with 1 second resolution and accumulative time capability.
 - 14) Vulcan model 3-350 LOI oven with 1800 oF capability and accessories.
 - **15**) Denver Instruments model XE-100 analytic scale with 0.1 mg readability.
 - 16) Four 1-2 gallon Polyethylene pails with sealable lids.
 - **17**) One (1) inch ID trepanning tool.
 - **18**) Deitert 276-B Moisture Teller.
 - **19**) Desiccator storage container.

Caution: Observe all safety precautions attendant to these operations as delineated in the pre-production operating and safety instruction manual.

- **C.** Overview:
 - 1) Measurements are usually relative to a standard rather than absolute. The two machines in question in this evaluation were themselves presented to us as new standards in the industry. They will be evaluated in terms of more historical standards the Deitert 405 universal tensile tester and the Hobart laboratory mixer.

- 2) Quality tensile testing is known to result from a properly designed machine, operated by a well trained person, on consistently manufactured test pieces (sand dog bones), made from uniformly prepared sand in a temperature and time controlled environment. To that end sand and test equipment will be insolated in a 72-77°F environment and the incremental process steps will be held to 30 minutes or less.
- **3)** The choice of sand among pre-qualified good foundry sands will be based on the minimal mean and standard deviation of the raw sand LOI. Sand mixing will be evaluated based on standard deviation of the LOI of the binder coated sand. Dog bone preparation will be mechanical and based on the dog bone weight. Tensile testing will be evaluated on 30 sample means and standard deviations of the tensile strength.
- **D.** Sand sampling:
 - 1) Sample each sand source by trepanning to the center of the lot or bag using a one (1) inch internal diameter by three (3) foot long trepanning tool having twenty-four (24) 3/8 inch diameter holes on 1.0 inch centers. The trepanning sampler shall be inserted so that the highest hole is about two (2) inches below the surface of the sampled material. About 500 grams of material should be gathered for this potion of the evaluation.
 - 2) Bag each sample in a labeled Ziploc bag.
 - **3)** For the Wedron 530 sand that comes in 50 pound bags sample from at least 3 bags per AFS procedure 1104-00-S. Co-mingle the Wedron sand samples so obtained and reduce to about 500 grams using the splitter.
 - 4) Dry each sample according to AFS procedure 1125-00-S.
 - 5) Run each sample thru the sand splitter per AFS procedure 1120-00-S three times and return it to the Ziploc bag.
- **E.** Sand selection:
 - 1) The LOI will be used in other parts of the evaluation so the sand with the lowest and most consistent naturally occurring LOI shall be determined to select sand for subsequent use.
 - a) From each of the four (4) prepared lots weigh twelve (12) nominally 30 gram +/- 0.1 mg LOI samples.
 - b) Run an 1800 oF LOI according to AFS procedure 5100-00-S, four (4) at a time, one (1) from each source. Randomize location in furnace and record positions.
 - c) For each material calculate the mean and standard deviation of the LOI.
 - **d**) For each material report the individual LOI values, the mean, and standard deviation.
 - e) Select the one (1) sand with the lowest mean and standard deviation LOI to use in part E of the evaluation.
- **F.** Sand mixing.

- 1) Hobart Mixer:
 - **a**) Batch size:
 - i) This section will determine the Hobart mixer batch size for the balance of the evaluation.
 - **b)** Mix one (1) 2000 gram, one (1) 3000 gram, and one (1) 4000 gram sand batch constituted as per Table 1.

Table 1	2000 gram batch	3000 gram batch	4000 gram batch
Sand from part C	2000 +/- 0.3 grams	3000 +/- 0.3 grams	4000 +/- 0.3 grams
Binder part I	15.4 +/- 0.1 grams	23.1 +/- 0.1 grams	30.8 +/- 0.1 grams
Binder part II	12.6 +/- 0.1 grams	18.9 +/- 0.1 grams	25.2 +/- 0.1 grams

Note 1: Weigh the liquid binder parts to be dispensed into the sand by first placing the components into smaller sealed & labeled polyethylene squeezable dispensing bottles. For each sand batch tare weigh the bottle and its contents, dispense binder to the sand then reweigh the bottle and contents until the desired weight loss (dispensed) is achieved. No compensation for residual binder in the dispensing container will be required by this method.

Note 2: Construct an easily removable lid for the mixer. The lid is not intended to be air tight but merely inhibit evaporation during mixing.

- c) Gather approximately 10000 grams of sand from a single source and homogenize by passing through the splitter 5 times.
- **d**) Attach the open paddle mixer implement to the mixer head locking it in place.
- e) Add sand to mixer the bowl and lock the bowl to the mixer.
- f) Measure and record the sand temperature and ambient temperature.
- **g**) Make a dimple in the sand and add part I resin to the sand in the dimple. Cover the resin lightly with sand.
- **h**) Lower the mixer head into the sand. Cover the bowl.
- i) Start a timer and turn the mixer on to "stir" and then to speed setting "2". Mix for one (1) minute. Record the amount of part I dispensed.
- **j**) Stop the timer and mixer, remove the lid, raise the mixer head and "turn the sand over" looking for binder stuck to the mixer or for dry spots.
- **k**) Lower the mixer head, replace the cover, restart the timer and mixer and mix for one (1) additional minute.
- **I)** Stop the timer and mixer, remove the cover, raise the mixer head, and make a dimple in the sand.
- m) Dispense the required amount of part II co-reactant into the sand dimple.
- **n**) Lower the mixer head, cover the bowl, start the timer and mixer on "stir" and then speed "2". Mix for one (1) minute. Record the weight of part II dispensed.

- **o**) Stop the timer and mixer, remove the cover, raise the mixer head, and "turn the sand over".
- **p)** Lower the mixer head, replace the cover, restart the timer and mixer and mix for one (1) minute.
- **q)** Stop the timer and mixer, remove the cover, raise the mixer head, and discharge the mixed sand into a time and contents labeled pail. Seal the lid on the pail.
- **r**) Record date, time, and temperature of sand preparation for each sized batch.
- s) From each size mixed sand batch obtain twelve (12) LOI samples representing the edge (2) and center (2) of the material at three levels (4 top surfaces, 4 mid depth, and 4 bottom surface).
- t) Calculate and report the average and standard deviation on the sand LOI for each batch size.
- **u**) Select the batch size having the smallest LOI standard deviation to be used for the balance of the evaluation using the Hobart mixer.
- v) Hobart degree of mixedness:
 - i) Determine the degree of mixedness by mixing sand batches of the size determined in E.1.a.20). Determining the mean sand batch LOI of up to twenty batches of sand by the methods described in section E.1.a.2)-18). The actual number of batches to be measured and the number of samples per batch shall be determined by the standard deviation of the same size batch determined in section E.1.a.
 - **ii**) Stop making more determinations when the standard deviation for the aggregation of all determinations ceases to significantly vary.
- 2) Klein mixer:
 - a) Calibrate the Klein mixer sand batch size and binder component deposition rates.
 - i) Make Ten (10) determinations of the sand batch size with the factory settings for the batch hopper depth probes to determine the mean and standard deviation for the sand batch size.
 - ii) Record all the sand weight data but calculate and record the mean and standard deviation sand batch weight from the last six (6) determinations.
 - iii) Recapture the sand and return it to the supply hopper.
 - iv) Calculate the part I deposition rate to be 0.014 x 0.55 times the mean sand batch weight as determined in E.2.a.1)-3).
 - v) Calculate the part II deposition rate to be 0.014 x 0.45 times the mean sand weight as determined in part E.2.a.1)-3).
 - vi) Calibrate the part I and Part II deposition rates based on the calculations of E.2.a.4)-5).
 - vii) Make six (6) measurements of the binder deposition rates after the last rate adjustment.

- viii) Record the ambient, binder, & sand temperatures and the binder component weights at each iteration. Calculate and record the mean & standard deviation of the binder component weights.
- **b**) Klein degree of mixedness.
 - i) Determine the degree of mixedness by mixing sand batches of the size determined in E.2.a.1).
 - ii) Determining the mean sand batch LOI of up to twenty batches of mixed sand.
 - **iii**) From each mixed sand batch obtain twelve (12) LOI samples representing the edge (2) and center (2) of the material at three levels (4 top surfaces, 4 mid depth, and 4 bottom surface).
 - iv) Calculate and report the average and standard deviation on the sand LOI for each batch size.
 - v) Calculate the accumulative mean and standard deviation of the sand LOI.
 - vi) Stop making more determinations when the standard deviation for the aggregation of all determinations ceases to significantly vary.
- 3) Select the mixer to be used for preparing sand for dogbones for tensile testing by the Thwing –Albert tensile test machine by choosing the mixer with the lower LOI standard deviation.
- **G.** New three cavity core box
 - 1) Adjust the core box to make visually good core.
 - 2) Make a batch of mixed sand in the Hobart mixer using the batch size determined in Section E.1 & Table 1.
 - **3**) Exercise the core box.
 - 4) Make thirty (30) sets of cores with sand that is 15 45 minutes old. Three sand batches may be necessary.
 - 5) Identify the batch number, blow number and cavity position on each dogbone.
 - 6) Age the cores for 24 hours.
 - 7) Randomize the dogbones
 - 8) Weigh & record weight of each dogbone
 - **9)** Run the tensile test on each cavity's cores for all 90 dogbones, on the Thwing-Albert tensile tester.
 - **10**) Record the test time, sand & ambient temperature, tensile strength, the batch number, the blow sequence number, and cavity number for each dogbone.
 - **11**) Organize the results by cavity.
 - 12) Perform the average and standard deviation on each cavity.
 - **13**) If the average of either side cavity is no more different from the average of the center cavity than one (1) standard deviation of the center cavity that cavity shall be considered to have no bias.

- **14**) If the average of a side cavity is different from the average of the center cavity by more than one (1) standard deviation of the center cavity then the side cavity is biased.
- **15**) If either side cavity is biased then the final tensile test results must be segregated by cavity for comparison between the two tensile testers. Each cavity shall be considered a separate 30 piece test run on the tester and 60 sets of dogbones will be required.
- **H.** Dogbone tensile test core manufacture.
 - 1) Using the chosen mixer and the protocol for that mixer prepare a batch of mixed sand.
 - a) One day prior to the start of tensile testing set the test room temperature to 73 oF.
 - **b**) At the same time turn on the R/C core machine & the Thwing-Albert tensile tester, and the Deitert 405 tensile tester to allow them to thermally stabilize.
 - c) On the day of the test verify that the room temperature is 72-77°F, adjust as necessary. Wait to start the test until temperature is stable in the specified range.
 - **d)** Weigh one batch of the selected batch size of the selected sand and calculate the required binder to make a 1.40% Cold box core sand mixture having 55% part I and 45% part II. The total required sand is about 3500 grams.
 - e) Mix one batch of sand to specification and use the sand to cycle the R/C core blower and the two tensile test machines. Discard the sand.
 - f) Prepare a second batch of sand to specification for use in the test.
 - i) Start a timer at the conclusion of the mix cycle.
 - ii) Place the prepared sand in sealed container for test use.
 - iii) Record the date, time that the batch is done, the mixing duration, and the component weights.
 - iv) Retain each batch of test sand in sealed container for LOI testing at 24 hours after completion of preparation.
 - **g**) Subsequent sand batches may be prepared as required to satisfy the core making requirements according to G.1.c-e.
 - 2) Make test dogbones.
 - **a**) Use three cavity end blown core box.
 - **b)** Allow test sand prepared in the selected mixer to age exactly 15 minutes prior to first blow.
 - c) Fill blow magazine full with sand. Tapping magazine to eliminate gross voids is permissible but do not compact the sand in magazine.
 - **d**) Close and seal source prepared sand in pail between fillings and when done.
 - e) Retain extra prepared sand in sealed container for LOI testing at 24 hours after completion of core sand preparation.
 - **f**) Blow a set of cores using the following parameters.

- i) Prepared sand mix temperature 72-77°F.
- ii) Blow pressure 60 psi $(-40^{\circ}F \text{ dew point air})$.
- iii) Blow time 0.5 seconds.
- iv) Delay after blow 7 seconds.
- v) TEA gas: 1 second @ 20 psi.
- vi) Purge: 6.0 seconds @ 40 psi (-40 oF dew point air)
- **g**) Prepared test sand shall not be used to make dogbones beyond 45 minutes after completion of preparation.
- **h**) Make at least 65 dogbones.
- i) Place dogbones in order and position of manufacture.
- **j**) Randomize dogbones for tensile testing. Map the reorganization.
- **k**) Set dogbones in tensile test room at $72-77^{\circ}$ F.
- I. Tensile testing.
 - 1) Thwing-Albert & Deitert Universal 405.
 - a) Prepare a set of sixty (60) test dogbones from a common batch of sand as in F.2.
 - **b**) Weigh & record each dogbone twenty-three (23) hours after manufacture.
 - i) Set aside any bones whose weight varies by more than 5% of the average for the group.
 - c) Test thirty (30) of the dog bones on each of the two tensile test machines at the same time in rapid sequence 24 hours after start of core manufacture.
 - **d**) Calculate, record, & compare the average and standard deviation for cores tested on each machine.
 - 2) Klein mixer & Thwing-Albert tensile tester. If the Klein mixer was determined to have the bigger standard deviation in section E.3 make a batch of sand and thirty (30) dogbones using the Klein mixer and the Thwing-Albert tensile tester as in sections G.2.c-k.

Steven M. Knight Manager Process Engineering this page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX B PROCESS DATA DETAILS

this page intentionally left blank

					Analyst:	Matt Prend	dergast							
			A	FS 5100-00	-S						A70 Sand	OK-90	530	W450
	test	Oven			Dish &						%LOI	%LOI	%LOI	%LOI
	referenc	Batch	Positio		Sample						@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*
Sample	е	Numb	n in	Dish wt.	wt.	Sample			Dry Wt.	Weight	Lost on	Lost on	Lost on	Lost on
Date	number	er	Oven	(gms)	(gms)	wt. (gms)	Time in	Time out	(gms)	change	Ignition	Ignition	Ignition	Ignition
				(0 /	.0 /	,			,	Ŭ	, v	Ŭ	Ŭ	Wexford
												OK 90	Wedron	W450
											A70 Sand	Sand	530 sand	Sand
4/29/2004	Δ 7 01	1	B/I	52 4438	82 4600	30.0162	12.00	2.00	82 4279	0.0321	0.11	ound	ooo oana	ound
4/29/2004	OK901	1	E/L	49 6949	79 6712	29 9763	12:00	2:00	79 6349	0.0363	0.11	0.12		
4/29/2004	5301	1	B/R	60 343	90 3633	30.0203	12:00	2:00	90 3451	0.0000		0.12	0.06	
4/29/2004	W4501	1	B/I	58 9278	89.0028	30.0750	12:00	2:00	88 9043	0.0102			0.00	0.33
5/3/2004	A702	2	F/R	67 6637	97 689	30 0253	9.00	11.00	97 6539	0.0351	0.12			0.00
5/3/2004	OK902	2	B/R	53 8622	83 923	30.0608	9.00	11:00	83 8811	0.0001	0.12	0 14		
5/3/2004	5302	2	F/L	64.0314	94.0703	30.0389	9:00	11:00	94.0484	0.0219		0	0.07	
5/3/2004	W4502	2	B/I	63 3381	93 3688	30 0307	9.00	11.00	93 2629	0 1059				0.35
5/3/2004	A703	3	F/L	56.2649	86.6519	30.3870	11:00	1:00	86.616	0.0359	0.12			0.00
5/3/2004	OK903	3	B/L	66.6072	96.5804	29.9732	11:00	1:00	96.548	0.0324	0112	0.11		
5/3/2004	5303	3	F/R	61.134	91.1658	30.0318	11:00	1:00	91.1409	0.0249			0.08	
5/3/2004	W4503	3	B/R	59.2169	89.3008	30.0839	11:00	1:00	89.2013	0.0995				0.33
5/3/2004	A704	4	B/R	52,4417	82.48	30.0383	1:00	3:00	82,4394	0.0406	0.14			
5/3/2004	OK904	4	F/L	49.6881	79.7517	30.0636	1:00	3:00	79.7184	0.0333		0.11		
5/3/2004	5304	4	F/L	60.3444	90.5179	30.1735	1:00	3:00	90.4938	0.0241			0.08	
5/3/2004	W4504	4	F/R	58.9264	88.9988	30.0724	1:00	3:00	88.8942	0.1046				0.35
5/4/2004	A705	5	B/L	61.6223	91.1502	29.5279	11:30	1:30	91.1153	0.0349	0.12			
5/4/2004	OK905	5	F/L	49.6878	79.8081	30.1203	11:30	1:30	79.7744	0.0337		0.11		
5/4/2004	5305	5	B/R	60.3392	90.5258	30.1866	11:30	1:30	90.5033	0.0225			0.07	
5/4/2004	W4505	5	B/L	59.215	89.3271	30.1121	11:30	1:30	89.2138	0.1133				0.38
5/4/2004	A706	6	F/R	56.607	86.6166	30.0096	1:30	3:30	86.5799	0.0367	0.12			
5/4/2004	OK906	6	B/R	52.4373	82.5142	30.0769	1:30	3:30	82.4864	0.0278		0.09		
5/4/2004	5306	6	F/L	67.6607	97.6667	30.0060	1:30	3:30	97.6508	0.0159			0.05	
5/4/2004	W4506	6	B/L	58.9257	89.1289	30.2032	1:30	3:30	89.0228	0.1061				0.35
5/5/2004	A707	7	F/L	64.0254	94.1097	30.0843	7:00	9:00	94.075	0.0347	0.12			
5/5/2004	OK907	7	B/L	49.6837	79.7347	30.0510	7:00	9:00	79.7059	0.0288		0.10		
5/5/2004	5307	7	F/R	53.8464	83.8858	30.0394	7:00	9:00	83.8644	0.0214			0.07	
5/5/2004	W4507	7	B/R	59.2155	89.2497	30.0342	7:00	9:00	89.1347	0.1150				0.38
5/5/2004	A708	8	B/R	61.1207	91.1402	30.0195	9:00	11:00	91.1077	0.0325	0.11			
5/5/2004	OK908	8	F/L	66.5952	96.6326	30.0374	9:00	11:00	96.6018	0.0308		0.10		
5/5/2004	5308	8	F/L	60.3397	90.3879	30.0482	9:00	11:00	90.3598	0.0281			0.09	
5/5/2004	W4508	8	F/R	63.341	93.394	30.0530	9:00	11:00	93.2664	0.1276				0.42
5/5/2004	A709	9	B/L	61.1492	91.2647	30.1155	1:00	3:00	91.219	0.0457	0.15			
5/5/2004	OK909	9	F/L	66.6049	96.6359	30.0310	1:00	3:00	96.6007	0.0352		0.12		
5/5/2004	5309	9	B/R	60.343	90.6598	30.3168	1:00	3:00	90.6348	0.0250			0.08	
5/5/2004	W4509	9	B/L	63.3364	93.4741	30.1377	1:00	3:00	93.3747	0.0994				0.33
5/6/2004	A7010	10	F/R	49.6839	79.6558	29.9719	7:00	9:00	79.6212	0.0346	0.12			
5/6/2004	OK9010	10	B/R	61.1208	91.2519	30.1311	7:00	9:00	91.2198	0.0321		0.11		
5/6/2004	53010	10	F/L	66.5938	96.6697	30.0759	7:00	9:00	96.6492	0.0205			0.07	
5/6/2004	W45010	10	B/L	63.3429	93.4501	30.1072	7:00	9:00	93.3507	0.0994	a · -			0.33
5/6/2004	A7011	11	F/L	60.3412	90.3619	30.0207	9:00	11:00	90.3266	0.0353	0.12	a · ·		
5/6/2004	OK9011	11	B/L	52.4388	82.5377	30.0989	9:00	11:00	82.5033	0.0344		0.11		
5/6/2004	53011	11	F/R	67.6641	97.6532	29.9891	9:00	11:00	97.6301	0.0231			0.08	
5/6/2004	W45011	11	B/R	59.2166	89.2119	29.9953	9:00	11:00	89.09	0.1219	0.47			0.41
5/6/2004	A7012	12	B/R	56.6064	86.6662	30.0598	11:00	1:00	86.6156	0.0506	0.17	0.4.4		
5/6/2004	UK9012	12	F/L	64.0268	94.1208	30.0940	11:00	1:00	94.0778	0.0430		0.14	0.11	
5/6/2004	53012	12	F/L	53.8489	83.8534	30.0045	11:00	1:00	83.8206	0.0328			0.11	0.40
5/6/2004	vv45012	12	F/K	0.1298	0.405	0.444	0.077	0.43						
				Average	0.125	0.114	0.077	0.366						
				Std Dev.	0.018	0.015	0.015	0.038						
										Range	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.11

Table B1. LOI of Raw Sands Considered for Mixing and Tensile Testing.

Table B2. LOI of 2000, 3000, & 4000 Gram Batches of Wedron 530 Sand Mixed in Hobart Mixer.

		-			Analyst:	Matt Pren	dergast						
			AF	S 5100-00)-S								
Sample Date	test referenc e number	Oven Batch Number	Position in oven	Dish wt. (gms)	Dish & Sample wt. (gms)	Sample wt. (gms)	Time in	Time out	Dry Wt. (gms)	Weight change	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition
											2000	3000	4000
											gram Batch	gram Batch	gram Batch
5/10/2004	2K 12-1	1	B/L	49.6891	64.6923	15.0032	1:30	3:30	64.4858	0.2065	1.38		
5/10/2004	3K 12-1	1	F/L	52.4379	67.4794	15.0415	1:30	3:30	67.281	0.1984		1.32	
5/10/2004	4K 12-1	1	B/R	67.6639	82.683	15.0191	1:30	3:30	82.4826	0.2004			1.33
5/11/2004	2K 6-1	2	B/L	60.6442	75.3141	14.6699	6:30	8:30	75.1054	0.2087	1.42		
5/11/2004	3K 6-1	2	M/L	53.8492	68.9401	15.0909	6:30	8:30	68.7394	0.2007		1.33	
5/11/2004	4K 6-1	2	F/L	61.1207	76.2039	15.0832	6:30	8:30	76.001	0.2029			1.35
5/11/2004	2K 3-1	3	B/R	66.5964	81.6163	15.0199	6:30	8:30	81.4086	0.2077	1.38		
5/11/2004	3K 3-1	3	M/R	56.007	71.7307	15.7237	6:30	8:30	71.5233	0.2074		1.32	
5/11/2004	4K 3-1	3	F/R	59.2171	74.2878	15.0707	6:30	8:30	74.0859	0.2019			1.34
5/11/2004	2K 9-1	4	B/L	67.675	82.6813	15.0063	8:30	10:30	82.4663	0.2150	1.43		
5/11/2004	3K 9-1	4	M/L	49.6869	64.7063	15.0194	8:30	10:30	64.5097	0.1966		1.31	
5/11/2004	4K 9-1	4	F/L	52.4421	67.5569	15.1148	8:30	10:30	67.3541	0.2028			1.34
5/11/2004	2K 12-2	5	B/R	52.2031	67.2821	15.0790	8:30	10:30	67.0737	0.2084	1.38		
5/11/2004	3K 12-2	5	M/R	64.0325	79.0119	14.9794	8:30	10:30	78.8098	0.2021		1.35	
5/11/2004	4K 12-2	5	F/R	63.342	78.449	15.1070	8:30	10:30	78.2479	0.2011			1.33
5/11/2004	2K 6-2	6	B/L	60.3399	75.3372	14.9973	10:30	12:30	75.1358	0.2014	1.34		
5/11/2004	3K 6-2	6	M/L	53.8468	68.9001	15.0533	10:30	12:30	68.7028	0.1973		1.31	
5/11/2004	4K 6-2	6	F/L	61.1222	76.2389	15.1167	10:30	12:30	76.0383	0.2006			1.33
5/11/2004	2K 3-2	7	B/R	66.6198	81.7019	15.0821	10:30	12:30	81.4924	0.2095	1.39		
5/11/2004	3K 3-2	7	M/R	56.6038	71.7157	15.1119	10:30	12:30	71.5169	0.1988		1.32	
5/11/2004	4K 3-2	7	F/R	59.2118	74.3688	15.1570	10:30	12:30	74.167	0.2018			1.33
5/11/2004	2K 9-2	8	B/L	67.6609	82.8374	15.1765	12:30	2:30	82.633	0.2044	1.35		
5/11/2004	3K 9-2	8	M/L	49.6871	64.7643	15.0772	12:30	2:30	64.5568	0.2075		1.38	
5/11/2004	4K 9-2	8	F/L	52.4384	67.7498	15.3114	12:30	2:30	67.5448	0.2050			1.34
5/11/2004	2K 12-3	9	B/R	52.2019	67.2628	15.0609	12:30	2:30	67.0582	0.2046	1.36		
5/11/2004	3K 12-3	9	M/R	64.0293	78.9889	14.9596	12:30	2:30	78.7838	0.2051		1.37	
5/11/2004	4K 12-3	9	F/R	63.3449	78.5131	15.1682	12:30	2:30	78.3071	0.2060			1.36
5/12/2004	2K 6-3	10	B/L	60.3447	75.4604	15.1157	6:30	8:30	75.2542	0.2062	1.36		
5/12/2004	3K 6-3	10	M/L	53.8499	68.8443	14.9944	6:30	8:30	68.6452	0.1991		1.33	
5/12/2004	4K 6-3	10	F/L	61.1212	76.1143	14.9931	6:30	8:30	75.9099	0.2044			1.36
5/12/2004	2K 3-3	11	B/R	66.6059	81.657	15.0511	6:30	8:30	81.4512	0.2058	1.37		
5/12/2004	3K 3-3	11	M/R	56.611	71.5993	14.9883	6:30	8:30	71.3912	0.2081		1.39	
5/12/2004	4K 3-3	11	F/R	59.2142	74.1909	14.9767	6:30	8:30	73.9872	0.2037			1.36
5/12/2004	2K 9-3	12	B/L	67.6889	82.7564	15.0675	8:30	10:30	82.5401	0.2163	1.44	4.07	
5/12/2004	3K 9-3	12	M/L	52.4419	67.4652	15.0233	8:30	10:30	67.2594	0.2058		1.37	
5/12/2004	4K 9-3	12	F/L	49.6853	64.763	15.0777	8:30	10:30	64.5553	0.2077			1.38
										Average	1.38	1.34	1.35
	Std Dev.	0.03	0.03	0.02									
										Range	0.09	0.08	0.05

Table B3. LOI determinations from Hobart mixedness evaluations. Column 2 is coded location for 12 samples per run. Alpha, H=Hobart, Numeral=Run number, Numeral=Clock position in mix bowl, Numeral = level position in mix bowl.

	FX HOBART MIXER TEST							Analyst:	Matt Prer	dergast										
		A	S 5100-0	0-S					-		Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3	Batch 4	Batch 5	Batch 6	Batch 7	Batch 8	Batch 9	Batch 10
	test			Dish &						%LOI										
	referenc			Sample	Sample					@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*
Sample	е	oven	Dish wt.	wt.	wt.			Dry Wt.	Weight	Lost on										
Date	number	position	(gms)	(gms)	(gms)	Time in	Time out	(gms)	change	Ignition										
5/20/2004	H1 3-1	B/L	60.3283	75.5671	15.2388	6:00	8:00	75.3848	0.1823	1.20	1.20									
5/20/2004	H2 3-1	M/L	61.1074	76.1846	15.0772	6:00	8:00	76.004	0.1806	1.20		1.20								
5/20/2004	H3 3-1	F/L	53.8373	69.2311	15.3938	6:00	8:00	69.0371	0.1940	1.26			1.26	4.00						
5/20/2004	H4 3-1	B/R	57.0500	81.5642	14.9830	6:00	8:00	81.3/15	0.1927	1.29				1.29	4.00					
5/20/2004	HG 2 1	F/K	57 9909	72 1220	15.0101	8:00	8:00	72.0247	0.1943	1.29					1.29	1.21				
5/20/2004	H7 3-1	M/I	63 7424	78.0740	15 2325	8:00	10:00	78 754	0.1991	1.51						1.01	1.45			
5/20/2004	H8 3-1	F/I	58 926	74 0756	15 1496	8.00	10:00	73 8601	0.2155	1.40							1.40	1 42		
5/20/2004	H9 3-1	B/R	57.2701	72.5047	15.2346	8:00	10:00	72.2836	0.2211	1.45								1.12	1.45	
5/20/2004	H10 3-1	F/R	57.9573	73.0064	15.0491	8:00	10:00	72.7909	0.2155	1.43										1.43
5/20/2004	H1 6-1	B/L	67.6547	82.8096	15.1549	10:00	12:00	82.6153	0.1943	1.28	1.28									
5/20/2004	H2 6-1	M/L	58.9134	74.0294	15.1160	10:00	12:00	73.8384	0.1910	1.26		1.26								
5/20/2004	H3 6-1	F/L	49.6772	64.669	14.9918	10:00	12:00	64.4669	0.2021	1.35			1.35							
5/20/2004	H4 6-1	B/R	55.2056	70.1882	14.9826	10:00	12:00	69.9903	0.1979	1.32				1.32						
5/20/2004	H5 6-1	F/R	59.2002	74.2878	15.0876	10:00	12:00	74.0894	0.1984	1.31					1.31					
5/20/2004	H6 6-1	B/L	57.8694	72.8505	14.9811	12:00	2:00	72.6537	0.1968	1.31						1.31				
5/20/2004	H/ 6-1	M/L	63.7439	78.9053	15.1614	12:00	2:00	78.6961	0.2092	1.38							1.38	4.04		
5/20/2004		F/L	57.0507	73.923	14.9977	12:00	2:00	70.7205	0.2015	1.34								1.34	4.40	
5/20/2004	H10 6-1	E/R	57 2680	72 3156	14.9895	12:00	2:00	72 1102	0.2097	1.40									1.40	1 37
5/20/2004	H1 0-1	B/I	60 3301	75.613/	15 2833	2:00	2.00	75 /222	0.2034	1.37	1 25									1.57
5/20/2004	H2 9-1	M/I	61 1092	76 0932	14 9840	2:00	4:00	75 8995	0.1912	1.29	1.20	1 29								
5/20/2004	H3 9-1	F/L	53.8381	68.9969	15.1588	2:00	4:00	68.8048	0.1921	1.27		1.20	1.27							
5/20/2004	H4 9-1	B/R	66.5824	81.5486	14.9662	2:00	4:00	81.3517	0.1969	1.32				1.32						
5/20/2004	H5 9-1	F/R	57.3541	72.3413	14.9872	2:00	4:00	72.1473	0.1940	1.29					1.29					
5/21/2004	H6 9-1	B/L	67.6578	82.7985	15.1407	6:00	8:00	82.6042	0.1943	1.28						1.28				
5/21/2004	H7 9-1	M/L	58.9127	73.7186	14.8059	6:00	8:00	73.5301	0.1885	1.27							1.27			
5/21/2004	H8 9-1	F/L	49.6748	64.8339	15.1591	6:00	8:00	64.6473	0.1866	1.23								1.23		
5/21/2004	H9 9-1	B/R	55.2048	70.2191	15.0143	6:00	8:00	70.0246	0.1945	1.30									1.30	
5/21/2004	H10 9-1	F/R	59.2	74.219	15.0190	6:00	8:00	74.0275	0.1915	1.28	4.00									1.28
5/21/2004	H1 12-1	B/L	60.3242	75.3021	14.9779	8:00	10:00	75.1228	0.1793	1.20	1.20	4.00								
5/21/2004	HZ 12-1	M/L	61.1091	76.2008	15.0917	8:00	10:00	76.0203	0.1805	1.20		1.20	1 20							
5/21/2004	H412-1	B/R	66 5838	81 8251	15 2413	8:00	10:00	81 637	0.1019	1.20			1.20	1 23						
5/21/2004	H5 12-1	F/R	57 3531	72 394	15.0409	8.00	10:00	72 2103	0.1837	1.20				1.20	1 22					
5/21/2004	H6 12-1	B/L	56.5911	71,9224	15.3313	10:00	12:00	71,7337	0.1887	1.23					1.22	1.23				
5/21/2004	H7 12-1	M/L	52.1891	67.5091	15.3200	10:00	12:00	67.3123	0.1968	1.28							1.28			
5/21/2004	H8 12-1	F/L	52.4289	67.661	15.2321	10:00	12:00	67.4785	0.1825	1.20								1.20		
5/21/2004	H9 12-1	B/R	64.0116	78.9623	14.9507	10:00	12:00	78.7709	0.1914	1.28									1.28	
5/21/2004	H10 12-1	F/R	63.3269	78.5016	15.1747	10:00	12:00	78.3102	0.1914	1.26										1.26
5/21/2004	H1 3-2	B/L	67.6481	82.6189	14.9708	12:00	2:00	82.441	0.1779	1.19	1.19									
5/21/2004	H2 3-2	M/L	58.9137	73.7918	14.8781	12:00	2:00	73.6169	0.1749	1.18		1.18								
5/21/2004	H3 3-2	F/L	49.6771	64.7116	15.0345	12:00	2:00	64.5242	0.1874	1.25			1.25							
5/21/2004	H4 3-2	B/R	55.2065	70.3438	15.13/3	12:00	2:00	70.1492	0.1946	1.29				1.29	4.05					
5/21/2004	H5 3-2	F/K	59.2009	74.162	14.9611	12:00	2:00	73.9756	0.1864	1.25					1.25	1 1 1				
5/24/2004	H7 3-2	D/L M/I	52 100/	67 / 1.0004	15.0910	6:00	8.00	67 3132	0.1072	1.11						1.11	1 1 /			
5/24/2004	H8 3-2	F/L	52 4305	67 7048	15 2743	6:00	8.00	67 5330	0.1740	1.14							1.14	1 12		
5/24/2004	H9 3-2	B/R	64,0135	79,2124	15,1989	6:00	8:00	79,0382	0.1742	1,15								1.14	1,15	
5/24/2004	H10 3-2	F/R	63.3282	78.6257	15.2975	6:00	8:00	78.4507	0.1750	1.14										1.14
5/24/2004	H1 6-2	B/L	67.6523	82.6957	15.0434	8:00	10:00	82.3575	0.3382	2.25	2.25									
5/24/2004	H2 6-2	M/L	58.9126	74.1902	15.2776	8:00	10:00	74.0278	0.1624	1.06		1.06								
5/24/2004	H3 6-2	F/L	49.675	64.8101	15.1351	8:00	10:00	64.6404	0.1697	1.12			1.12							
5/24/2004	H4 6-2	B/R	55.2043	70.2325	15.0282	8:00	10:00	70.0612	0.1713	1.14				1.14						
5/24/2004	H5 6-2	F/R	59.2003	74.474	15.2737	8:00	10:00	74.3013	0.1727	1.13					1.13					
5/24/2004	H6 6-2	B/L	60.3282	75.3737	15.0455	10:00	12:00	74.788	0.5857	3.89						3.89				
5/24/2004	H/ 6-2	M/L	61.1073	/6.0444	14.9371	10:00	12:00	/5.8692	0.1752	1.17							1.17	1	1	

Table B3 continued.

			AFS 5100-00-S					Batch 1 Batch 2 Batch 3 Batch 4 Batch 5 Batch 6 Batch 7 Batch 8 Batch 9 Batch									Batch 10			
	test			Dish &						%LOI										
	referenc			Sample	Sample					@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*	@1800*
Sample	е	oven	Dish wt.	wt.	wt.			Dry Wt.	Weight	Lost on										
Date	number	position	(gms)	(gms)	(gms)	Time in	Time out	(gms)	change	Ignition										
5/24/2004	H9 6-2	B/R	66.5823	82.229	15.6467	10:00	12:00	82.0492	0.1798	1.15									1.15	
5/24/2004	H10 6-2	F/R	57.3525	72.3677	15.0152	10:00	12:00	72.1078	0.2599	1.73										1.73
5/24/2004	H1 9-2	B/L	56.5945	71.7075	15.1130	12:00	2:00	71.537	0.1705	1.13	1.13									
5/24/2004	H2 9-2	M/L	52.191	67.601	15.5585	12:00	2:00	67.4007	0.1722	1.11		1.11	1.10							
5/24/2004	H/ 0-2	F/L B/P	52.4502 64.0113	70 3166	15 3053	12:00	2:00	70 1/27	0.1073	1.10			1.10	1 1 4						
5/24/2004	H5 9-2	E/R	63 3263	78 4504	15 1241	12:00	2:00	78 2756	0.1733	1.14				1.14	1 16					
5/24/2004	H6 9-2	B/L	67.6495	82.678	15.0285	2:00	4:00	82.5023	0.1757	1.17					1.10	1.17				
5/24/2004	H7 9-2	M/L	58.9121	74.2201	15.3080	2:00	4:00	74.0456	0.1745	1.14							1.14			
5/24/2004	H8 9-2	F/L	49.676	64.7426	15.0666	2:00	4:00	64.5678	0.1748	1.16								1.16		
5/24/2004	H9 9-2	B/R	59.2001	74.2285	15.0284	2:00	4:00	74.0552	0.1733	1.15									1.15	
5/24/2004	H10 9-2	F/R	55.2066	70.406	15.1994	2:00	4:00	70.2418	0.1642	1.08										1.08
5/25/2004	H1 12-2	B/L	56.5929	71.8315	15.2386	6:00	8:00	71.669	0.1625	1.07	1.07									
5/25/2004	H2 12-2	M/L	52.1886	67.7055	15.5169	6:00	8:00	67.5393	0.1662	1.07		1.07								
5/25/2004	H3 12-2	F/L	52.4286	67.757	15.3284	6:00	8:00	67.5842	0.1728	1.13			1.13							
5/25/2004	H4 12-2	B/R	64.0092	79.0741	15.0649	6:00	8:00	78.9025	0.1716	1.14				1.14	1 1 2					
5/25/2004	H6 12-2	B/I	67 653	82 966	15,3130	8:00	0.00 10:00	82 7767	0.1730	1.12					1.12	1 24				
5/25/2004	H7 12-2	M/L	58 9163	74 0305	15 1142	8:00	10:00	73 8503	0.1802	1.24						1.47	1 19			
5/25/2004	H8 12-2	F/L	49.678	64,939	15.2610	8:00	10:00	64,757	0.1820	1.19								1.19		
5/25/2004	H9 12-2	B/R	59.2047	74.2493	15.0446	8:00	10:00	74.0712	0.1781	1.18									1.18	
5/25/2004	H10 12-2	F/R	55.2099	70.4988	15.2889	8:00	10:00	70.3186	0.1802	1.18										1.18
5/25/2004	H1 3-3	B/L	56.5973	71.7907	15.1934	10:00	12:00	71.6302	0.1605	1.06	1.06									
5/25/2004	H2 3-3	M/L	52.1892	67.5159	15.3267	10:00	12:00	67.3576	0.1583	1.03		1.03								
5/25/2004	H3 3-3	F/L	52.4275	67.7923	15.3648	10:00	12:00	67.6263	0.1660	1.08			1.08							
5/25/2004	H4 3-3	B/R	64.0009	79.0798	15.0789	10:00	12:00	78.9051	0.1747	1.16				1.16						
5/25/2004	H5 3-3	F/R	63.3243	78.376	15.0517	10:00	12:00	78.2114	0.1646	1.09					1.09	4.04				
5/25/2004	H0 3-3	B/L M/I	61 1002	76.0192	15.3002	12:00	2:00	75.4278	0.2514	1.04						1.64	1 15			
5/25/2004	H8 3-3	F/I	53 8374	69 3634	15 5260	12:00	2:00	69 184	0.1733	1.15							1.15	1 16		
5/25/2004	H9 3-3	B/R	66.5821	81,7531	15.1710	12:00	2:00	81.5754	0.1777	1.17								1.10	1.17	
5/25/2004	H10 3-3	F/R	57.752	72.815	15.0630	12:00	2:00	72.6429	0.1721	1.14										1.14
5/26/2004	H1 6-3	B/L	67.6507	82.7409	15.0902	6:00	8:00	82.5811	0.1598	1.06	1.06									
5/26/2004	H2 6-3	M/L	58.913	74.1343	15.2213	6:00	8:00	73.9743	0.1600	1.05		1.05								
5/26/2004	H3 6-3	F/L	49.673	65.2894	15.6164	6:00	8:00	65.1217	0.1677	1.07			1.07							
5/26/2004	H4 6-3	B/R	59.2079	74.2439	15.0360	6:00	8:00	74.0734	0.1705	1.13				1.13						
5/26/2004	H5 6-3	F/R	52.2081	70.3414	18.1333	6:00	8:00	70.1712	0.1702	0.94					0.94					
5/26/2004	Hb b-3	B/L	52.1895	50.0578	13.8683	8:00	10:00	55.904	0.1538	1.11						1.11	1 17			
5/26/2004	H8 6-3	E/I	52 428	67 5238	15.1909	8:00	10:00	67 356	0.1678	1.17							1.17	1 1 1		
5/26/2004	HQ 6-3	B/R	64.01	79 1275	15 1175	8:00	10:00	78 9563	0.1070	1.11								1.11	1 13	
5/26/2004	H10 6-3	E/R	63 3259	78 3646	15.0387	8:00	10:00	78 1944	0 1702	1.13									1.10	1 13
5/26/2004	H1 9-3	B/L	57.8668	73.5031	15.6363	10:00	12:00	73.3461	0.1570	1.00	1.00									
5/26/2004	H2 9-3	M/L	63.7417	79.336	15.5943	10:00	12:00	79.168	0.1680	1.08		1.08								
5/26/2004	H3 9-3	F/L	58.9273	73.9681	15.0408	10:00	12:00	73.8069	0.1612	1.07			1.07							
5/26/2004	H4 9-3	B/R	57.9578	73.0299	15.0721	10:00	12:00	72.8664	0.1635	1.08				1.08						
5/26/2004	H5 9-3	F/R	57.2697	72.2443	14.9746	10:00	12:00	72.0812	0.1631	1.09					1.09	4.00				
6/1/2004	Hb 9-3	B/L	52.1904	07.3382	15.14/8	10:00	12:00	0/.1/48	0.1634	1.08						1.08	1.10			
6/1/2004	H202	IVI/L	52 / 200	68 1657	15.1445	10:00	12:00	68,000F	0.1652	1.10							1.10	1.05		
6/1/2004	HQ 0-3	B/R	64 01/18	78 0065	14 0817	10:00	12:00	78 8326	0.1032	1.00								1.00	1 00	
6/1/2004	H10 9-2	F/R	63 3251	78 4872	15 1621	10:00	12:00	78,328/	0.1588	1.05			1						1.03	1.05
6/1/2004	H1 12-3	B/L	60.3326	74.4867	14.1541	8:00	10:00	74.3393	0.1474	1.04	1.04									1.00
6/1/2004	H2 12-3	M/L	61.113	76.2348	15.1218	8:00	10:00	76.0751	0.1597	1.06		1.06								
6/1/2004	H3 12-3	F/L	53.8364	69.0898	15.2534	8:00	10:00	68.9308	0.1590	1.04			1.04							
6/1/2004	H4 12-3	B/R	66.5834	81.627	15.0436	8:00	10:00	81.4662	0.1608	1.07				1.07						
6/1/2004	H5 12-3	F/R	57.3532	72.8612	15.5080	8:00	10:00	72.6953	0.1659	1.07					1.07					
6/2/2004	H6 12-3	B/L	57.8671	73.3906	15.5235	6:00	8:00	73.2218	0.1688	1.09						1.09				
6/2/2004	H/ 12-3	M/L	63.7423	79.1298	15.3875	6:00	8:00	/8.9622	0.1676	1.09							1.09	4.00		
6/2/2004	Hö 12-3	F/L D/D	58.9269	73.9257	14.9988	6:00	00:00	73./661	0.1596	1.06								1.06	1.00	
6/2/2004	H10 12-2	D/K F/R	57 2702	72 4642	15 1020	6:00	8:00	72 3017	0.10/4	1.09									1.09	1.07
Sample Po	sition in mi	ver miver	hatch nur	nher clock	nosition l		0.00 Pr	12.0011	Average	1.07	1 22	1 1 2	1 16	1 10	1 16	1.45	1 21	1 10	1 21	1.24
	nosition	F=front M	=middla P	- hack I -I	eft R-rich	t in the second se			Std Dev	0.20	0.33	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.11	0.78	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.29
-011011000	ווטוווסטק פ	non, w	maulo,D	buon, L-I	ion,ix-iigii	•			Range	0.70	1.24	0.26	0.31	0.25	0.38	2.81	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.68

Note: samples H1-6-2 and H6-6-2 had visible resin balls

All Batche Batch 1 Batch 2 Batch 3 Batch 4 Batch 5 Batch 6 Batch 7 Batch 8 Batch 9 Batch 10

Table B4. LOI determinations from Klein mixedness evaluations. Column 2 is coded location for 12 samples per run. Alpha K=Klein, Numeral=Run number, Numeral=Clock position in mix bowl, Numeral = level position in mix bowl.

	FX KLEIN MIXER TEST						Analyst:	Matt Prender	gast											
					AFS 5100-00-9	S														
Sample Date	test reference number	oven position	Dish wt. (gms)	Dish & Sample wt. (gms)	Sample wt. (gms)	Time in	Time out	Dry Wt. (gms)	Weight change	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Losi on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Losi on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Los on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Losi on Ignition	%LOI @1800* Lost on Ignition
											Batch number 1	Batch number 2	Batch number 3	Batch number 4	Batch number 5	Batch number 6	Batch number 7	Batch number 8	Batch number 9	Batch number 10
5/13/2004	K1 3-1	BL	60.3414	75.5613	15.2199	6:30	8:30	75.3475	0.2138	1.40	1.40									
5/13/2004	K2 3-1	M/L	53.8532	58.8319 76.2041	14.9/8/	6:30	8:30	68.62/9 75.0057	0.2040	1.36		1.36	1.20							
5/13/2004	K4 3-1	B/R	66.5951	81.8482	15.2531	6:30	8:30	81.6361	0.2004	1.39			1.30	1.39						
5/13/2004	K5 3-1	F/R	57.3659	72.5412	15.1753	6:30	8:30	72.3292	0.2120	1.40					1.40					
5/13/2004	K6 3-1	B/L	52.1993	67.3132	15.1139	8:30	10:30	67.106	0.2072	1.37						1.37				
5/13/2004	K7 3-1	M/L	56.6028	71.7346	15.1318	8:30	10:30	71.5384	0.1962	1.30							1.30			
5/13/2004	K8 3-1	F/L	52.4317	67.3982	14.9665	8:30	10:30	67.2069	0.1913	1.28								1.28	4.00	
5/13/2004	K9 3-1 K10 3-1	B/K F/R	63 6394	78.4254	15.0404	8:30	10:30	78,2353	0.1935	1.29									1.29	129
5/13/2004	K16-1	B/L	67.5611	82.63043	15.0693	10:30	12:30	82.433	0.1974	1.31	1.31									1.20
5/13/2004	K26-1	M/L	58.9176	73.932	15.0144	10:30	12:30	73.7374	0.1946	1.30		1.30								
5/13/2004	K36-1	F/L	49.6767	64.9916	15.3149	10:30	12:30	64.7926	0.1990	1.30			1.30							
5/13/2004	K46-1	B/R	55.2066	70.2872	15.0806	10:30	12:30	70.0844	0.2028	1.34				1.34	1.00					
5/13/2004	K56-1	F/K	59.2027 60.3283	75 3433	15.1119	10:30	12:30 2:30	75 1552	0.2013	1.33					1.33	1.25				
5/13/2004	K76-1	M/L	53.8373	68.853	15.0157	12:30	2:30	68.6579	0.1951	1.30						1120	1.30			
5/13/2004	K86-1	F/L	61.1172	76.1779	15.0607	12:30	2:30	75.9825	0.1954	1.30								1.30		
5/13/2004	K96-1	B/R	66.6054	81.5878	14.9824	12:30	2:30	81.3827	0.2051	1.37									1.37	
5/13/2004	K106-1	F/R	57.3539	72.5038	15.1499	12:30	2:30	72.3147	0.1891	1.25	1.10									1.25
5/13/2004	K19-1 K20-1	B/L M/I	58 9132	82./11 73.9354	15.0267	2:30	4:30	82.49/5	0.2135	1.42	1.42	1.26								
5/13/2004	K39-1	F/L	49.6853	64,7672	15.0819	2:30	4:30	64.5719	0.1953	1.29		1.20	1.29							
5/13/2004	K49-1	B/R	55.2044	70.3516	15.1472	2:30	4:30	70.1609	0.1907	1.26				1.26						
5/13/2004	K59-1	F/R	59.2064	74.1612	14.9548	2:30	4:30	73.9683	0.1929	1.29					1.29					
5/14/2004	K69-1	BL	56.5997	71.7584	15.1587	6:00	8:00	71.5576	0.2008	1.32						1.32	4.04			
5/14/2004	K8 9-1	F/L	52,195	67.6536	15.1040	6:00	8.00	67.4516	0.1900	1.31							1.31	133		
5/14/2004	K99-1	B/R	64.034	79.2084	15.1744	6:00	8:00	78.9923	0.2161	1.42								1.00	1.42	
5/14/2004	K109-1	F/R	63.329	78.667	15.3380	6:00	8:00	78.4672	0.1998	1.30										1.30
5/14/2004	K1 12-1	B/L	67.6544	82.648	14.9936	8:00	10:00	82.4632	0.1848	1.23	1.23									
5/14/2004	K2 12-1	M/L	58.9157	73.909	14.9933	8:00	10:00	73.7259	0.1831	1.22		1.22	1.10							
5/14/2004	K4 12-1	R/R	49.0010	70 434	15 2273	8.00	10:00	70 2442	0.1790	1.19			1.13	1.25						
5/14/2004	K5 12-1	F/R	59.2037	74.2096	15.0059	8:00	10:00	74.0321	0.1775	1.18					1.18					
5/14/2004	K6 12-1	BL	60.3379	75.4754	15.1375	10:00	12:00	75.2832	0.1922	1.27						1.27				
5/14/2004	K7 12-1	M/L	53.8397	69.1304	15.2907	10:00	12:00	68.9435	0.1869	1.22							1.22	4.00		
5/14/2004	NO 12-1 K9 12-1	r/L B/R	66 5882	70.3029 81.5826	10.1952	10:00	12:00	81 395	0.180/	1.23								1.23	1 25	
5/14/2004	K10 12-1	F/R	57.3531	72.3673	15.0142	10:00	12:00	72.1878	0.1795	1.20									1.20	1.20
5/14/2004	K1 3-2	BL	56.6083	71.6802	15.0719	12:00	2:00	71.4953	0.1849	1.23	1.23									
5/14/2004	K2 3-2	M/L	52.1969	67.1699	14.9730	12:00	2:00	66.9923	0.1776	1.19		1.19								
5/14/2004	K33-2	F/L P/P	52.4318	67.6514	15.2196	12:00	2:00	67.465 79.0565	0.1864	1.22			1.22	1.26						
5/14/2004	K4 3-2 K5 3-2	D/R F/R	63 3281	78.613	15.1202	12:00	200	78.4283	0.1905	1.20				1.20	121					
5/17/2004	K63-2	BL	56.6065	71.7003	15.0938	6:00	8:00	71.5283	0.1720	1.14			1		6-1	1.14				
5/17/2004	K73-2	M/L	52.191	67.2782	15.0872	6:00	8:00	67.1091	0.1691	1.12							1.12			
5/17/2004	K8 3-2	F/L	52.4328	67.4306	14.9978	6:00	8:00	67.2686	0.1620	1.08								1.08		
5/17/2004	K93-2	B/R	64.0252	79.0824	15.0572	6:00	8:00	78.9048	0.1776	1.18									1.18	4.00
5/17/2004	K103-2 K16-2	F/K	57.880/	72 0360	15.1956	6:00 8:00	8:00 10:00	72 7604	0.1765	1.08	117									1.08
5/17/2004	K26-2	M/L	63.7492	78.7823	15.0331	8:00	10:00	78.5992	0.1831	1.22	1.17	1.22								
5/17/2004	K36-2	F/L	58.928	73.956	15.0280	8:00	10:00	73.7799	0.1761	1.17			1.17							
5/17/2004	K46-2	B/R	57.2733	72.4489	15.1756	8:00	10:00	72.2756	0.1733	1.14				1.14						
5/17/2004	K56-2	F/R	57.9602	73.037	15.0768	8:00	10:00	72.8676	0.1694	1.12					1.12					
5/1//2004	K66-2	B/L	60.3301	/5.3694	15.0393	10:00	12:00	/5.1935	0.1759	1.17	1	1	1	1	1	1.17	1	1	1	

Table B4 continued.

FX KLEIN MIXER TEST						Analyst:	Matt Prendergast													
					AFS 5100-00-	S					· · · ·									
Sample	test	ovon	Dich wt	Dish & Samplo wt	Sample wt			Dry Wt	Weight	%LOI @1900* Lost	%LOI	%LOI	%LOI @1900* Loct	%LOI @1800* Loci	%LOI @1900* Loct	%LOI	%LOI @1900* Lost	%LOI	%LOI @1900* Lost	%LOI @1800* Loct
Date	number	position	(gms)	(gms)	(gms)	Time in	Time out	(gms)	change	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition	on Ignition
											Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch
5/17/2004	K76-2	M/L	61.1119	76.222	15.1101	10:00	12:00	76.0445	0.1775	1.17				india bor 1	number e	number e	1.17	inamibor o	indinibor o	
5/17/2004	K86-2	F/L	53.8415	69.1135	15.2720	10:00	12:00	68.9385	0.1750	1.15								1.15	4.00	
5/17/2004	K90-2 K106-2	Б/R F/R	57.3525	72.4875	15.1470	10:00	12:00	72.3175	0.1845	1.22									1.22	1.12
5/17/2004	K1 9-2	BL	67.6493	82.8632	15.2139	12:00	2:00	82.6837	0.1795	1.18	1.18									
5/17/2004	K29-2 K39-2	M/L F/	58.9148 49.6772	74.3312 64.8842	15.4164	12:00 12:00	2:00	74.158 64.7098	0.1732	1.12		1.12	1 15							
5/17/2004	K4 9-2	B/R	55.2065	70.3159	15.1094	12:00	2:00	70.1342	0.1817	1.20				1.20						
5/17/2004	K59-2	F/R	59.2032	74.3569	15.1537	12:00	2:00	74.1837	0.1732	1.14					1.14					
5/17/2004 5/17/2004	K69-2 K79-2	B/L M/L	56.608 52.1942	71.6811 67.1315	15.0731 14.9373	2:00	5:00 5:00	71.4997 66.9548	0.1814	1.20						1.20	1.18			
5/17/2004	K89-1	F/L	52.4325	67.433	15.0005	2:00	5:00	67.2615	0.1715	1.14								1.14		
5/17/2004	K99-2	B/R	61.0193	79.0532	18.0339	2:00	5:00	78.8803	0.1729	0.96									0.96	4.45
5/17/2004	K109-2	F/K BL	60.3287	75.3422	14.9329	2:00	5:00	75.1749	0.1724	1.15	1.11									1.10
5/18/2004	K2 12-2	M/L	61.1083	76.1032	14.9949	6:00	8:00	75.9276	0.1756	1.17		1.17								
5/18/2004	K3 12-2	F/L	53.8385	68.8689	15.0304	6:00	8:00	68.6967	0.1722	1.15			1.15	4.45						
5/18/2004	K5 12-2	Б/R F/R	57.3549	72.4404	15.0659	6:00	8:00	72.2644	0.1738	1.15				1.15	1.17					
5/18/2004	K6 12-2	BL	57.8705	72.8306	14.9601	8:00	10:00	72.6646	0.1660	1.11						1.11				
5/18/2004	K7 12-2	M/L	63.7449 59.0427	79.198	15.4531	8:00	10:00	79.0212	0.1768	1.14							1.14	1.12		
5/18/2004	K9 12-2	B/R	57.2717	72.5238	15.2521	8:00	10:00	72.3522	0.1730	1.12								1.12	1.13	
5/18/2004	K10 12-2	F/R	57.9576	73.1436	15.1860	8:00	10:00	72.9774	0.1662	1.09										1.09
5/18/2004	K13-3	B/L M/I	67.6486 59.015	82.9855	15.3369	10:00	12:00	82.8106	0.1749	1.14	1.14	1.20								
5/18/2004	K3 3-3	F/L	49.6779	64.638	14.9601	10:00	12:00	64.4578	0.1802	1.20		1.20	1.20							
5/18/2004	K4 3-3	B/R	55.2083	70.2853	15.0770	10:00	12:00	70.1072	0.1781	1.18				1.18						
5/18/2004	K53-3 K63-3	F/R BI	59.204 56.6159	74.3004	15.0964 15.0750	10:00 12:00	12:00 2:00	74.1264	0.1740	1.15					1.15	1.19				
5/18/2004	K7 3-3	M/L	52.1912	67.5454	15.3542	12:00	2:00	67.3784	0.1670	1.09							1.09			
5/18/2004	K8 3-3	F/L	52.4305	67.5754	15.1449	12:00	2:00	67.4022	0.1732	1.14								1.14		
5/18/2004	K9 3-3 K10 3-3	в/к F/R	63.3275	78.6081	14.5108	12:00	2:00	78.4445	0.1636	1.13									1.13	1.08
5/18/2004	K1 6-3	BL	60.3262	75.7889	15.4627	2:00	4:00	75.6209	0.1680	1.09	1.09									
5/18/2004	K26-3	M/L	61.1093	76.0884	14.9791	2:00	4:00	75.9167	0.1717	1.15		1.15	1 12							
5/18/2004	K46-3	B/R	66.5833	81.8926	15.3093	2:00	4:00	81.7187	0.1720	1.12			1.12	1.14						
5/18/2004	K56-3	F/R	57.354	72.4413	15.0873	2:00	4:00	72.2696	0.1717	1.14					1.14					
5/19/2004	K66-3	B/L M/I	57.8685	73.2291	15.3606	6:00	8:00	73.0634	0.1657	1.08						1.08	1 14			
5/19/2004	K86-3	F/L	58.9274	74.2605	15.3331	6:00	8:00	74.0857	0.1748	1.14							1.14	1.14		
5/19/2004	K96-3	B/R	57.2722	72.2845	15.0123	6:00	8:00	72.1132	0.1713	1.14									1.14	
5/19/2004	K106-3 K19-3	F/K B/	57.9587 60.3298	75,7017	15.1396	6:00	8:00	72.9294	0.1689	1.12	1.14									1.12
5/19/2004	K29-3	M/L	61.1124	76.3096	15.1972	8:00	10:00	76.1231	0.1865	1.23		1.23								
5/19/2004	K39-3	F/L	53.8388	68.9576	15.1188	8:00	10:00	68.7802	0.1774	1.17			1.17	4.04						
5/19/2004 5/19/2004	K5 9-3	ы/к F/R	00.0937 57.3557	72.3868	15.0294	8:00	10:00	72.213	0.1815	1.21				1.21	1.16					
5/19/2004	K69-3	BL	56.6277	71.8513	15.2236	10:00	12:00	71.6612	0.1901	1.25						1.25				
5/19/2004	K80-3	M/L	52.1938	67.237	15.0432	10:00	12:00	67.0684	0.1686	1.12							1.12	112		
5/19/2004	K99-3	B/R	64.0139	79.0784	15.0645	10:00	12:00	78.9018	0.1721	1.12								1.12	1.17	
5/19/2004	K109-3	F/R	63.3274	78.6038	15.2764	10:00	12:00	78.4319	0.1719	1.13										1.13
5/19/2004	K1 12-3	B/L M/I	67.6486 59.0129	82.8306	15.1820	12:00	2:00	82.6669	0.1637	1.08	1.08	1 1 2								
5/19/2004	K3 12-3	F/L	49.6771	65.0483	15.3712	12:00	2:00	64.8808	0.1003	1.09		1.12	1.09							
5/19/2004	K4 12-3	B/R	55.2068	70.2814	15.0746	12:00	2:00	70.1129	0.1685	1.12				1.12						
5/19/2004	K5 12-3 K6 12-3	F/R P/I	59.2012 57.8775	74.4159	15.2147	12:00	2:00	74.2464	0.1695	1.11	<u> </u>				1.11	1.14				
5/19/2004	K7 12-3	M/L	63.7403	78.7028	14.9625	2:00	6:00	78.543	0.1598	1.07							1.07			
5/19/2004	K8 12-3	F/L	58.9247	73.9477	15.0230	2:00	6:00	73.788	0.1597	1.06								1.06	0.05	
5/19/2004 5/19/2004	K9 12-3 K10 12-3	ы/R F/R	57.9555	73.2255	15.2922	2:00	6:00	73.0594	0.1457	U.95 1.09									U.95	1.09
Sample Position in mixer: mixer, batch number, clock position, level number								Average	1.19	1.21	1.21	1.20	1.22	1.20	1.21	1.18	1.17	1.18	1.16	
LOI furnace	e position: F	=front, M=r	niddle,B= b	ack,L=left,R	R=right				Std Dev.	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.08
									капде	0.29 All batched	U.34 Batch 1	U.24 Batch 2	U29 Batch 3	U.27 Batch 4	U.28 Batch 5	0.29 Batch 6	U.24 Batch 7	U.26 Batch 8	U.47 Batch 9	U23 Batch 10

			•.						1
3-Cavit	y core	box ca	ity com	parison			Date	6/7/2	2004
Tensile t	est Amb	vient Tem	neraure	F	77 4	Strain rate	in/sec		12
Mix amb	iont tom	nerature	76		Sand tem		-		76
Rinder	and 305		10		% hinder		Ratio na	art 1·2	55.45
Blow Dro		, 304	50		70 Diriuer	1.4		art 1.2	0.4
A Gas p	<u>essure, p</u>	<u>pei</u>	<u> </u>		A Cas tin				0.4
R Gas p	roccuro	poi	30		A Gas tin				1.2
D Gas pi		poi	20		D Gas tin				55/45
Heat to T	ESSUIE, FEA tanl	05I (NO		Fulge				55/45
		Mix	NO	Coro mix	Dogbono	Dochono	North	Middlo	South
Coro	maka	oomploti			maka	Broko	Covity	Covity	Covity
Number	time			aye at moko	time	Didke	Tanaila	Tanaila	Topoilo
Number	ume	First	Second	make,	ume	age aller	Tensile	Tensile	rensile
		hatch	batch						
1	10.27	10.18	Daton	0.00	12.50	2.22	222.2	225.1	226 1
2	10.27	10.10		0.09	12.50	2.23	200.0	235.1	230.1
2	10.30	10.10		0.12	12.52	2.22	220.1	230.7	230.0
3	10.32	10.10		0.14	12.33	2.21	230.5	229.0	230.3
4	10:34	10:18		0:10	12:54	2:20	230.5	237.3	223.7
 	10.35	10.10		0.17	12.00	2.20	224.7	230.3	217.9
0	10:36	10:18		0:18	12:50	2:20	227.4	243.2	219.5
/	10:38	10:18		0:20	12:58	2:20	229.8	237.3	234.9
8	10:40	10:18		0:22	12:59	2:19	231.3	227.3	227.0
9	10:42	10:18		0:24	13:00	2:18	225.3	220.7	233.6
10	10:44	10:18		Incomplete	core				
11	11:31		11:25	0:12	13:45	2:14	259.2	256.5	252.5
12	11:33		11:25	0:16	13:46	2:13	237.7	247.6	235.6
13	11:34		11:25	0:18	13:47	2:13	245.9	252.3	248.4
14	11:35		11:25	0:21	13:48	2:13	243.7	248.7	237.8
15	11:36		11:25	0:23	13:49	2:13	241.6	245.2	235.0
16	11:37		11:25	0:25	13:50	2:13	244.6	244.6	234.4
17	11:38		11:25	0:27	13:51	2:13	231.5	238.6	239.3
18	11:39		11:25	0:29	13:52	2:13	237.2	241.5	228.9
19	11:40		11:25	0:31	13:53	2:13	232.9	230.5	233.6
20	Ave ba	tch1					229.3	233.7	228.8
21	St De b	atch 1					3.73	6.71	7.12
22	90 % C	onf upper	r limit				235.4	244.7	240.5
23	<u>90 % C</u>	onf lower	limit				223.21	222.71	217.1
24	Conclu	sion	Cavities	are indisti	nguishable	<u>-</u>			
25	Ave ba	tch 2					241.6	245.1	238.4
26	St Dev	batch 2					8.32	7.66	7.49
27	90 % C	onf upper	r limit				255.24	257.62	250.67
28	90 % C	onf lower	limit				227.9	232.5	226.1
29	Conclu	sion	Cavities	are indisti	nguishable)			
30						2:16	235.5	239.4	233.6
Average						0:03	8.89	9.10	8.64
St Dev	90 % C	onf upper	r limit				250.0	254.3	247.8
	90 % C	onf lower	limit				220.9	224.5	219.4
	Conclu	sion	Cavities	are indisti	guishable	but batche	s are alr	nost visik	ble

Table B5. Dogbone core tool cavity tensile strength bias

Randomiz	ed break or	der	ТА	Detert				TA	Detert		TA	Detert		TA	Detert
		sorted	Break	Break			sorted	Break	Break		Break	Break		Break	Break
	Random	random	order by	order by		Random	random	order by	order by	Break	order by	order by	Break	order by	order by
Make seq	Num	Num	make seq	make seq	Make seq	Num	Num	make seq	make seq	Order	make seq	make seq	Order	make seq	make seq
1	41.20087	1.04	55		35	1.836061	25.26	3		1	55	58	1	55	58
2	44.32519	1.65		58	36	23.42218	28.31		27	2	5	9	2	5	9
3	2.176586	1.84	5		37	33.71348	30.86	46		3	38	56	3	38	56
4	4.720451	1.92		9	38	37.52077	31.02		26	4	40	24	4	40	24
5	31.01647	2.18	38		40	22.96506	31.16	5		5	54	10	5	54	10
6	41.22225	2.37		56	41	28.315	31.18		36	6	43	30	6	43	30
7	31.16431	2.65	40		42	1.036256	31.20	1		7	37	34	7	37	34
8	18.14228	3.42		24	43	15.54097	32.24		21	8	61	6	8	61	6
9	40.78116	4.72	54		44	40.31964	32.87	53		9	48	42	9	48	42
10	6.204437	6.20		10	45	16.67092	33.40		23	10	35	32	10	35	32
11	32.2402	8.53	43		46	45.62054	33.71	59		11	13	20	11	13	20
12	23.48797	8.82		30	47	38.82457	34.18		52	12	2	22	12	2	22
13	30.86461	8.85	37		48	24.57919	35.47	33		13	57	65	13	57	12
14	24.78752	9.73		34	49	9.734734	35.78		14	14	44	15	14	44	15
15	49.6539	10.72	61		50	11.29318	37.05	18		15	25	8	15	25	8
16	2.371959	10.99		6	51	35.77742	37.52		49	16	31	66	16	31	11
17	35.47304	11.02	48		52	11.4829	38.82	19		17	3	27	17	3	27
18	31.19507	11.29		42	53	11.01939	40.32		17	18	46	26	18	46	26
19	25.25946	11.48	35		54	1.916853	40.78	4		19	65	36	19	65	36
20	23.66596	14.49		32	55	49.88172	41.20		62	20	1	21	20	1	21
21	8.851952	15.54	13		56	56.81575	41.22	64		21	53	23	21	53	23
22	14.49172	16.24		20	57	10.98663	42.76		16	22	59	52	22	59	52
23	1.649096	16.67	2		58	34.17601	44.33	47		23	33	14	23	33	14
24	16.2441	18.14		22	59	37.04701	45.62		50	24	18	49	24	18	49
25	42.7628	19.35	57		60	31.18075	49.14	41		25	19	17	25	19	17
26	56.88406	22.97		65	61	2.650375	49.65		7	26	4	62	26	4	62
27	32.86969	23.42	44		62	55.79836	49.88	63		27	64	16	27	64	16
30	10.72079	23.49		15	63	49.13787	55.80		60	28	47	50	28	47	50
31	19.34599	23.65	25		64	8.532976	56.82	11		29	41	7	29	41	7
32	3.416066	23.67		8	65	8.82384	56.88		12	30	63	60	30	63	60
33	23.65303	24.58	31		66	33.40146	58.84	66			11	12			
34	58.83936	24.79		66									-		
	01	Carthalt		-		0					0			010	

Table B6. Worksheet to randomize dogbone make sequence into break sequence for tensile tests.

Step 1 first half Randomize

Step 1 scond half Randomize

Step 2 Consolidate Step 3 Eliminate high make numbers

Table B7a. Tensile test results for Hobart mixed sand tensile tested in Thwing-Albert Tensile tester.

Tensile Te	ester Com	parison		Mix/make da	ate	8-Aug-04				Break date	9-Aug-04		
Thwing-Alb	ert Tensile n	nachine				Ŭ		Hobart San	d Mixer		Ŭ		
Tensile test	Ambient Tem	peraure, F						Strain rate, i	n/sec				
Mix ambient	temperature	, F					Sand tempe	rature, F	1000				
Binder	· ·		Ashland	305/904			% binder	<u> </u>	1.4	Ratio part 1:	part 2	55:45	
Blow Pressu	ire, psi						Blow time, s	ec.					
A Gas press	ure, psi						A Gas time.	sec					
B Gas press	ure, psi						B Gas time.	Sec					
Purge press	ure, psi						Purge time.	sec					
Heat to TEA	tank												
				Sand Mix		Dogbone							
		Sand Mix	Core Make	Age @	Core Break	age @	Make	North Core		Middle Core		South Core	
Break	Mix batch	Time	Time	Make	Time	Break	sequence	Weight	North Core	Weight	Middle Core	Weight	South Core
Sequence	number	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	Hours	number	grams	Tensil psi	grams	Tensil psi	grams	Tensil psi
1	7	13:36	13:50	0:14	12:25	22.58	55	111.97	298.8	111.33	310.6	112.16	291.2
2	1	10:44	10:58	0:14	12:28	25.50	5	114.46	315.8	111.27	318.8	111.93	302.5
3	5	13:04	13:20	0:16	12:35	23.25	38	111.79	298.6	111.21	305.0	112.03	302.7
4	6	13:20	13:25	0:05	12:37	23.20	40	112.21	288.6	111.45	300.3	112.12	297.3
5	7	13:36	13:49	0:13	12:39	22.83	54	111.84	310.7	111.28	318.9	112.10	304.3
6	6	13:20	13:30	0:10	12:41	23.18	43	111.93	298.6	111.19	305.4	111.99	296.4
7	5	13:04	13:18	0:14	12:43	23.42	37	111.74	300.9	111.24	206.2	111.99	298.9
8	8	13:47	14:01	0:14	12:45	22.73	61	111.57	309.7	111.04	303.6	111.88	304.0
9	6	13:20	13:38	0:18	12:47	23.15	48	112.34	294.7	112.15	315.4	112.37	131.0
10	5	13:04	13:15	0:11	12:49	23.57	35	111.70	297.2	111.15	302.9	111.96	274.5
11	2	11:05	11:13	0:08	12:51	25.63	13	111.65	295.9	110.78	294.3	112.07	291.3
12	1	10:44	10:53	0:09	12:53	26.00	2	111.79	312.9	111.37	320.7	111.88	320.5
13	7	13:36	13:53	0:17	12:55	23.03	57	111.92	288.9	111.29	303.6	112.13	296.5
14	6	13:20	13:32	0:12	12:57	23.42	44	111.93	305.0	111.23	303.1	112.11	302.0
15	3	11:23	11:35	0:12	12:58	25.38	25	111.38	316.6	110.71	316.5	111.79	303.2
16	5	13:04	13:10	0:06	13:00	23.83	31	111.83	295.8	110.58	297.6	112.03	291.0
17	1	10:44	10:55	0:11	13:02	26.12	3	111.63	315.9	111.20	332.8	112.09	298.8
18	6	13:20	13:34	0:14	13:04	23.50	46	111.68	291.6	111.17	310.8	111.91	306.9
19	8	13:47	14:07	0:20	13:06	22.98	65	111.46	290.6	111.27	288.5	111.93	293.5
20	1	10:44	10:51	0:07	13:08	26.28	1	112.16	326.8	110.88	329.6	112.20	318.0
21	7	13:36	13:47	0:11	13:10	23.38	53	111.95	298.6	111.29	311.5	112.15	299.0
22	8	13:47	13:58	0:11	13:12	23.23	59	111.76	312.3	111.10	304.8	112.02	293.7
23	5	13:04	13:13	0:09	13:14	24.02	33	111.94	300.1	111.44	313.9	112.17	283.2
24	2	11:05	11:20	0:15	13:16	25.93	18	111.78	294.3	111.07	312.3	112.01	307.2
25	3	11:23	11:27	0:04	13:18	25.85	19	111.97	325.6	111.18	331.3	112.28	322.2
26	1	10:44	10:56	0:12	13:20	26.40	4	111.68	318.10	111.38	322.3	112.1	300.8
27	8	13:47	14:05	0:18	13:22	23.28	64	111.53	306.5	111.07	309.7	111.84	283.1
28	6	13:20	13:36	0:16	13:24	23.80	47	111.78	293.5	111.16	312.6	111.95	291.5
29	6	13:20	13:27	0:07	13:26	23.98	41	112.09	311.7	111.45	312.5	112.14	306.8
30	8	13:47	14:04	0:17	13:28	23.40	63	111.74	300.2	111.15	307.0	111.83	290.6
Average				0:12		24.10		111.91	303.82	111.20	307.42	112.04	293.42
St Dev				0:04		1.26		0.53	10.73	0.27	21.72	0.14	32.40
Reduced St	Dev								0.035		0.071		0.110
90 % Conf u	pper limit			0:19		26.21		112.79	321.84	111.66	343.91	112.27	347.85
90 % Conf lo	ower limit			0:05		21.99		111.02	285.79	110.74	270.93	111.81	238.99
Conclusion													

Batch 4 was discarded

Table B7b. Tensile test results for Hobart mixed sand tensile tested in Dietert 405Tensile tester.

Tensile Te	ester Com	parison		Mix/make da	ate	8-Aug-04				Break date	9-Aug-04		
Dietert 405	Tensile Test	er				Ŭ		Hobart San	d Mixer				
Tensile test	Ambient Terr	peraure, F						Strain rate, i	n/sec				
Mix ambient	temperature	. F					Sand tempe	rature. F					
Binder			Ashland	305/904			% binder		1.4	Ratio part 1:	:part 2	55:45	
Blow Pressu	ire, psi						Blow time, s	ec.		•			
A Gas press	sure, psi						A Gas time,	Sec					
B Gas press	sure, psi						B Gas time,	Sec					
Purge press	ure, psi						Purge time,	Sec					
Heat to TEA	tank												
				Sand Mix		Dogbone							
		Sand Mix	Core Make	Age @	Core Break	age @	Make	North Core		Middle Core		South Core	
Test	Mix batch	Time	Time	make	Time	Break	Sequence	Weight	North Core	Weight	Middle Core	Weight	South Core
Sequence	number	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	Hours	Number	grams	Tensile psi	grams	Tensile psi	grams	Tensile psi
1	8	13.47	13.56	0.06	12.40	22.73	58	111 97	ND	111 24	279	112.09	272
2	1	10:44	11.04	0.00	12:50	25.77	9	111.68	280	111 14	ND	112.00	ND
3	7	13:36	13.52	0:20	13:00	23.13	56	111.00	ND	111 27	237	112.00	234
4	3	11:23	11:34	0.10	13.10	25.60	24	111.00	243	110.70	ND	111.63	201
5	2	11:05	11.04	0.11	13.20	26.00	10	112.82	226	ND	244	112 52	130
6	5	13.04	13.09	0:01	13:30	24.35	30	111 74	235	111.06	241	111.87	241
7	5	13:04	13.14	0.00	13:40	24 43	34	111 80	240	111 17		112.03	240
8	1	10:44	10:59	0:16	13:47	26.80	6	111 16	256	111.30	ND	111.00	235
9	6	13.20	13.29	0.09	13:54	24 42	42	111 19	233	111.00	244	112.01	230
10	5	13:04	13.11	0.00	14.01	24.83	32	111.80	234	111 19	229	112.00	238
11	3	11:23	11:28	0:05	14:09	26.68	20	111.40	246	110.72	251	111.77	ND
12	3	11:23	11:31	0:08	14:16	26.75	22	111.39	254	110.34	244	111.61	253
13	2	11:05	11:12	0:07	14:24	27.20	12	111.52	233	111.01	235	111.95	241
14	2	11:05	11:16	0:11	14:30	27.23	15	111.55	216	110.87	233	112.06	214
15	1	10:44	11:03	0:19	14:37	27.57	8	111.58	236	111.32	265	112.08	240
16	2	11:05	11:10	0:05	14:43	27.55	11	111.72	221	111.07	242	111.94	219
17	3	11:23	11:38	0:15	14:51	27.22	27	111.25	233	110.49	250	111.51	245
18	3	11:23	11:37	0:14	14:58	27.35	26	111.63	240	110.88	249	111.80	255
19	5	13:04	13:17	0:13	15:04	25.78	36	111.82	223	111.26	235	111.89	231
20	3	11:23	11:30	0:07	15:10	27.67	21	111.34	232	110.37	ND	111.81	237
21	3	11:23	11:33	0:10	15:16	27.72	23	111.44	227	110.57	234	111.73	238
22	7	13:36	13:45	0:09	15:22	25.62	52	111.95	216	111.30	232	112.16	233
23	2	11:05	11:14	0:09	15:28	28.23	14	111.46	233	110.86	237	112.01	231
24	7	13:36	13:41	0:05	15:34	25.88	49	112.21	246	111.44	236	112.28	236
25	2	11:05	11:19	0:14	15:40	28.35	17	111.39	232	111.95	235	111.96	227
26	8	13:47	14:02	0:15	15:46	25.73	62	111.57	227	111.06	242	111.84	223
27	2	11:05	11:17	0:12	15:52	28.58	16	111.63	224	111.09	256	112.18	226
28	7	13:36	13:42	0:06	15:58	26.27	50	111.97	246	111.31	257	112.20	240
29	1	10:44	11:01	0:17	16:04	29.05	7	111.68	239	111.22	242	112.11	239
30	8	13:47	13:59	0:12	16:10	26.18	60	111.72	258	111.15	234	111.95	230
Average				0:10		26.36		111.65	236.75	111.08	243.32	111.97	233.04
St Dev				0:04		1.52		0.34	13.87	0.38	11.54	0.21	23.18
Reduced St	Dev								0.059		0.047		0.099
90 % Conf u	pper limit			0:18		28.92		112.22	260.05	111.72	262.70	112.32	271.99
90 % Conf lo	ower limit			0:03		23.80		111.08	213.45	110.44	223.94	111.62	194.09
Conclusion	ionclusion												

Batch 4 was discarded

Table B7c. Tensile test results for Klein mixed sand tensile tested in Thwing Albert Tensile tester.

Sand Mix	er Compar	ison		Mix/ make d	ate	6/9/2004			Break date	6/10/2004			
Thwing-Alb	ert Tensile n	nachine						Klein Sand	mixer				
Tensile test	Ambient Terr	peraure, F						Strain rate, i	n/sec				
Mix ambient	temperature	, F					Sand tempe	rature, F					
Binder			Ashland	305/904			% binder		1.4		Ratio part 1:	part 2	
Blow Pressu	ire, psi						Blow time, s	ec.					
A Gas press	sure, psi						A Gas time,	Sec					
B Gas press	sure, psi						B Gas time,	Sec					
Purge press	ure, psi						Purge time,	Sec					
Heat to TEA	tank												
				Sand Mix		Dogbone							
		Sand Mix	Core Make	Age @	Core Break	age @		North Core	North core	Middle Core		South Core	
Test	Mix batch	Time	Time	Make	Time	Break	Core ID	Weight	Tensile	Weight	Middle Core	Weight	South Core
Sequence	number	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	Hours	Seq-Cavity	grams	psi	grams	Tensil psi	grams	Tensil psi
1	2	11:36	11:55:45	0:19	12:04	24.14	55	111.84	279.3	111.26	285	112.04	266.8
2	1	11:02	11:08:30	0:06	12:07	24.98	5	111.81	292.4	111.38	298.8	112.07	288.5
3	2	11:36	11:41:00	0:05	12:09	24.47	38	111.9	283.6	111.21	291.3	112.22	287.3
4	2	11:36	11:42:45	0:06	12:11	24.47	40	112.09	303.8	111.1	319	112.24	301.9
5	2	11:36	11:55:00	0:19	12:13	24.30	54	111.84	219.1	111.11	244.7	112.06	268.8
6	2	11:36	11:45:15	0:09	12:15	24.50	43	112	272.5	111	281.8	112.33	282.8
7	2	11:36	11:40:15	0:04	12:18	24.63	37	111.82	287.1	111.27	290.9	112.35	283.8
8	2	11:36	12:00:50	0:24	12:20	24.32	61	111.85	267.6	111.23	267.5	112.08	249.2
9	2	11:36	11:49:00	0:13	12:22	24.55	48	111.94	268.6	111.05	287.2	112.17	259
10	2	11:36	11:38:40	0:02	12:24	24.76	35	112.25	288.4	111.38	291.4	112.27	288.7
11	1	11:02	11:15:20	0:13	12:26	25.18	13	111.83	266.5	111.46	284.4	112.11	286.7
12	1	11:02	11:06:00	0:04	12:27	25.35	2	111.88	290.9	111.35	303.3	111.97	283.9
13	2	11:36	12:05:50	0:29	12:28	24.37	67	111.84	258.1	111.17	261	112.05	262.9
14	2	11:36	11:46:00	0:10	13:30	25.73	44	112.01	260.7	110.97	283.2	112.35	259.1
15	1	11:02	11:24:50	0:22	12:32	25.12	25	112.01	274.2	111.31	296.4	111.91	259.2
16	1	11:02	11:29:25	0:27	12:33	25.06	31	111.8	257.7	111.23	264.7	111.76	272.8
17	1	11:02	11:07:00	0:05	12:34	25.45	3	111.84	290.5	111.42	298	112.02	302.5
18	1	11:02	11:27:15	0:25	12:36	25.15	28	111.75	267.3	111.25	259.8	111.84	258.6
19	2	11:36	12:04:15	0:28	12:37	24.55	65	111.94	249.5	110.91	260.7	112.19	257
20	1	11:02	11:05:00	0:03	12:39	25.57	1	112.05	305	111.43	310.9	112.15	294.3
21	2	11:36	11:54:20	0:18	12:40	24.76	53	111.89	286.4	111.49	290.3	112.11	278.7
22	2	11:36	11:59:00	0:23	12:42	24.72	59	112.06	264.4	111.43	288.3	112.31	276.5
23	1	11:02	11:31:00	0:29	12:43	25.20	33	111.83	266.7	111.35	288.6	111.92	277.3
24	1	11:02	11:19:30	0:17	12:45	25.43	18	111.8	282.6	111.31	286.4	111.98	277.9
25	1	11:02	11:20:15	0:18	12:46	25.43	19	111.83	274.4	111.38	276.9	112.01	272.8
26	1	11:02	11:07:45	0:05	12:48	25.67	4	111.77	293.8	111.36	288.5	112.01	294.9
27	2	11:36	12:03:10	0:27	12:54	24.85	64	111.7	267.5	111.14	259.7	112.06	260.1
28	2	11:36	11:48:40	0:12	12:56	25.12	47	111.97	285.5	110.89	271	112.3	278.4
29	2	11:36	11:43:25	0:07	12:58	25.24	41	111.96	280.4	111.07	294.5	112.37	274.3
30	2	11:36	12:02:20	0:26	12:59	24.94	63	111.73	261.1	111.12	246.7	111.93	270.4
Average				0:15	12:33	22:22		111.89	274.85	111.23	282.36	112.11	275.84
St Dev				0:09	0:18	10:40		0.12	17.47	0.17	17.86	0.16	13.98
Reduces St	Dev								0.06		0.06		0.05
90 % Conf u	pper limit			0:31	13:05	16:18		112.10	304.21	111.52	312.36	112.38	299.32
90 % Conf lo	ower limit			0:00	1:00	2:00		111.69	245.50	110.95	252.37	111.83	252.35
Conclusion				-				-	-		-	-	

cores 57 & 46 were faulty and were replaced by cores 67 & 28 respectively

Table B7d. Tensile test results for Klein mixed sand tensile tested in Dietert 405Tensile tester.

Sand Mix	er Compai	rison		Mix/ make d	ate	6/9/2004			Break date	6/10/2004			
Dietert 405	Tensile Test	er						Klein Sand	Mixer				
Tensile test	Ambient Terr	peraure, F					Strain rate, i	n/sec					
Mix ambient	t temperature	, F					Sand tempe	rature, F					
Binder			Ashland	305/904			% binder		1.4		Ratio part 1:	55:45	
Blow Pressu	ure, psi						Blow time, s	ec.					
A Gas press	sure, psi						A Gas time,	Sec					
B Gas press	sure, psi						B Gas time,	sec					
Purge press	ure, psi						Purge time,	Sec					
Heat to TEA	tank			Operator			Operator			Operator			
				Sand Mix		Dogbone							
		Sand Mix	Core Make	Age @	Core Break	age @		Core		Core		Core	
Test	Mix batch	Time	Time	Make	Time	Break	Core ID	Weight	Core Tensil	Weight	Core Tensil	Weight	Core Tensil
Sequence	number	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	hh:mm	Hours	Seq-Cavity	grams	psi	grams	psi	grams	psi
1	2	11:36	11:58:10	0:22	12:20	24.36	58	111.83	212	111.33	218	112.18	204
2	1	11:02	11:12:20	0:10	12:25	25.21	9	111.85	230	111.32	224	111.98	214
3	2	11:36	11:56:30	0:20	12:30	24.56	56	111.95	208	111.4	206	112.14	198
4	1	11:02	11:24:00	0:22	12:35	25.18	24	111.91	218	111.32	<u>2</u> 14	111.95	212
5	1	11:02	11:13:00	0:11	12:40	25.45	10	111.9	222	111.48	222	112.01	214
6	1	11:02	11:28:45	0:26	12:45	25.27	30	111.9	212	111.26	192	111.83	188
7	1	11:02	11:31:40	0:29	12:50	25.31	34	111.8	212	111.06	214	111.88	204
8	1	11:02	11:09:30	0:07	12:55	25.76	6	111.63	186	111.34	226	111.95	228
9	2	11:36	11:44:30	0:08	13:00	25.26	42	111.92	210	110.88	218	112.28	222
10	1	11:02	11:30:10	0:28	13:03	25.55	32	111.79	204	111.3	216	111.82	208
11	1	11:02	11:21:05	0:19	13:08	25.78	20	111.92	214	111.37	220	112.02	206
12	1	11:02	11:22:30	0:20	13:12	25.83	22	111.76	216	111.39	222	111.98	218
13	1	11:02	11:14:35	0:12	13:22	26.12	12	111.79	222	111.32	236	112	212
14	1	11:02	11:17:00	0:15	13:27	26.17	15	111.95	216	111.5	210	112.04	214
15	1	11:02	11:11:20	0:09	13:32	26.34	8	111.77	204	111.39	224	111.95	208
16	1	11:02	11:13:45	0:11	13:36	26.37	11	111.69	226	111.34	192	112.04	234
17	1	11:02	11:26:25	0:24	13:41	26.24	27	111.87	214	111.3	224	111.95	202
18	1	11:02	11:25:35	0:23	13:46	26.34	26	111.83	210	111.24	210	112.01	212
19	2	11:36	11:39:30	0:03	13:50	26.18	36	111.9	222	111.49	222	112.43	220
20	1	11:02	11:21:45	0:19	13:55	26.55	21	111.86	218	111.34	222	111.99	220
21	1	11:02	11:23:15	0:21	14:00	26.61	23	111.9	216	111.23	210	111.83	210
22	2	11:36	11:53:30	0:17	14:04	26.18	52	111.88	216	111.18	220	112.11	210
23	1	11:02	11:16:10	0:14	14:09	26.88	14	112.01	216	111.4	220	112.09	220
24	2	11:36	11:50:50	0:14	14:15	26.40	49	111.92	214	110.73	220	112.16	210
25	1	11:02	11:18:45	0:16	14:19	27.00	17	111.9	212	111.39	220	112.02	214
26	2	11:36	12:01:35	0:25	14:24	26.37	62	111.79	204	111.12	214	112.03	210
2/	1	11:02	11:17:45	0:15	14:29	27.19	16	111.//	210	111.36	210	111.96	204
28	2	11:36	11:51:40	0:15	14:35	26.72	50	111.92	208	110.98	213	112.17	198
29	1	11:02	11:10:20	0:08	14:40	27.49	1	111.73	228	111.43	220	112.12	198
30	2	11:36	11:59:50	0:23	14:46	26.77	60	111.84	208	111.2/	218	112.12	220
Average				0:17		26.05		111.85	213.60	111.28	216.57	112.03	211.07
St Dev				0:06		0.75		0.08	8.49	0.18	9.00	0.13	9.58
Reduced St.	. Dev.								0.04		0.04		0.05
90 % Conf u	pper limit			0:28		27.31		111.99	227.87	111.58	231.69	112.26	227.16
90 % Conf lo	ower limit			0:05		24.79		111.71	199.33	110.99	201.44	111.81	194.97

Table B7e Summary of Tensile test data from tables B7a-d

Sand Mix	Dogbone							dogbone
Age @	age @	North Core		Middle Core		South Core		cavity
Make	Break	Weight	North Core	Weight	Middle Core	Weight	South Core	average
hh:mm	Hours	grams	Tensil psi	grams	Tensil psi	grams	Tensil psi	Tensile

T-A Hobart	
------------	--

Average	0:12	24.10	111.91	303.82	111.20	307.42	112.04	293.4	301.55
St Dev	0:04	1.26	0.53	10.73	0.27	21.72	0.14	32.4	21.62
Reduced St Dev				0.035		0.071		0.110	0.072
90 % Conf upper limit	0:19	26.21	112.79	321.84	111.66	343.91	112.27	347.8	337.87
90 % Conf lower limit	0:05	21.99	111.02	285.79	110.74	270.93	111.81	239.0	265.24

405 Hobart

Average	0:10	26.36	111.65	236.75	111.08	243.32	111.97	233.04	237.70
St Dev	0:04	1.52	0.34	13.87	0.38	11.54	0.21	23.18	16.20
Reduced St Dev				0.059		0.047		0.099	0.068
90 % Conf upper limit	0:18	28.92	112.22	260.05	111.72	262.70	112.32	271.99	264.91
90 % Conf lower limit	0:03	23.80	111.08	213.45	110.44	223.94	111.62	194.09	210.49

T-A Klein

Average	0:15	24.93	111.89	274.85	111.23	282.36	112.11	275.84	277.68
St Dev	0:09	0.44	0.12	17.47	0.17	17.86	0.16	13.98	16.44
Reduces St Dev				0.064		0.063		0.051	0.059
90 % Conf upper limit	0:31	25.68	112.10	304.21	111.52	312.36	112.38	299.32	305.30
90 % Conf lower limit	0:00	0.08	111.69	245.50	110.95	252.37	111.83	252.35	250.07

405 Klein

Average	0:17	26.05	111.85	213.60	111.28	216.57	112.03	211.07	213.74
St Dev	0:06	0.75	0.08	8.49	0.18	9.00	0.13	9.58	9.03
Reduced St. Dev.				0.040		0.042		0.045	0.042
90 % Conf upper limit	0:28	27.31	111.99	227.87	111.58	231.69	112.26	227.16	228.91
90 % Conf lower limit	0:05	24.79	111.71	199.33	110.99	201.44	111.81	194.97	198.58

this page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX C GLOSSARY

this page intentionally blank

Glossary

% LOI	Loss on Ignition: a gravimetric weight change test where a dried sample is weighted before and after being burned in air at 1800°F. Weight change is expressed as % of original weight.			
Mean	Average			
Mixedness	A measure of the uniformity of distribution of one set of materials in a matrix of another material.			
Standard Deviation	A statistical measure of dispersion about a mean value			