

**Casting Emission Reduction Program** 



Operated by

# 

5301 Price Avenue McClellan, CA 95652 916-929-8001 www.technikonllc.com

US Army Contract W15QKN-05-D-0030 FY2005 Tasks WBS # 1.3.1

# Digital Mold Printing: Demonstration and Evaluation

1412-131 NA

**February 2007** (*Revised for public distribution - May 2007*)







UNITED STATES COUNCIL FOR AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH



# Digital Mold Printing: Demonstration and Evaluation

# 1412-131 NA

This report has been reviewed for completeness and accuracy and approved for release by the following:

Director of Advanced Technologies

//Original Signed// Leo Dobitz

Date

Vice President

//Original Signed// George Crandell

Date

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive	Summary1
1.0	Abstract
2.0	Purpose7
3.0	Process Definition / Selection
4.0	Digitally Printed Mold Demo Number One15
4.1.	Model Simulation
4.2.	Simulation Effort
4.3.	Filling Analysis
4.4.	Solidification Analysis
4.1.	Demo Number One Production Process
4.1.	Pouring Process (Conventional & Digital Molds)
4.1.	Radiographic Results
4.2.	Heat Treat
4.1.	Metallurgical Analysis
5.0	Digitally Printed Mold Demo Two (M66 Cradle P/N 7046651)37
5.1.	Simulation Modeling
5.2.	Simulation Effort
5.3.	Cradle Production Process
5.4.	RIA Pouring (Digital Mold)
6.0	Conclusions
Appendix	A Inspection Radiographs
Appendix	B MSDS Sheets for Material Used in Digital Process
Appendix	C Presentation Defining Process as Performed
Appendix	D Acknowledgements
Appendix	E Acronyms and Abbreviations

# LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 3-1	S-15 Process Station	10
Figure 3-2	Job Box Entering Unloading Station	11
Figure 3-3	Mold Extraction	11
Figure 3-4	Process Comparison Chart	12
Figure 3-5	Build Box Loading	13
Figure 4-1	Feeder, Forward P/N 12524269	15
Table 4-1	Sand Casting Simulation Parameters	16
Figure 4-2	Mesh Model with Chill	16
Figure 4-3	Casting, Gating & Runner Assembly	17
Figure 4-4	Fill Simulation	17
Figure 4-5	Filling at 15 Seconds	18
Figure 4-6	With and Without Filter Fluid Velocity Comparison	19
Figure 4-7	Three Times Fill Rate	20
Figure 4-8	Porosity Comparison	21
Table 4-2	Final Results of Mold Package Design	22
Figure 4-9	Build Box Layout	23
Figure 4-10	Mold Extraction & Cleaning	24
Figure 4-11	Feeder Core Box	24
Figure 4-12	Cope Side of Pattern	25
Figure 4-13	Drag Side of Pattern	25
Figure 4-14	Complete Mold Package	26
Figure 4-15	Partial Mold Package	26
Figure 4-16	Surfaced Chill	27
Figure 4-18	Modified Chill Used in Mold Number One	
Figure 4-19	Digital Mold Assembly	
Figure 4-17	Hollowed Chill	
Figure 4-20	Assembled Digital Package	29
Figure 4-21	Digital Mold Number One Pour	30
Figure 4-22	Mold Number Two Run Out	30
Figure 4-23	Pouring Conventional Mold	30
Figure 4-24	Smoke from Conventional Mold Package	31
Figure 4-25	Feeder Number One Radiograph	32
Table 4-3	Heat F943 Aluminum Test Bar Results	33

Table 4-4	Mechanical Properties Results	
Table 4-5	Dendrite Arm Spacing Measured From Micrographs (Inches)	
Figure 4-26	B1b at 50X	
Figure 4-27	T1a at 50X	
Figure 4-28	B6b at 50X	
Figure 5-1	M66 Cradle P/N 7046651	
Table 5-1	Simulation Parameters for M66 Cradle	
Figure 5-2	M66 Model	
Figure 5-3	Model of Ten Second Fill	
Figure 5-4	Model of Solidification Pattern	
Figure 5-6	Final Thermal Simulation	
Figure 5-5	Model of Relocation of Down Sprue	
Figure 5-7	Mold Cleaning	44
Figure 5-8	Mold with Chills	44
Figure 5-9	Core Placement	44
Figure 5-10	Digital Package Number Two Pour	45
Table 5-2	Heat Chemistry	45
Figure 5-13	Cradle Hot Tears	46
Figure 5-11	Poured Mold	46
Figure 5-12	As Poured Cradle	46
Figure 5-14	M66 Cradle P/N 7046651 (X-ray Shot 3)	47
Figure 6-1	Cost Avoidance/Savings Chart	
Figure 6-2	Complexity Breakeven Chart	51

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The intent of the digital mold printing demonstration effort was two fold. First was the desire to evaluate the process against conventional Army foundry processes performed at Rock Island Arsenal (RIA) and second, to determine under what circumstances this technology would be both applicable and economical for Army applications.

By definition, digital mold package printing allows the production of a complete sand mold/core package directly from a Computer Aided Design (CAD) model of the desired cast part. In simplistic terms, this is done by binding thin layers of part geometry in sand and building layer upon layer resulting in a three dimensional sand mold. Those precursory efforts normally required in developing a tooling/pattern package (i.e. rigging design, gating/risering, shrink, etc.) are incorporated into the CAD model for printing. Since this is all accomplished in a digital environment, manipulation and changes are accomplished with little effort and no requirement to modify hard tooling. The process eliminates the need for patterns and core boxes and the lead time and cost associated with their manufacture.

The digital printing equipment selected for this effort was an S-15 Rapid Cast Technology (RCT) by Pro Metal, a division of The Ex One Company. It was selected because of its materials compatibility with the existing RIA molding system (furan based sand system). Also, the S-15 system is capable of printing molds for both ferrous and non-ferrous metals, a requirement for RIA workload.

Both a ferrous and non-ferrous part was selected for the effort. CAD solid models of the parts were supplied to the vendor for the addition of rigging and the eventual building of the mold model. This model was converted to an STL file from which the S-15 created the sliced file to print. Since the printing takes place in a sand bed, support super structure, common in most rapid prototyping equipment, is not required. This results in only printing that which represents the final mold package.

The demonstration process steps for both parts were the same (i.e. CAD model, add rigging, solidification simulation and print mold). After this effort, the molds were printed in Irwin, PA and shipped to RIA for assembly and pouring. Two of each part were poured at RIA and underwent the normal post processing required by the technical data package. In both cases, serviceable parts were produced.

The RCT process proved to be a viable competitor in cast part production, especially in lead time and complex part geometry. The elimination of hard tooling to produce a first article or prototype part makes the process very competitive for small lot runs and multiple prototype iterations. The more complex the part geometry (i.e. lacycores), the more competitive the process becomes. The digital versatility also allows the production of different configurations concurrently. This is extremely efficient for small lot production of assemblies that require several different cast parts.

The economical break even point for the technology is a moving target. The more complex the part, the higher the production number break even becomes. In single part production, without existing tooling or prototypes, conventional processes are not competitive. In the case of lead time, the process produced molds well ahead of the conventional methods. Obviously, complexity and quantity are big drivers in the economical feasibility of the process. Based on the demonstration efforts, the technology has a niche that makes it the most economical and effective process in production requirements that require rapid turn time and are of smaller quantities. System development and prototypes also fit into this niche.

Hybrid mold packages (i.e. printed cores and conventional mold) were not developed during this demonstration effort but have even greater potential for being economical and competitive. Complex core packages can be printed in a single unit thereby eliminating multiple core boxes, core assembly and core registration problems. Not printing the bulky mold frees the S-15 to produce the complex portions of the mold package in greater numbers and integrate them into the conventional molding process. This would drive the break even quantity higher.

The S-15 RCT would significantly complement the current Army casting process since it is driven by short lead times, low quantities and complex geometry with stringent quality requirements. Multiple iterations of tooling design could be proven out to produce first articles prior to investing in the cost and lead time associated with hard tooling. This would allow the optimization of a process before going into a production run of significant

quantities. RCT is a technology that should be seriously considered in future modernization/capability enhancement efforts within the Army organic maintenance structure in support of field activities to both reduce lead time and costs.

# 1.0 Abstract

Metal castings are ubiquitous in consumer and military products and are increasingly being evaluated for cost implications in new product development programs. Conventional casting production normally requires using a "pattern" technique, which is the critical and integral initial production step of the casting process. Once component design is complete, the "pattern" is typically the longest-lead item, represents considerable expense, is produced from a diminishing pool of skilled trade resources and imposes a lack of agility to new product development programs and compressed lead-time requirements for legacy components. Three-dimensional printing technology continues to develop new materials, processes and equipment for emerging markets, novel applications and as a means to decrease costs and timing compared to conventional manufacturing techniques.

Many processes currently on the market (Stereo Lithography Apparatus, Stratus, etc.) allow the digital printing of a pattern that integrates into the conventional casting process via investment molds or loose patterns to produce tooling. Several manufacturers are now marketing digital printing equipment that allows the production of a sand mold package directly from a 3D CAD file, bypassing the normal intermediate pattern step. The commercial development of this technology builds upon research and patents accomplished at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). The beauty of the process is its digital nature which permits rapid design changes without the associated cost of tooling manufacture or modification. There also appears to be some level of production, depending on part geometry, that would be cost effective using this process as opposed to the cost and leadtime involved in conventional tooling. The digital environment also allows a large degree of latitude in design since many of the traditional casting rules can be violated without adverse consequences (elimination of draft, cores integral to mold, etc.).

### 2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of the Rock Island Arsenal Joint Manufacturing Technology Center (JMTC) Digital Mold/Core Printing demonstration project was to evaluate the capability, compatibility and effectiveness of the digital mold printing process. It is being evaluated against conventional molding techniques applied to typical DOD workload at RIA's JMTC for consideration as a potential technology insertion effort. Typical production requirements for the Army at RIA include small production lot sizes, complex geometry with stringent quality requirements, various alloys, legacy components and desired short lead times to support critical mission requirements.

# 3.0 PROCESS DEFINITION / SELECTION

For this demonstration effort, the digital mold printing process was defined as the ability to produce sand molds and cores for casting various metals (i.e., both ferrous and nonferrous), using conventional foundry materials, directly from a 3D CAD file, bypassing the tooling/pattern requirement.

Four different vendors' products were initially investigated for participation in the demonstration effort. Based upon system compatibility with RIA current process capabilities, only one commercially available product appeared to be able to meet the requirements. A second product appeared compatible for the effort but required chemical leaching of the core materials, a process not consistent with current capabilities at RIA. Adding the capability to leach core material from a casting is not considered an insurmountable obstacle to the demonstration effort. Unfortunately, the system is not commercially available without extensive redesign and associated long production lead-time (four years). Therefore, it was not included in the evaluation. Two other systems commercially available were not compatible with the elevated temperatures used in pouring steel. They would be sufficient for less heat intensive pours such as aluminum and advertised equal performance characteristics. Because of the inability to handle steel pours, which represents the bulk of the RIA foundry workload, these two systems were not included in the evaluation effort.

Of the vendors contacted for possible participation in the demonstration project, only the Rapid Casting Technology (RCT) system from The Ex One Company was compatible with the current conventional casting process requirements at RIA. It incorporates like materials, emulates conventional casting practices as far as material handling is concerned, can withstand the pouring temperatures of the various alloys used at RIA, and is readily available in the commercial sector with a complete technical support package.

The RCT is a systems approach to manufacture sand molds and cores without the requirement to manufacture patterns or core boxes. Using the technical principles embedded in 3D Printing technology, complicated sand molds and cores are 3D Printed in the 1.5M x 0.75M x 0.70M Job Box, using conventional foundry sand, resin binder and an activator (see Appendix B for Material Safety Data Sheets for materials used). An integrated material handling system transports the Job Box from the 3D Printing Process Station, by means of powered material conveyance equipment, to the Unloading Station, where the molds and cores are removed. Simplicity in the system design enables alternative layouts and the ability to reconfigure the equipment as production requirements change. Since the RCT process does not require a pattern to produce the casting, small job lot quantities that do not have available tooling, low volume product development applications and low volume niche production are ideal candidates when response time, set-up charges for small batch production and tooling costs must be minimized.

The RIA process, against which the comparisons were made, utilizes conventional foundry equipment which has been in place at RIA for over twenty years. The Ex One Company equipment was used in the digital mold printing evaluation process. For this effort, the molds were actually printed in Irwin, PA and Keyport, WA then packaged for shipment to both RIA and Technikon.

Shown below (Figure 3-1) is the RCT S-15 Process station and Unloading Station. The Process Station uses a print head to dose the binder onto each layer of silica sand, where the mold geometry is required. Repeating the layering process produces the three-dimensional geometry.



Figure 3-1 S-15 Process Station

Figure 3-2 shows the Job Box entering the Unloading Station via a powered roller conveyor. Molds and cores are extracted from the Job Box as the bottom plate is raised, exposing the parts for final cleaning.





Figure 3-3 is a photo of the first demonstration part mold packages during extraction from the build box. Four complete three-part mold packages were printed in a single build box.





Figure 3-4 provides a graphical representation of a comparison to help demonstrate the process differences between the conventional RIA process and RCT processes.



The left side represents the conventional sand casting process where patterns and core boxes are manufactured to produce molds and cores. The right side represents the digital process that eliminates the need for hard tooling. The center section represents those operations that are required by either process. The shaded green rectangle represents the solidification modeling process. This is not a required process in developing the mold configuration but it increases the likelihood of producing a serviceable part the first time by either process. It becomes more important as design geometry requirements create more complex geometry with thinner sections and more stringent porosity and metallurgical specifications.

The inherent flexibility becomes obvious when a change in the tooling is required. In the digital arena, only the CAD model requires modification whereas in the conventional process, all the affected tooling would require modification.

The conventional sand casting process requires a pattern or series of patterns to produce sand molds. All the sand molds will be a negative of the pattern and will be identical, thereby producing the identical cast part.

In the digital process no pattern is built to produce the molds. Because the molds are produced (3D Printed) directly from the CAD file, each and every mold can be unique which provides the opportunity to produce unique castings. This allows nearly unbounded flexibility in mold geometry being printed concurrently. This has huge schedule implications when producing small lot sizes of various parts.

Figure 3-5 shows various sand molds and cores printed in the Job Box during one production build. This demonstrates the flexibility of simultaneously producing unique multiple, patternless sand molds and cores directly from CAD files.





## 4.0 DIGITALLY PRINTED MOLD DEMO NUMBER ONE

## 4.1. Model Simulation

After careful consideration of several parts, the Feeder, Forward P/N 12524269, Figure 4-1, was selected for the first demonstration effort. Based on RIA history in producing the part, it provides a unique set of challenges in spite of its apparent simple geometry. Because of the part design, solidification needs to be controlled to minimize porosity and hot tears

to produce a serviceable casting. In addition, the part requires radiographic inspection to verify very stringent technical specifications. The feeder has been problematic during production and has resulted in several iterations of chills to achieve the desired results. Since this is a current production part at RIA and applicable process data already exist, it presented an opportunity to compare the new technology against established methods.

Along with the complete technical data package, a native Computer Aided Design (CAD)



Figure 4-1 Feeder, Forward P/N 12524269

part file was supplied to the vendor. RIA also supplied an "as cast" part with rigging showing how the part is currently being produced. This was done for information purposes only and not as a process requirement. The vendor was not constrained by the current RIA process and was not required to replicate it in order to allow them the flexibility to demonstrate the full range of the capability of their technology. Since the digital mold printing technology allows much greater freedom in developing the mold package by violating traditional molding rules (i.e. no draft etc.), the vendor had the flexibility of designing their own rigging that best supports their technology.

The Ex One Company, via subcontractors Advanced Tooling Design (ATD) and EKK, generated a finite element model (FEM) from the STL surface files using the CAPCAST

automatic 3D FEM mesh generator commercially available from EKK. The mesh model shows the chill under the part depicted in green in Figure 4-2.







Conductivity Cal/cm sec. °C 0.3988

0.0015

0.217

the mold design/build used in the solidification modeling effort.

## 4.2. Simulation Effort

Sand

The initial sand casting simulation was performed using the parameters shown in Table 4-1.

			1
Initial Temperatures			
AI 356.2		704° C	1
Sand Mold		25°C	
-			-
Latent Heat of fusion for AI 356.2			
Initial Viscosity		0.015 poise	
Latent Heat		95.7 cal/g	
Liquidus Temperature		622.0°C	
Solidus Temperature		555.0°C	
			-
Heat Transfer Coefficients			
Casting vs. Sand		0.2 cal/cm^2 sec. °C	
-		-	
Filling Parameters			
Desired Fill Time		9 sec.	
		Density	Specific Hea
Material Properties		g/cc	Cal/g.K
AI 356.2		2 1646	0 285

 Table 4-1
 Sand Casting Simulation Parameters

1.8

# 4.3. Filling Analysis

Figure 4-3 Casting, Gating & Runner Assembly

Filling analysis number one was performed without a filter. Comments on analysis number one as provided by the vendor:

> "The actual filling of the casting cavity is unremarkable. The fluid enters through the gates with a velocity of not much more than 25cm/sec. There are no pauses anywhere during the casting fill that might cause misrun defects. There is quite a bit of turbulence at the bot-



tom of the sprue. The fluid falls from a relatively great height for almost the duration of filling. This is unnecessary turbulence (Figure 4-4) that may lead to oxide caused defects in the casting. At a minimum, reducing the cross/sectional area of the sprue must be considered in order to give the fluid somewhat of a cushion as it reaches the bottom of the sprue. There would seem to be quite a bit of room to increase the rate of fill of this casting. Fluid is entering the casting at a relatively low rate. It could be sped up by about 20 cm/sec at each gate without much worry of turbulence problems. A faster fill would also mean that the likelihood of misruns caused by the chill plate would be reduced as well."

Examining the fluid temperatures during the fill leads to the conclusion that either the filling must be faster, or the iron chill is too large. Near the end of the fill there are significant regions of the cavity on the upper surface that have cooled to a point where solidification is already taking place. The fluid is so cool on the top surface because this is the fluid that encountered the chill at





room temperature. Filling the cavity faster would prevent some of this excessive heat loss. Reducing the chill size must also be explored as an option as well.

Figure 4-5 is a visual representation of the temperature gradient as the part filled. As evidenced by the color change during filling, the metal is solidifying before it enters the risers. This reaffirms the need to fill the part in significantly less time or reduce the size of the chill. This observation led to modifying one of the two chills made for the demonstration pour. After discussion with all involved, some rigging was changed and filters were added to the gating system. A second filling simulation was then run.



Figure 4-5 Filling at 15 Seconds

Filling analysis number two was performed with a filter. Comments on analysis number two as provided by the vendor:

"The simulation included filters at both sides of the sprue. It was hoped that the filters would slow the fluid leaving the sprue enough so that the sprue tower might fill up and give a cushion to the falling fluid in the sprue itself. The filter does not seem to be successful in this mission. There is only a very slight change in overall speed and the sprue never really fills up enough to create a sufficient cushion." Figure 4-6 is a graphic representation of the filling rates both with and without the filters. As one can see by the elapsed time on each view, there is little to no difference in the rate of filling. The filters were completely ineffective in slowing the fluid and the sprue showed no evidence of providing a choke. The process review, with all involved parties, resulted in a concern with the filling rate. Collectively, the decision was made to attempt to get the pour time to less than ten seconds. This resulted in a third filling simulation.



Figure 4-6 With and Without Filter Fluid Velocity Comparison

Filling analysis number three was performed using an increased filling rate. Following are the vendors' comments on the results of analysis number three.

"The filling velocity is set at 3X original rate and fills the cavity in about 11 seconds. The pouring cup does overflow a little towards the end of the simulation. At about 9.9 seconds the fluid reaches the very top of the filling cup. In real life this would indicate that there would be some molten alloy spilling over at that time. Reducing the pouring amount at about 9.5 seconds or sooner would prevent any overflow and would likely not affect the filling of the cavity. That the pouring cup does not fill up until very late in the simulation indicates that it is quite possible to fill the cavity even faster, though a constant fill rate would not be possible.



Three Times Fill Rate

As evident in Figure 4-7, the part fills in about seven seconds as opposed to the original 20 seconds. This rate also supported a higher temperature gradient which eliminated the concern for misruns.

#### 4.4. **Solidification Analysis**

Solidification analysis was performed with and without a chill. Following are the vendor comments on the results of the "with chill" analysis.

"The chill plate at the bottom of the cavity is very effective at creating good directional solidification towards the risers. There is no evidence of solidification separation during solidification which would cause obvious shrinkage. The porosity analysis predicts no more than 2% per unit volume in the cavity at certain locations. This is not a cause for concern for two reasons:

- The porosity analysis is based on the casting solidification starting from • a uniform temperature. This leads to over prediction, an absolutely worst case scenario as it were.
- Porosity less than 5% is generally not visible."

A like analysis was accomplished without the chill. Vendor comments on this analysis were:

"This analysis was performed to show the effectiveness of the chill plate. Note in the solidification how the upper surface and lower surface of the casting become separated during the solidification. This results in a rather ridiculous amount of shrinkage porosity. The design of this casting makes the chill plate a necessity."



### Figure 4-8 Porosity Comparison

Figure 4-8 is a graphic representation of the porosity difference between using a chill plate and not using a chill plate. The red color represents potential porosity problems. As evident by the graphic, the image on the right, without chill plate, has a severe porosity potential. This demonstrates the need for a chill plate.

An In Process Review was conducted on February 17, 2006. General observations and conclusions:

- 1 Chill plate is very effective at forcing directional solidification
- 2 Size and shape of sprue likely to cause excess turbulence at the bottom of the sprue

Metallurgist, Paul Rosenthal, made the following comments for consideration:

"I agree the severity of the chill needs to be reduced. I don't think you can increase the fill rate from what it is in the model, as it will become impossible for the metal pourer to keep the sprue "choked up". Note that neither the second or third simulation shows that the sprue is the choke, so ... it's probably in the filters in each runner leg."

"To keep the cup from "overflowing," the metallostatic head pressure can be increased by adding 25 mm to the height of the cup side of the shell. This lets the pourer fill the cup during fill and cut input off before riser overflow is too severe without leaving the riser tops unfilled, as is seen in model three . The effective head pressure then becomes increased by the height above the riser top."

"The choke in the sprue bottom should allow for 1.59 Kg/Sec flow of metal and the flow rate for each runner filter should never drop below this rate; then, it's possible to hold constant the sprue pressure, and the metal velocity for the entire fill."

"The ideal input temperature should result in a constant sprue metal temperature of 705°C and should be obtainable from a tap temperature of 725°C in a properly preheated ladle."

"The casting body fills at an average rate of 1.29 Kg/Sec in 3.8 seconds against the ever increasing back pressure of the rising metal level applied in the sprue."

"A correct round tapered or square tapered sprue shape can be figured for the 239 mm height compatible with the flow rates recommended. The drop well beneath the sprue should be two times the runner vertical height."

The severity of the chill remained a concern and was going to be tested in a pour at Technikon prior to the RIA pour. The Table 4-2 represents the final results of the mold package design.

Gating Calculations Results			
Casting Weight (lbs) approx.	11		
Density (Lbs/Cu In)	0.1		
Metal Type	AI A356.0		
# Castings Per Mold	1.0		
Total Weight (lbs) approx.	25		
Pouring Temperature (down the sprue)	1310°F		
Pouring Time (sec)	11.0		
Pouring Rate (lbs/sec)	3.49		
Sprue Height (in)	8.5		
Effective Sprue Height (in)	6.0		
Sprue Geometry	round/tapered		
Sprue Top Dimension (in)	1 3/8		
Choke Dimension (in)	7/8		
Pouring Basin Depth (in) approx.	2		
Well Area (in X in)	3.0		
Height of Pattern In Cope (in) est.	5.0		
Gated At	bottom		
Runner Type	u shaped		
Filter	extruded non choke		
Gating Ratio	1.,4.,4.		
Total Runner Area (in)	2.4		
Total Gate Area (in X in)	2.4		

### Table 4-2 Final Results of Mold Package Design

# 4.1. Demo Number One Production Process

### **Digitally Printed Molds**

Based on the simulation results and input from the group, the molds, as designed for simulation number three, were printed by The Ex One Company. The molds were designed in such a fashion so as to include hand holds for ease of handling and identification documentation printed into the sand. Also, core placement registration posts were incorporated in the mold package to eliminate any misalignment. These features, not normally available in a conventional mold, generated positive comments from all the molders involved.

Four digital molds were printed in a single build box arranged in the shown pattern (Figure 4-9). The molds did not require the entire capacity of the build box which, in normal practice would have been filled with other part geometry molds for economic reasons. Because the molds are printed, their orientation within the build box is unrestricted. As printed for the test, two of the center cores were printed upside down and nested with the two printed right side up, thereby conserving space in the box.



Figure 4-9 Build Box Layout

The actual printing very much resembles a large ink jet printer. A print head is moved across the sand surface and prints a binder system on the applicable geometry. This is repeated layer by layer to develop the 3D geometry mold. Once the molds were printed, the non-activated sand was vacuumed from the build box and the molds extracted. The mold

sections were then cleaned of loose sand with a vacuum system as shown below in Figure 4-10. A close look at the left side of the graphic reveals the part geometry in the build box. The printed geometry has a darker appearance than the unbound sand.



### Figure 4-10 Mold Extraction & Cleaning

The Power Point presentation (Appendix C) is a good pictorial representation of the entire mold generation process. It provides greater detail into the entire process from CAD model to mold extraction.

### **Conventional Molds**

By comparison, the conventional mold package requires first manufacturing the required core boxes and patterns. This included two core boxes and a two-on pattern. After several pours, the chill shape was modified to improve the solidification process. After all

tooling was manufactured, the following sequence of events needed to take place to complete a mold package ready for pouring.

The oil sand cores were hand rammed in the core boxes depicted in Figure 4-11. This process, broken down by sequential steps, included:

- 2. Mix sand
- **3.** Hand fill core box
- 4. Compact

### Figure 4-11 Feeder Core Box



- **5.** Strike off core
- **6.** Remove loose pieces
- 7. Plate strike surface
- 8. Rollover box
- 9. Remove core half
- **10.** Bake core/cool
- **11.** Coat core with wash
- **12.** Assemble core package (paste)
- **13.** Store for placement in mold package

Note: By gluing core halves together, fins develop on the parting line of the posts when mud washes away.





The manufactured patterns (cope Figure 4-12 and drag Figure 4-13) were mounted on insert boards. Rigging (gates/risers) were then mounted on the insert boards to feed the casting. The sequence of events required to produce the mold package included:

- 1. Place insert board in bolster
- 2. Set flask on bolster using pin registration
- 3. Place risers and down sprue
- 4. Place chills on drag
- 5. Mull Olivine (green) sand
- **6.** Dispense sand and hand ram with pneumatic rammer
- 7. Strike off cope and drag
- 8. Plate drag and roll over onto carrier board
- 9. Pull drag pattern
- **10.** Lift cope from pattern
- **11.** Remove risers and down sprue
- **12.** Apply vents and whistlers
- **13.** Blend fillets as required
- **14.** Remove loose sand from cope using air hose
- **15.** Remove loose sand from drag sing air hose
- 16. Set pasted cores into drag prints
- **17.** Set cope on drag and close mold package

Figure 4-13 Drag Side of Pattern



- **18.** Paste pouring cup to cope (complete mold package shown in Figure 4-14)
- **19.** Pour
- 20. Cool
- **21.** Shakeout green sand on vibrating shake out table
- **22.** Remove casting and core package/retrieve chill
- **23.** Place as poured part into core knocker to remove core
- **24.** Blast clean
- 25. Remove rigging
- 26. Post process same for both mold processes

# Figure 4-14 Complete Mold Package



### 4.1. Pouring Process (Conventional & Digital Molds)

### Technikon Pour (Digital Mold)

Two digitally printed mold packages arrived at Technikon on March 15, 2006. Both were undamaged. The Ex One Company exercised care in packaging the molds to avoid breakage as evidenced by in Figure 4-15. The molds were palletized using foam rubber and boxed in to prevent shifting. The chill, which weighs 33 pounds, and extruded filters were shipped separately and received in good condition.

The intent at Technikon was to determine if there were going to be any abnormalities associated with the demonstration effort at RIA that could be prevented by Technikon's

experience with the printed molds. Part of this concern was associated with the size of the chill. Prior to assembly, the chill was placed in the bottom core relief using a magnet. The chill did not seat completely in the bottom core relief, outsized by approximately .07 inch and required some modification. Technikon machined the chill on both sides to remove the high spots which allowed it to seat properly. Approximately .07 inch total stock was removed to make the chill parallel. This





was not a uniform removal since the surface was not flat and witness marks were evident on the original chill surface as show in the Figure 4-16. The mold package was assembled, including chill and supplied filters, using core paste between the mold sections and weighted in preparation of the pour. The first part poured at Technikon, at 1300°F, did not fill completely. Because of this, a modification in the pouring technique was used to increase the likelihood of filling the second mold. The second pour resulted in less than completely filled risers though the part itself was completely filled. The risers farthest from the sprue filled noticeably slower and in fact did not completely fill. This supported the concern of metallostatic head pressure based on the sprue and the risers being the same height and the large mass of the chill. Because of this experience, the test group concurred on modifying the chill and adding a pouring box to increase the effective sprue height before pouring at RIA.

### **RIA Mold Assembly**

On March 20, 2006, The Ex One Company, Technikon, The Practical Metallurgist and the Army all met at RIA to accomplish the two test pours. Three conventional RIA molds of the same part were poured from the same melt. One part from the accompanying pour was selected for comparison purposes and was post processed with the two demonstration parts. Prior to the pour, RIA had experimented with several configurations of chills in an attempt to reduce porosity. Based on the results of the solidification modeling effort by EKK, RIA modified a chill and incorporated it into their normal production process. The newly developed chill was used in the concurrent pour on March 20th. The



hollowed out chill was placed in the drag with its perimeter corresponding to the part outline as illustrated in Figure 4-17. The core package was placed in the core print over the chill and the cope placed on the drag. Parts produced using this process passed the radiographic requirement.

Based on the earlier experience at Technikon, the chill for the printed mold was modified by RIA to reduce its effect. The chill was pocketed to a thickness of 0.5 inch with an original thickness perimeter section. Figure 4-18 represents the modified chill. For the pour of the printed molds at RIA, the modified chill was placed in mold number one and the "as designed" chill was placed in mold number two. Both packages received the provided extruded filters. Digitally printed mold package number one was assembled with core paste (Figure 4-19) while package number two was assembled dry. The chill in mold number two was outsized for the receiving cavity by approximately .015 inch. This prevented the mold package from seating properly and compromised the self-sealing, self-venting and self-supporting design of the printed package. In hindsight, mold package number two should have been assembled with core paste to alleviate the compromised assembly. Both mold packages had a stan-

### Figure 4-17 Hollowed Chill



Figure 4-18 Modified Chill Used in Mold Number One






dard RIA pouring box applied directly over the original sprue and sealed with core paste. The intent was to increase the effective sprue height thereby increasing the metallostatic head pressure to help achieve a desired pour time. Once assembled, weights were placed on the mold (Figure 4-20) assemblies as a means of holding them together.

Concurrent with the assembly of the digital mold packages, three RIA molds were assembled for pouring from the same melt. Noted for comparison purposes, the RIA mold pack-



Assembled Digital Package

age was two off as opposed to the single part digitally printed mold. This was intentionally done to reduce costs associated with printing and transporting larger mold packages. Each part in the RIA package had two gates feeding the part whereas the Digital package had six gates feeding the part. Also, the RIA mold incorporated foam filters as opposed to the extruded ceramic filters used in the digital package.

Figure 4-20

## Melt Detail

Demo number one heat was serialized as Heat F943 – A356Al. Approximately 840 pounds of A356.0 grade aluminum was prepared with a melt furnace temperature amended to 1340°F and transferred into an aggressively pre-heated ladle for degassing. After a seven minute degassing with anhydrous nitrogen, the ladle temperature was approximately 1320-25°F. This resulted in an average mold fill temperature of 1315°F.

### Pour Detail for Digital Molds

The pour began at 0820 on March 20, 2006. The two digital molds were poured first

followed by three conventional RIA molds. Digital mold number one filled in 11.25 (Figure 4-21) seconds and digital mold number two filled in 11.5 seconds. The adjusted head pressure was effective in improving the fill observed at the top of the risers. The fill rate indicated that the filters were not the choke, as planned by the design. The riser farthest from the sprue on both digital molds filled markedly late, indicating a velocity mismatch, choked or unbalanced condition in the runners or gates. In the case of mold number two, the slow filling riser was actually filled by the overflowing adjacent riser. The suspect gate was later identified in the cleaning room. Mold number two ran out (Figure 4-22) after filling at the seam created by the out sized chill raising the body core. The quick action of an RIA molder, who staunched the leak with a steel rod in two places, prevented a complete run out. It was later identified that the run out was restricted to the sprue and a portion of the runner. There was no loss of material in the actual part, which was saved.





Figure 4-22 Mold Number Two Run Out



Figure 4-23 Pouring Conventional Mold

#### Pour Detail for Conventional Molds

Conventional molds, numbers three through five, were subsequently poured (Figure 4-23) from the same heat. Mold number three filled in 7.5 seconds, mold number four was miss poured and pour time not recorded and mold number five was poured in 7.25 seconds. After pouring, there was a noticeable difference in



the smoke produced from the conventional mold packages as evidenced in Figure 4-24 below. In the case of the digital molds, there was no visible evidence of smoke.

All the castings were left in the shells for two hours prior to shakeout. Extraction of the castings was uneventful. The digital package was easier to shake out, especially the area

#### Figure 4-24 Smoke from Conventional Mold Package



between the posts. Following shakeout, the parts were cleaned and the removal of the rigging was completed. Once this was accomplished, visual inspection was performed prior to radiographic inspection. The following observations were made during the visual inspection:

- Cold shots from the sputtering gate at high velocity against the massive chill in mold number two were observed. This was originally an item of concern in the design stage based on the size of the chill. Because of the concern, the second chill, used in mold number one, was modified and did not produce the sputtering effects seen in mold number two.
- The run-out from mold number two stopped before any casting features were impacted. The lost material was confined to the runner and filter areas of the rigging.
- The surface quality obtained on both demo castings is deemed acceptable without core wash coating, which was omitted to eliminate additional variables in the process.
- Conventional molded parts had an undesirable parting line mismatch.
- Conventionally molded parts had a better visual surface finish due to the application of core wash.
- Based on the rigging design, removal of same was less labor intensive with the

conventional package (i.e. two runners per part as opposed to six).

After visual inspection, the parts were moved to NDT for radiographic inspection against production standards.

# 4.1. Radiographic Results

The technical data package required radiographic inspection per MIL-STD-2175 Class 3, Grade B in areas indicated: zones C5 and F4 Class 4, Grade C, remainder of casting (casting material only).

Both castings from molds number one and number two were free of any ratable discontinuities. The cold shots noted earlier were substantially remelted into the bottom plate and the remaining indications in the surface were in the stock removal zone.



Both parts from the digitally printed

molds met the radiographic requirements. Sample part one radiograph is shown here in Figure 4-25. The second feeder Radiograph is shown in Appendix A.

# 4.2. Heat Treat

The technical specifications require solution heat-treating and artificially age to temper T6 MIL-H-6088.

A decision was made between the metallurgists on the part location for obtaining mechanical test coupons from the bottom plate area of a selected casting (number one) and a companion poured RIA conventionally molded part. Test coupons were heat-treated using the following process:

- Heat to 1000°F and hold for 12 hours
- Quench in warm (approximately 80°F) water
- Natural age Hold at room temperature (approximately 72°F) for 18 hours minimum
- Artificial age Heat to 310°F and hold for 6 hours
- Air cool

As a point of reference, Heat F943 passed mechanicals in heat treat March 28, 2006. Actual test bar results are shown in Table 4-3.

	Specification Minimum	Test Bar Result
Tensile (ksi)	38	45
Yield (ksi)	28	34

Table 4-3Heat F943 Aluminum Test Bar Results

Following heat treat, the coupons moved to the metallurgical lab for microstructure examination.

The two parts poured in digitally printed molds met all the technical specifications required by the drawing package. Of significance, was the difference in appearance of the post structure supporting and separating the two plate sections. Since the draft was eliminated from these structures and they were printed as one core, there was no mismatch at the post centerline as has been typical of the cope and drag mold process.

# 4.1. Metallurgical Analysis

A total of 45 micrographs in three formats representing seven mechanical test coupons were examined. The samples were obtained from castings at locations in the top and bottom plate sections of the first digital mold poured and the last conventional mold poured.

Table 4-4 contains all the mechanical properties results from the seven test coupons heat-treated to the T6 condition. The data shows that all tensile and yield strength values are

	Requirements Minimum	T1a	T1b	B1a	B1b	T6a	T6b	B6a	B6b
Tensile (ksi)	38	39	*	39	42	38	39	39	43
Yield (ksi)	28	33	*	32	33	33	33	32	33
% Elongation	5	2.1	*	3.1	5.1	1.9	2.7	3	7.3
Key:	1 = first digital shell poured								
	6 = last RIA mold poured								
	T or B = top or bottom plate								
	a or b = location within	n the plat	te listed						

#### Table 4-4Mechanical Properties Results

in conformance with minimum requirements; the yield strength being the most consistent item throughout. A more variable performance was observed in the tensile results and the variability in the ductility (% elongation) from conforming to failed values is great. The traditional reason for this data performance is inclusions or mechanical defects in the samples. A coarse, non-uniform grain structure may also cause these results. A second proximate cause can also be as a result of performance in heat treatment in establishing the T6 condition, or in the solution heat treatment.

A comprehensive examination of the micrographs was undertaken to determine which of the possible conditions could be found. As a starting point, the four samples depicted in Table 4-5 detail the dendritic arm spacing measured in those sample micrographs. The bottom of casting one has a very small spacing while the top is very large. Casting six has more consistent top/bottom spacing but is fairly coarse compared to the bottom of casting one.

Table 4-5	Dendrite Arm Spacing Measured From
	Micrographs (Inches)

	B1b	T1a	B6b	T6a
1	0.004630	0.004320	0.009330	0.018010
CI1	5.000000	2.000000	6.000000	10.000000
SDAS	0.000926	0.002160	0.001555	0.001801
12		0.004440	0.005520	0.009870
CI2		2.000000	3.000000	5.000000
SDAS		0.002220	0.001840	0.001974
13			0.004870	0.014440
CI3			3.000000	8.000000
SDAS			0.001623	0.001805
4			0.005770	
CI4			3.000000	
SDAS			0.001923	
Average	0.000926	0.002190	0.001735	0.001860

Key: 1 = first digital shell poured

6 = last RIA mold poured

T or B = top or bottom plate

a or b = location within the plate listed

Comments from the metallurgist were:

"(The) figure (labeled B1b at 50X) shows the uniform grain structure of the bottom plate in casting one as well as the attendant microporsity and inclusions from rapid cooling. It is no surprise that this area produced the best mechanical properties for casting one. (The) figure (labeled B6b at 50X) shows the fairly large size grain structure and duplex nature of the top plate sample for casting one that produced the poorest properties. Figure 4-28 demonstrates the uniform structure and cleanliness environment that produced the best properties in casting six. The poorest properties in this casting in T6a seem to be unexplained until a selective etch reveals the presence of residual coring in the high temperature areas of the grains. This was suspected in the center because that area would be the last to solidify under even colder risers that feed by volumetric "overkill". This does not mean that anything caused this from heat-treating; rather the amount of segregation occurring in the casting on solidification in the foundry was higher than normal treatment could homogenize. The relative apparent quality of the micrograph leads one to suspect a "hidden" reason for the low ductility. No other sample showed any indi-



Figure 4-27 T1a at 50X





cation that coring was present but, it was deemed not productive to check all the samples centers."

At this point, demonstration part one was considered complete. Conclusions on demonstration one are contained in the final section of this report.

# 5.0 DIGITALLY PRINTED MOLD DEMO TWO (M66 CRADLE P/N 7046651)

# 5.1. Simulation Modeling

In order to demonstrate the compatibility of the digital molding process with both nonferrous and ferrous metals, a steel part was selected for the second effort. The particular part selected was the M66 Cradle, P/N 7046651, Figure 5-1. The part geometry, with an abundance of thickness transitions, has been a challenge for RIA. Using the tooling provided,

RIA has not been successful in producing a serviceable part. Because of the part design, solidification needs to be controlled to minimize porosity, shrink and hot tears to produce a serviceable casting.

As with the first demonstration part, a complete technical data package and a native CAD part file was supplied to the vendor. RIA also supplied digital images of the tooling that was supplied to RIA. (Note: RIA did not move





forward on manufacturing this part.) This was done for information purposes only and not as a process requirement. Again, the vendor was not constrained by the current RIA process and was not required to replicate it in order to allow them the flexibility to demonstrate the full range of the capability of their technology.

The Ex One Company, via subcontractors ATD and EKK, generated a finite element model from the STL surface files using the CAPCAST automatic 3D FEM mesh generator commercially available from EKK.



Table 5-1Simulation Parameters for M66 Cradle

Initial Temperatures	
Steel alloy (assumed to be similar to 4130)	1610°C
Sand Mold	25°C

Latent Heat of fusion for Steel Alloy				
Initial Viscosity	0.05 poise			
Latent Heat	65.0 cal/g			
Liquidus Temperature	1500°C			
Solidus Temperature	14700°C			

Heat Transfer Coefficients	
Casting vs. Sand	0.2 cal/cm^2 sec C

Filling Parameters	
Desired Fill Time	3 sec.

Again, the demonstration was to be a complete process effort therefore; it included solidification modeling in an effort to increase the probability of producing a serviceable part on the first pour. Figure 5-2 is a solid model of the part with all the rigging and riser attached. This represents the "as poured" configuration. Using this model, fill and thermal simulations were made to predict outcome and evaluate rigging for potential problem areas.

## 5.2. Simulation Effort

Table 5-1 shows the parameters used for the sand casting simulations for the M66 Cradle

Filling analysis began with the agreed upon rigging approach. The first fill analysis was performed assuming a 10-second fill. Following are the vendors comments associated with the 10-second fill:

"It was initially assumed to try to fill the cavity in about 10 seconds. Because the fill tube and the horizontal runner don't come close to being completely

	Density	Specific Heat	Conductivity
Material Properties	g/cc	Cal/g.K	Cal/cm sec C
Steel	7.85	0.199	0.0719
Sand	1	0.3855	0.00244





filled until the end, it can be inferred that the cavity can be filled in much less time. As it stands in this simulation, a 10second fill renders the outside sprue almost useless. What actually happens is that fluid from the part actually begins to fill the sprue instead of vice versa."

Figure 5-3 is a visual representation of the ineffectiveness of the ten-second fill. It is clear the left sprue is nearly useless at this fill rate. Based on these results, a second fill analysis was performed using a 5-second fill rate. Vendor comments concerning the 5-second fill were:

"A 5-second fill time parameter creates a much more reasonable fill of the cavity as opposed to the 10-second fill. The side sprue is active in filling the cavity instead of the reverse. However, some hesitation does occur in the cavity at roughly two seconds. It occurs near a gate midway up the casting between the two sprues."

The second simulation improved the fill process but did reveal minor prob-

lems in one area. A thermal analysis associated with natural solidification in first and second fills was performed to evaluate the rigging. The following comments were made:

"One solidification analysis was performed assuming a uniform casting temperature of 1600°C. The second solidification analysis imported casting temperatures from the final filling results. There are negligible differences between the 2 simulations.

The simulation based on fluid flow temperature predicts that the casting will solidify within 210 seconds.

The solidification pattern shows regions where molten metal becomes isolated in the casting during solidification (red area in Figure 5-4). These regions are potential shrink growth regions and will negatively alter the mechanical properties of the

Figure 5-4 Model of Solidification Pattern



casting in those regions.

The Niyama criteria analysis gives more definitive locations of potential trouble areas.

Faster cooling rates and more directional solidification will improve overall casting quality. Unfortunately, the casting design contains alternatively thick and thin regions which make directional solidification problematic. The numerous thick sections make the possibility of precisely located chilling difficult as well.

Many of the thick problematic sections are located near sprue gates. Widening these gates may serve to delay the freeze of these gates and allow for more solidification flow into the runner."

Based on the previous analysis, the group agreed that some chilling was required to achieve a good part. A thermal analysis was run using natural solidification in a simulated zirconium mold to help the chilling effects. Comments from this effort were:

"This simulation crudely tries to capture the effect of a convective sand material inside the sand mold. In this case, the Zirconium completely surrounds the casting and sprue to a one inch thickness. The chilling effect is quite extensive and results in a much improved solidification pattern than in the nominal configuration. The thick sections solidify relatively quickly and there are more instances of solidification flow into the sprue."

The working group agreed that incorporating chromite inserts would be the most practical method to help the solidification process. Slight modifications were also made in the rigging system. Chill locations were agreed upon and a simulation was run. Comments from that simulation were:

"Chromite inserts were simulated to help solidify the thick sections more quickly. Geometrical changes were also made to the sprue. The solidification pattern is improved in this design. There is much less isolated shrinkage in the heavy sections and better directional solidification when compared to the initial design. The Niyama criteria analysis also shows an improvement over the previous design. The upper half of the casting overall shows more improvement than the lower side. It seems though that extra chilling emphasis may need to be placed on the outer casting bosses (bosses not in between two sprues). Even with the current chilling scheme, defects in these bosses might be stubborn." Three-Second Fill Vendor comments:

"A 3-second fill is quite possible with this design. Although the far sprue is not as inactive as in the slower simulations, there still is a good amount of fluid dropping into the casting cavity causing excess turbulence."

Based on this simulation, the working group agreed that slight modifications needed to be incorporated in the runner system. These were simple changes which allowed an almost immediate additional three-second fill simulation.

Second Design Iteration (Three-Second Fill) Vendor comments:

"There is definitely less dropping fluid in this design when compared to the previous design. The filling is much more even up the casting and not as violent. There can be some improvement made to the downsprue as well, though. Note how the fluids momentum carries is in a downward parabolic arc as it initially falls through the sprue. This is especially noticeable in the center sprue. It is recommended that the top of the sprue be curved to match the fluid stream. The current configuration can lead to excessive oxide inclusion."

After this simulation, the down sprue was moved to the center of the horizontal runner bar to facilitate more even filling. A third design iteration was accomplished and another three second fill simulation accomplished. Vendor comments were:

"The most notable issue with this design iteration is the dripping of down into the casting on the right side (when looking at the front view). The changes to the runner to help the casting fill more evenly from top to bottom seem to work as intended for the most part. There are occurrences of premature "seepage" from some upper gates; the one that must be addressed is the upper right gate. The turbulence of all the fluid dropping from this gate will most likely cause problems. Moving the main pour basin closer to this right sprue might help to lessen the fluid inertia, thus lessening the unintentional flow into the gate somewhat. Another possibility may be to lower the casting down along the sprue by an inch or more so that the fluid no longer has so much horizontal inertia when it passes by the gate." Noticeable in Figure 5-5 is the relocation of the down sprue and the tie bar that was added between at the base of the two vertical runners.

The thermal portion of this simulation resulted in the following comments:

> "The thermal benefits from this latest design are mixed. In some locations, namely the middle bosses, the Niyama criteria analysis show some improvement from the previous design. However, in other locations, chiefly the upper right gates, the directional solidification is reduced somewhat causing Niyama criteria analysis to show a larger possibility of defect problems in those areas. Increasing the cross sectional area of the gates may be necessary to bring back a degree of proper solidification."

Figure 5-5 Model of Relocation of Down Sprue



Minor changes were made in the rigging design after this simulation in an attempt to correct the new problems encountered. The fourth design iteration (three-second fill) slightly





complicated the existing problem as evidenced by the following vendor comments:

"Unfortunately, the new gate design will cause a dripping effect from the left side gate now. This gate will need to be either narrowed, moved towards the middle (width-wise) of the downsprue, have the draft removed, or a combination of all three."

This resulted in a fifth design iteration that seemed to cure all the concerns associated with solidification. This became the model that ATD converted into a mold package for printing. Figure 5-6 represents the final thermal simulation for the M66 cradle. The three-second fill (the part is actually full before threeseconds) results in a temperature gradient high enough to avoid misruns.

# 5.3. Cradle Production Process

## **Conventional Molds**

Rock Island was supplied patterns by the customer for the M66 Cradle. RIA was unsuccessful in producing a serviceable part using the supplied tooling. Since all the pattern/core boxes were supplied, there was no comparative data available on the tooling development process. RIA was requested to return the tooling to the customer and design new tooling for the part. At this point in time, this requirement has not been formalized and RIA is in the preliminary design phase. Because of this, there was not a concurrent pour as with the first demonstration effort. This eliminated the possibility of a side-by-side comparison. The bottom line to the conventional effort with supplied tooling was the inability to produce a serviceable part. It was after this effort that the foundry working group decided to tackle the M66 as a demonstration part.

## **Digitally Printed Molds**

Based on the review of the working group and all the process modifications, the fifth simulation iteration was agreed upon as the file to print. ATD used this file to develop the mold package. The mold package was designed to be self-supporting and included text on individual components (i.e. cores) to identify them. The self-supporting design included interlocking parts with wedges to hold them in place. Because the part geometry necessitated the need for chills to support directional solidification; cavities were designed into the mold package to receive the chills. The molds were printed on an S-15 RCT machine in Irwin, PA and shipped to RIA for pouring. The chills were hand rammed in core boxes since they were of a different medium (i.e. chromite sand). Both molds and all chills were received at RIA September 6, 2006, in good condition. For ease of shipping and to minimize the potential for shipping damage, the molds were assembled and packaged in foam rubber. As in the previous demonstration, The Ex One Company took extreme care in packaging to ensure molds were delivered undamaged.

## Digital Mold Assembly

On September 12, 2006, The Ex One Company, Technikon, The Practical Metallurgist and the Army all met at RIA to accomplish the M66 cradle pour. The printed mold packages

were disassembled for cleaning and the insertion of chills prior to assembly. Figure 5-7 shows the mold being air cleaned prior to placing the chills. Once all the components were cleaned, chromite chills were placed in the appropriate cavities using core paste where necessary. In Figure 5-8, the chromite chills are evident by their darker color as compared to the mold. Once all the chills were placed, the core sections were added to the mold package (Figure 5-9). After core placement, the sprue section of the mold package, acting as a locking cap, was added to complete the package. The mold package had a standard RIA pouring box applied directly over the original sprue and sealed with core paste. Since the foundry operating personnel were not accustom to self-supporting printed molds and were concerned about their stability, it was collectively decided to band the packages to ensure there would not be any run outs.

# 5.4. RIA Pouring (Digital Mold)

#### Melt Detail

#### Demo Number Two Heat was serialized as F878 - Class 4 Steel

A five ton electric arc furnace was used to produce a three ton class 4 steel heat for transfer to a three ton ladle. This size furnace was used because of the requirement to pour several other parts that required the same chemistry. A continuous slag run-off practice was employed to produce a heat chemistry in the acceptable range established for class four steel. The block-to-tap time was 10:40 minutes, slightly over the maximum allowable 10 minutes, was troublesome be-

#### Figure 5-7 Mold Cleaning



Figure 5-8 Mold with Chills



Figure 5-9 Core Placement

![](_page_51_Picture_11.jpeg)

cause of the rain which maximizes re-acquisition of **Table 5-2** hydrogen into the bath.

#### Heat Chemistry

The chemistry for this heat is shown in Table 5-2. The furnace was tapped at a temperature of 3014°F and resulted in a ladle temperature of 2992°F.

#### Pour Detail

A standard keel block of test bars was poured as stand alone tests for mechanical properties. A full line of sand spade castings were poured prior to filling the M66 molds, which were poured at an estimated tem-

perature of 2950°F. Digital mold number one filled

in 5.4 and digital mold number two filled in 5.7 seconds, which calculates to a poured weight of 65 and 68 pounds respectively. Figure 5-10 is digital mold package number two being poured. A bottom pour ladle was utilized for the pouring.

Figure 5-10	Digital Package	Number Two	Pour
-			

	set . en		
	and the second		
	Safet and		
			1

	Target	Ladle
С	0.25-0.30	0.28
Mn	0.50-0.65	0.53
P max	0.015	0.01
S max	0.015	0.015
Si	0.45-0.55	0.44
Ni	0.47-0.55	0.54
Cr	0.47-0.55	0.46
Мо	0.47-0.55	0.52
Cu		0.16
V		0.018
Al	0.030-0.065	0.051

Figure 5-11 illustrates the bound mold package after it was poured. Extraction of the castings was uneventful. Figure 5-12 represents the as poured part (i.e. rigging still attached). The castings were sent to the cleaning room for sand removal and blasting. Once cleaned, the castings were photographed and visually inspected. Visual inspection revealed small areas of hot tears (Figure 5-13), mostly at section changes. Observations were identified for weld repair after rigging was removed. Standard class 4 steel parameters and materials were used for the repair.

After visual inspection, the parts were moved to non-destructive testing (NDT) for inspection against production standards.

#### Figure 5-11 Poured Mold

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

Figure 5-12 As Poured Cradle

#### **Inspection**

The part drawing requirements do not specify any radiographic or magnaflux inspection requirements for the M66 cradle. Both prototype castings passed visual inspection for commercial quality as delivered from the cleaning room (i.e. serviceable parts assuming geometry is accurate). Nevertheless, both parts were

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

Figure 5-13 Cradle Hot Tears

![](_page_53_Picture_10.jpeg)

subjected to both radiograph and magnaflux inspection based on a "first article" practice of RIA.

During radiographic inspection, indications of vitrified inclusions and/or hydrogen gas accumulations were observed in what all observers agree were acceptable areas and concentrations. These were well away from chromite sand inserts and solidification control of boss areas. Noticeably absent were indications of interdendritic shrinkage which plagued the previously examined Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) castings. Figure 5-14 is radiographs of the M66 cradle. Additional radiographs are shown in Appendix A. The small inclusions, mentioned earlier, are visible as slightly darker spots.

#### Figure 5-14 M66 Cradle P/N 7046651 (X-ray Shot 3)

M66 Cradle P/N 7046651 (X-ray Shot 3)

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

The cradles were magnaflux inspected according to military specifications. Areas containing linear indications which were not apparent because of their tightness at the surface were indicated but are assessable and repairable by standard methods. They do not show up on radiographic plates. These areas were marked for delivery to the cleaning room for weld repair.

### **Post Processing**

At the time of this report, the M66 Cradle prototype parts have not completed the required post processing. The parts need to be heat treated to the 105/85 class per ASTM A148. Test coupons should also be heat treated with the castings. The coupons will then require mechanical testing.

After heat treatment, the part surfaces should be re-inspected and the part geometry should be checked for accuracy per the technical data package.

this page intentionally left blank

# 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Eliminating the need for hard tooling in the casting process has huge implications on cost as well as lead time. Traditionally, tooling has required significant investment in lead time, skilled labor and cost. The ability to produce serviceable casting on the first pour is directly related to the quality of the tooling design, precision of the tooling built and the control of the casting process. It is not uncommon for first pours to require changes in tooling design to reduce or eliminate casting defects and optimization of the process. These changes can be extensive and expensive if they involve significant tooling changes. Reducing or eliminating these costs as well as shortened lead times are the hallmark of the digital process.

Based on the two demonstration parts produced with digitally printed mold/cores for the RIA effort, the following advantages were realized:

- Eliminated the need for high-cost tooling while in the prototype/first article effort. Tooling can be expensive, relies on skilled labor that is in short supply and tends to extend lead time to production.
- Mold printing is driven directly from a CAD model therefore; design changes can be quickly and inexpensively incorporated into subsequent iterations for optimizing the casting process. As noted earlier in this report, multiple iterations in tool design were accomplished and simulated prior to production.
- Producing in a digital environment allows new design freedoms not available in conventional processes. Based on simulation modeling, changing rigging design on the M66 Cradle to a complicated geometry to help filling and solidification was only a CAD change. The printed geometry would have been an extensive and expensive change in hard tooling. This again optimized the process before an investment is made in hard tooling for longer production runs.
- Unique serialization for prototype parts can easily be added to each part thereby insuring unique identification through post processing. This ensures any changes made in the process are identified to a unique part when analysis is made towards optimization of the production effort.

For infrequently produced parts, only the storage of a digital file is required. If in • the future the same part is again required, only the digital file has to be retrieved and compared to the current technical data package for latest revisions. If there are revisions, only the CAD model requires changing. In the case of hard tooling, an inventory has to be maintained to retrieve the tooling from storage. Once retrieved, the tooling needs to be made serviceable and compared to the latest technical data package for applicability. If there is a drawing revision, the hard tooling would have to be modified to meet the new requirement. This is not an uncommon situation for DOD requirements especially when dealing with legacy systems that have been in inventory for decades and have gone through numerous design configuration changes. The digital process allows producing a family of parts from one design to meet this sort of requirement. Design iterations can be specific to original production lots therefore; multiple designs of the same part can be required. In the world of hard tooling, this would require multiple tools and increases storage and identification.

Compared to the conventional foundry process, there will be some cost break even point depending on tooling required and the number of parts required to be produced. With conventional tooling, obviously the more parts required the less per part the tooling cost since it is a direct cost associated with making even a single part. Figure 6-1 is a graphic representation of the cost avoidance/savings achieved using digital mold printing technology.

For prototypes or limited production there is a significant difference in cost. As the production quantity increases and the cost of tooling are amortized over more parts, the cost per part becomes less. Obviously, at some quantity, it becomes more cost effective to produce hard tooling.

![](_page_57_Figure_4.jpeg)

Another area of initial savings that can be significant is related to multiple iterations required to produce serviceable а part. In many instances, serviceable complex parts are not produced on during the first pour. Tooling modifications can be costly and time consuming. With the digital mold printing process, only a CAD model change is required and a new mold printed.

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

As with mold patterns, core boxes get complex and drive up cost considerably. In many instances, multiple boxes are required to produce a single core (i.e. halves are pasted together to form a single core). Utilizing the digital printing process, complex cores can be manufactured with the same ease of a simple core. Again, complexity can drive potential cost savings as depicted in the Figure 6-2 graph.

The digital mold printing technology is not without its drawbacks. Currently the technology is such that the required equipment needs to be housed in a controlled environment for both temperature and humidity. Such an environment is traditionally not found in an operating foundry. This is not to say that future foundries may look considerably different than today's.

Process material recycling is also an issue. At this time, the excess/used sand is not recycled as is the practice in conventional foundry processes. This adds considerable cost to the process and is an area that deserves considerable attention. If process material recycling can be practical, operating cost could be reduced significantly and the break even quantities would move to the right.

Like most new technologies, the initial capital investment is substantial and will hopefully decrease over time as the technology matures.

Based on the RIA demonstration effort, there is a niche market that could greatly benefit from the digital mold/core printing technology. Low quantities of complex parts, prototypes and critical single part requirements fit nicely into this niche. RIA tends to operate in this sort of environment on a daily basis. Since RIA cost data was not shared in the demonstration effort, an exact cost saving on the demonstration parts is not available. It was apparent that from viewing the process that a 50 % reduction in lead time would be a conservative estimate. In the case of the M66 Cradle, RIA was unsuccessful in producing the part with the provided tooling. Using the digital printed mold process resulted in serviceable parts on the first pour.

It is recommended that RIA do a complete cost comparison on the demonstration parts and determine under what circumstances the new process would benefit their efforts. Since the initial capital investment is significant, RIA should also consider subcontracting complex requirements when the need arises. At some point in the future, the digital process should be considered as a technology insertion project to better meet critical DOD requirements.

APPENDIX A INSPECTION RADIOGRAPHS

this page intentionally left blank

Radiograph Forward Feeder – Sample 1

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

Technikon # 1412-131 NA February 2007

Radiograph Forward Feeder – Sample 2

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

M66 Cradle P/N 7046651 (X-ray Shot 1)

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

Technikon # 1412-131 NA February 2007

M66 Cradle P/N 7046651 (X-ray Shot 2)

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

M66 Cradle P/N 7046651 (X-ray Shot 3)

![](_page_66_Picture_2.jpeg)

this page intentionally left blank

# APPENDIX B MSDS SHEETS FOR MATERIAL USED IN DIGITAL PROCESS

this page intentionally left blank

EXIR	<b>JDE</b> HONE								
	רברכ ∧	AETAL.	RAPID CAS	TING TECHN	<b>KLJ</b> VOLOGIES				
Material Safety Data Sheet									
Material Name:	RCT Activator FA001				ID: FA001				
*** Section 1 - Chemical Product and Company Identification ***									
Manufacturer Inf	ormation		DI (70.1) 000 500						
Extrude Hone Corporation			Phone: (724) 863-5900 Fax: (724) 862-8759	Ĵ					
P.O. Box 1000			1 ax. (724) 002-0705						
Irwin, PA 15642									
*** Section 2 - Composition / Information on Ingredients ***									
CAS#	Component				Percent				
104-15-4	p-Toluenesulfonic acid				50-70				
7664-93-9	Sulfuric acid				<2				
Component Information/Information on Non-Hazardous Components This product is considered hazardous under the criteria specified in 29 CFR 1910.1200 (Hazard Communication Standard) and the Canadian Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System (WHMIS).									
	Je	CHOILD - HAZA	ius iuentincation						
DANGER. CORROSIVE. Product is a dark brown liquid with a typical odor. May cause severe irritation or burns to the eyes, skin, gastrointestinal tract, and respiratory system. This product contains a component that may cause cancer. This product contains a component that reacts with water. Potential Health Effects: Eyes This product is severely irritating to the eyes and may cause eye burns. May cause blindness. Potential Health Effects: Skin This product is severely irritating to the skin and may cause burns.									
Potential Health Effects: Ingestion This product may be harmful if it is swallowed. Ingestion may produce burns to the lips, oral cavity, upper airway, esophagus and possibly the digestive tract. Potential Health Effects: Inhalation									
This product is severely irritating to the respiratory system.									
Hazard Scale: 0 = Minimal 1 = Slight 2 = Moderate 3 = Serious 4 = Severe * = Chronic hazard									
	*** S	Section 4 - Fire	t Aid Measures *	* * *	12 4. 27				
<ul> <li>First Aid: Eyes         Immediately flush eyes with water for at least 15 minutes, while holding eyelids open. Seek medical attention at once.     </li> <li>First Aid: Skin         For skin contact flush with large amounts of water while removing contaminated clothing. Get medical attention. Wash contaminated clothing before reuse.     </li> <li>First Aid: Ingestion         If material is ingested, immediately contact a physician or poison control center. DO NOT induce vomiting. Never give anything by mouth to a victim who is unconscious or is having convulsions.     </li> <li>First Aid: Inhalation         If inhaled, immediately remove the affected person to fresh air. Seek medical attention.     </li> </ul>									

Page 1 of 6

Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000

Print Date: 10/13/2004

Material Safety Data Sheet Material Name: RCT Activator FA001 ID									
*** Section 5 - Fire Fighting Measures ***									
Flash Point: Not appli Upper Flammable Lim Auto Ignition: Not ava Rate of Burning: Not General Fire Hazard The product Hazardous Combus	cable it (UFL): Not available illable available Is itself does not burn. tion Products	n L F	Method Used: Not availab .ower Flammable Limit (L Flammability Classificatio	le ,FL): Not available nr: Not applicable					
Sulfur oxides Extinguishing Media Water, carbo	, carbon monoxide, carbon di a n dioxide or regular dry chem	oxide and oti ical.	her hydrocarbon fragme	nts.					
Wear full pro apparatus, pr and groundin	tective clothing, including helr rotective clothing and face ma ig techniques.	net, self-cont isk. Prevent (	ained positive pressure electrostatic charge build	or pressure demand bro d-up by using common h	eathing conding				
NFPA Ratings: Heal Hazard Scale: 0 = M	th: 3 Fire: 1 Reactivity: 2	te 3 = Serio	us 4 = Severe						
	* * * Section 6 - A	Accidental	Release Measures	***					
Containment Proce Stop the flow	dures of material, if this is without r	isk. Block an	y potential routes to wat	er systems.					
Clean-Up Procedure Collect spille container	es d material with an inert absort	cent such as	sand or vermiculite. Pla	ace in properly labeled c	losed				
Evacuation Procedu Evacuate the entering. Kee	area promptly and keep upw op out of low areas.	ind of the sp	illed material. Isolate the	spill area to prevent pe	ople from				
Wear approp	riate protective equipment an	d clothing du	ring clean-up.						
	*** Section	7 - Handli	ng and Storage **	*					
Handling Procedure Do not get th this product. Storage Procedures	s is material in contact with skir Use this product with adequa s	n or eyes. Do te ventilation	not ingest product. Do r	not breathe in vapors or	mists of				
Keep separated from incompatible substances. Keep this material in a cool, well-ventilated place. Keep containe tightly closed.									
	*** Section 8 - Expos	ure Contro	ols / Personal Prote	ection * * *					
Exposure Guideline A: General Product Refer to publ concentration B: Component Expo Sulfuric acit ACGIH OSHA NIOSH	s Information ished exposure limits. Use ef is that are below these limits. Sure Limits 1 (7664-93-9) 1: 0.2 mg/m3 TWA (thoracic fra 3: 1 mg/m3 TWA 1: 1 mg/m3 TWA	ffective contro ction)	ol measures and PPE to	maintain worker expos	ure to				
Engineering Contro Use general fountains and	Is ventilation and use local exha d emergency showers are rec	ust, where p ommended.	ossible, in confined or e	nclosed spaces. Eyewa	sh				
Page 2 of 6	Issue Dat	e: 10/04/04	Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 1	0/13/2004				
# Material Safety Data Sheet

	Material Safety D	ata Sheet	
Material Name: RCT Activa	tor FA001		ID: FA001
PERSONAL PROTECTIVE E Personal Protective Equipm Wear chemical goggle Personal Protective Equipm Use impervious glove	QUIPMENT tent: Eyes/Face es; face shield (if splashing is possible tent: Skin s. Use of an impervious apron and bo wht: Decementation	e). pots is recommended.	
If ventilation is not suf protection must be pro-	ficient to effectively prevent buildup o ovided.	f vapor or mist, appropria	te NIOSH respiratory
Use good hygiene pra use. Discard contami	ent: General actices when handling this material, in nated shoes and leather goods.	cluding changing and lau	ndering work clothes after
**	* Section 9 - Physical & Che	emical Properties *	* *
Appearance: Physical State:	Dark brown Liquid	Odor: pH:	Typical <1 at approximately 100 g/l at approximately25°C (77°F)
vapor Pressure: Boiling Point:	23 hPa at 20°C (68°F) (Based on water) 100°C (212°F) at 1.013 hPa	Vapor Density: Melting Point:	> 1 (air = 1) Not determined
Solubility (H2O):	(approximately) > 300 g/l at approximately 25°C (77°E)	Specific Gravity:	1.1 at 77°F (25°C)
Evaporation Rate:	Slower than ethyl ether	Viscosity:	10 - 30 mPas at approximately 25°C (77°F)
*** Sec	tion 10 - Chemical Stability &	Reactivity Informa	tion * * *
Chemical Stability: Condition Keep away from heat, Incompatibility May react with oxidizin reacts with water. Hazardous Decomposition Sulfur oxides, carbon Hazardous Polymerization	ns to Avoid , sparks, or open flame. Avoid strong ng agents, bases and other acid reac monoxide, carbon dioxide and other l	oxidizing agents. tive materials. This produ hydrocarbon fragments.	uct contains a component that
VVIII not occur.	*** Continu 11 Toxicologic	al Information ***	
Acute and Chronic Toxicity A: General Product Informat May cause severe irri B: Component Analysis - LE p-Toluenesulfonic a Oral LD50 Rat: 2480 f Sulfuric acid (7664-9 Inhalation LC50 Rat: 4 Carcinogenicity A: General Product Informat Suspect cancer hazar	tion tation or burns to the eyes, skin, gastr D50/LC50 cid (104-15-4) mg/kg D3-9) 510 mg/m3/2H; Inhalation LC50 Mous tion rd. Contains sulfuric acid which may of	rointestinal tract, and resp se: 320 mg/m3/2H; Oral L cause cancer.	biratory system. D50 Rat: 2140 mg/kg
Page 3 of 6	Issue Date: 10/04/04 Re	vision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004

## Тесникои # 1412-131 NA

## February 2007

Material Safety Data Sheet	ID: FA001
	121111001
Sulfuric acid (7664-93-9)	
ACGIH: A2 - Suspected Human Carcinogen (contained in strong inorganic acid mists)	
ARC. Wonograph 54, 1992 (Group 1 (carcinogenic to numans))	
*** Section 12 - Ecological Information ***	
A: General Product Information	
No additional information available.	
B: Component Analysis - Ecotoxicity - Aquatic Toxicity No ecotoxicity data are available for this product's components	
Environmental Fate	
No additional information available.	
US EPA Waste Number & Descriptions	
A: General Product Information	
IT discarded, this product is considered a RCRA corrosive waste, D003. Wastes must be tested using methods described in 40 CFR Part 261 to determine if it me	ets applicable definitions
of hazardous wastes.	
B: Component Waste Numbers No EPA Waste Numbers are applicable for this product's components	
Disposal Instructions	
Dispose of waste material according to Local, State, Federal, and Provincial Environment	al Regulations.
US DOT Information	
Shipping Name: Alkyl sulfonic acids, liquid	
UN/NA #: UN2586 Hazard Class: 8 Packing Group: III	
TDG Information	
Shipping Name: Alkylsulfonic acids, liquid UN/NA #: UN2586 Hazard Class: 8 Packing Group: III	
IATA Information	
Shipping Name: Alkylsulphonic acids, liquid	
on #. ON2000 Hazard Class. 0 Facking Group. In	
ICAO Information	
UN #: UN2586 Hazard Class: 8 Packing Group: III	
IMDG Information	
Shipping Name: Alkylsulphonic acids, liquid	
UN #: UN2586 Hazard Class: 8 Packing Group: III	
* * * Section 15 - Regulatory Information * * *	
US Federal Regulations	
No additional information available.	
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004
Page 4 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000	Print Date: 10/13/2004



ТЕСНИКОМ # 1412-131 NA

### February 2007

# Material Safety Data Sheet ID: FA001 Material Name: RCT Activator FA001 Key/Legend ACGIH = American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists; CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service; ACGIH = American Contretence of Governmental Industrial Hyglenists, CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service; CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response; Compensation, and Liability Act; CFR = Code of Federal Regulations; CPR = Controlled Products Regulations; DOT = Department of Transportation; DSL = Domestic Substances List; EINECS = European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances; EPA = Environmental Protection Agency; IARC = International Agency for Research on Cancer; IATA = International Air Transport Association; mg/Kg = milligrams per Kilogram; mg/L = milligrams per Liter; mg/m3 = milligrams per Cubic Meter; MSHA = Mine Safety and Health Administration; NA = Not Applicable or Not Available; NIOSH = National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; NJTSR = New Jersey Trade Secret Registry; NTP = National Construction, CELM = Comparisonal Safety and Health; AutTSR = New Jersey Trade Secret Registry; NTP = National Toxicology Program; OSHA = Occupational Safety and Health, KOTSK = New Sersey Trade Secter Registry, KTP = National Toxicology Program; OSHA = Occupational Safety and Health Administration; SARA = Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act; TDG = Transport Dangerous Goods; TSCA = Toxic Substances Control Act; WHMIS = Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System. Contact: MSDS Information Contact Phone: 724-863-5900 This is the end of MSDS # FA001 Page 6 of 6 Issue Date: 10/04/04 Revision: 1.0000 Print Date: 10/13/2004



## Swallowing Swallowing this material may be harmful. Inhalation It is possible to breathe this material under certain conditions of handling and use (for example, during heating, spraying, or stirring). Breathing this material may be harmful. Symptoms usually occur at air concentrations higher than the recommended exposure limits (see Section 8). Symptoms of Exposure Signs and symptoms of exposure to this material through breathing, swallowing, and/or passage of the material through the skin may include: stomach or intestinal upset (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea), irritation (nose, throat, airways), central nervous system excitation (giddiness, liveliness, light-headed feeling) followed by central nervous system depression (dizziness, drowsiness, weakness, fatigue, nausea, headache, unconsciousness), and other central nervous system effects, low body temperature, chest pain effects on heart rate, effects on breathing rate, difficult breathing, and death. May cause methemaglobinemia, a blood abnormality that may cause headache, difficulty breathing, lightheadedness, weakness, confusion, rapid heart rate and cyanosis (lack of oxygen in the tissues causing blue-colored skin and nails). Target Organ Effects Overexposure to this material (or its components) has been suggested as a cause of the following effects in laboratory animals: thyroid effects, nasal damage, kidney damage, liver damage, brain damage. Developmental Information There are no data available for assessing risk to the fetus from maternal exposure to this material. Cancer Information Inhalation of formaldehvde has been shown to cause nasal tumors in rats, and ingestion of formaldehyde in drinking water has been shown to cause leukemia and gastrointestinal tract tumors in rats. Epidemiological studies has not clearly associated exposure to formaldehyde with cancer in man. Formaldehyde is listed as a carcinogen by the International agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), and the National Toxicology Program (NTP). Formaldehyde (50-00-0) IARC Group 2A (probably carcenogenic to humans) NTP Reasonably Anticipated to be a Carcinogen Other Health Effects No data Primary Route(s) of Entry Inhalation, Skin absorption, Skin contact, Eye contact, Ingestion 2

CRADA PROTECTED DOCUMENT 70



Eyes

If material gets into the eyes, immediately flush eyes gently with water for at least 15 minutes while holding eyelids open. If symptoms develop as a result of vapor exposure, immediately move individual away from exposure and into fresh air before flushing as recommended above. Seek immediate medical attention.

Skin

Immediately flush skin with water for at least 15 minutes while removing contaminated clothing and shoes. Seek immediate medical attention. Wash exposed area with soap and water. If symptoms persist, seek medical attention. Wash clothing before reuse and decontaminate or discard contaminated shoes.

#### Swallowing

Seek immediate medical attention. If individual is drowsy or unconscious, do not give anything by mouth; place individual on the left side with the head down. Contact a physician, medical facility, or poison control center for advice about whether to induce vomiting. If possible, do not leave individual unattended.

#### Inhalation

If symptoms develop, immediately move individual away from exposure and into fresh air. Seek immediate medical attention; keep person warm and quiet. If person is not breathing, begin artificial respiration. If breathing is difficult, administer oxygen.

#### SECTION 5: FIRE FIGHTING MEASURES

Flash Point 172 F 78 C

Explosive Limit (for component) Lower 1.4% Upper 16.3%

Autoignition Temperature No data

Hazardous Products of Combustion May form: aldebydes, carbon dioxide a

May form: aldehydes, carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide, nitrogen compounds, phenols, various hydrocarbons.

#### Fire and Explosion Hazards

Never use welding or cutting torch on or near drum (even empty) because product (even just residue) can ignite explosively.

#### Extinguishing Media

Foam, alcohol foam, water fog, carbon dioxide, dry chemical.

Fire Fighting Instructions

Wear a self-contained breathing apparatus with a full facepiece operated in the

3

# DRAFT CRADA PROTECTED DOCUMENT

# Тесникои # 1412-131 NA

## February 2007



```
Wear resistant gloves such as: polyethylene. To prevent repeated or prolonged skin
      contact, wear impervious clothing and boots.
Respiratory Protection
      If workplace exposure limits(s) of product or any component is exceeded (see
      exposure guidelines), a NIOSH/MSHA approved air supplied respirator is advised
      in absence of proper environmental control. OSHA regulations also permit other
      NIOSH/MSHA respirators (negative pressure type) under specified conditions
      (see your industrial hygienist). Engineering or administrative controls should be
      implemented to reduce exposure.
Engineering Controls
      Provide sufficient mechanical (general and/or local exhaust) ventilation to maintain
      exposure below TLV(s).
Exposure Guidelines
Furfuryl Alcohol (98-00-0)
      OSHA PEL 50.00 ppm - TWA
      OSHA VPEL 10.00 ppm - TWA (skin)
      OSHA VPEL 15.00 ppm - STEL (skin)
      ACGIH TLV 10.00 ppm - TWA (skin)
      ACGIH TLV 15.00 ppm - STEL (skin)
Bisphenol A (80-5-7)
      No exposure limits established
Resorcinol (108-46-3)
      OSHA VPEL 10.00 ppm - TWA
      OSHA VPEL 20.00 ppm - STEL
      ACGIH TLV 10.00 ppm - TWA
      ACGIH TLV 20.00 ppm - STEL
Formaldehyde (50-00-0)
      OSHA PEL 0.75 ppm - TWA
      OSHA PEL 2.00 ppm - STEL
      OSHA PEL 0.5 ppm Action Level (Irritant and potential cancer hazard - see 29 CFR
      1910.1048)
      OSHA VPEL 10 ppm (30 min, unless specified in 1910.1048) - STEL
      ACGIH TLV 0.30 ppm - ceiling
SECTION 9: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES
Boiling Point
      (range) 338 F 170 C @ 760 mm Hg
Vapor Pressure
      (for component) 0.610 mm Hg
                                           5
```

## Тесникои # 1412-131 NA

## February 2007



CRADA PROTECTED DOCUMENT 74



CRADA PROTECTED DOCUMENT 75

# Тесникои # 1412-131 NA

# February 2007

SARA 302 Components – 40 CFR 355 Appendix A	A
TPQ: 500 lbs	
Section 311/312 Hazard Class - 40 CFR 370.2	
Immediate, Delayed, Fire, Reactive	
0 1 P 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 P 2 7 2 7 2	
SARA 313 Components - 40 CFR 372.65 Section 313 Component(s)	CAS Number
Bisphenol A	80-05-7
Formaldehyde	50-00-0
OSHA Process Safety Management - 29 CER 191	0
PSM Component: Formaldehyde	~
TQ: 1000 lbs	
EPA Accidental Release Prevention - 40 CER 68	
RMP Component: Formaldehyde (solution	)
TQ: 15000 lbs	
International Regulations	
Inventory Status	A.4
AICS (Australia) The intentional ingredient	s of this product are listed.
DSL (Canada) The intentional ingredients of	of this product are listed.
ECU (South Vana) The intentional incredi	ante of this area hast are NOT listed
ECL (Soun Korea) The michaonal ingredi	ents of this product are NOT listed.
State and Local Regulations	
California Proposition 65	comply with the California Safa
Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Ac	t of 1986: This product contains
a substance known to the state of California	a to cause cancer.
Navy Jamay DTV Labal Information	
Furfuryl alcohol (98-00-0)	
Bisphenol A (80-05-7)	
Resorcinol (108-46-3)	
ronnaldenyde (50-00-0)	
Pennsylvania RTK Label Information	
Furfuryl alcohol (98-00-0)	
Bisphenol A (80-05-7) Resorcinol (108-46-3)	
8	

CRADA PROTECTED DOCUMENT
76







## February 2007



SECT	ION 8: EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION
Expos	ure Controls Ventilate to keep vapors of this material below 285 ppm. If over TLV, in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.134, use NIOSH approved positive-pressure self-contained breathing apparatus. Consult safety equipment supplier. Use explosion proof equipment
	apparatus. Consult safety equipment supplier. Ose expression-proof equipment.
Ventil	ation Local exhaust: Necessary
	Mechanical (general): Acceptable Special: none
	Other: none
Persor	al Protections Wear OSHA standard goggles or face shield. Consult safety equipment supplier. Wear gloves, apron and footwear impervious to this material. Wash clothing before reuse.
Work	and Hygienic Practices Provide readily accessible eye wash stations and safety showers. Wash at end of each workshift and before eating, smoking, or using the toilet. Promptly remove clothing that becomes contaminated. Destroy contaminated leather articles. Launder or discard contaminated clothing.
Expos	ure Guidelines
Ethan	ol (64-17-5) OSHA PEL 1000.00 ppm - TWA ACGIH TLV 1000.00 ppm - TWA
Isopro	panol (67-63-0) OSHA PEL 400.00 ppm - TWA
	OSHA VPEL 400.00 ppm - STEL ACGIH TLV 200.00 ppm - TWA ACGIH TLV 400.00 ppm - STEL
Metha	nol (67-56-1) OSHA PEL 200.00 ppm - TWA OSHA VPEL 250.00 ppm - STEL ACGIH TLV 200.00 ppm – TWA ACGIH TLV 250.00 ppm - STEL
	EPA hazardous air pollutant
Methy	l Isobutyl Ketone (108-10-1) OSHA PEL 100.00 ppm - TWA OSHA VPEL 75.00 ppm - STEL ACGIH TLV 50.00 ppm - TWA ACGIH TLV 75.00 ppm - STEL EPA hazardous air pollutant
	4

Technikon # 1412-131 NA February 2007



CRADA PROTECTED DOCUMENT 82

#### SECTION 11: TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

#### Acute Hazards

Eye and Skin Contact

Primary irritation to skin, defatting, dermatitis. Primary irritation to eyes, redness, tearing, blurred vision. Liquid can cause eye irritation. Wash thoroughly after handling.

#### Inhalation

Anesthetic. Irritates respiratory tract. Acute overexposure can cause serious nervous system depression. Vapor harmful. Breathing vapor can cause irritation. Acute overexposure can cause damage to kidneys, blood, nerves, liver, and lungs. Repeated overexposure over TLV can cause blindness.

#### Swallowing

Can be fatal or cause blindness if swallowed. Cannot be made non-poisonous. POISON. Can cause irreversible nervous system damage and death. Harmful or fatal if swallowed. Swallowing can cause abdominal irritation, nausea, vomiting and diarrhea.

#### Subchronic Hazards / Conditions Aggravated

#### Conditions Aggravated

Chronic overexposure can cause damage to kidneys, blood, nerves, liver and lungs. Persons with severe skin, liver or kidney problems should avoid use.

#### Chronic Hazards

Cancer, Reproductive and Other Chronic Hazards Absorption through skin may be harmful. Studies with laboratory animals indicate this product can cause damage to fetus.

#### SECTION 12: ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

#### Mobility

This material is a mobile liquid.

#### Degradability

This product is completely biodegradable.

#### Accumulation

This product does not accumulate or biomagnify in the environment.

6





EXTRUDEHONE" PROMETAL: RA	PID CASTING	RCT	
MATERIAL SAF	ETY DATA S	SHEET	
RCT Permeable Casting Media S-0	001	MSDS Prepared: 11/04/04	
SECTION 1: IDENTIFICATION	OF THE PRODUCT	T AND COMPANY	
Product Identity: RCT Permeable	Casting Media S-00	1	
Company: Extrude Hone Corp 1 Industry Blvd PO Box 1000 Irwin, PA 15642 (724) 863-5900 (724) 862-8759 (fax	oration		
24-hour Emergency Contac	t: CHEMTREC 1-8	00 424-9300	
SECTION 2: COMPOSITION / In	FORMATION ON	INGREDIENTS	
Contains: Crystalline Silica (quartz) Aluminum Oxide Iron Oxide Titanium Oxide	CAS Number 14808-60-7 1344-28-1 1309-37-1 13463-67-7	% (by weight) 99.7 - 90.9 < 1 < 1 < 1	
SECTION 3: HAZARDS IDENTI	FICATION		
Light tan to white particulate mater cause irritation to the eyes.	ial. It is not flamma	able, combustible, or explosive. It can	
Potential Health Effects			
Inhalation Silicosis – Respirable c (scarring) of disability an	rystalline silica (qua the lungs. Silicosis d death.	rtz) can cause silicosis, a fibrosis may be progressive; it may lead to	
	1		

DRAFT CRADA PROTECTED DOCUMENT 86



#### SECTION 5: FIRE FIGHTING MEASURES

Crystalline silica (quartz) is not flammable, combustible, or explosive.

Flash Point: None

Explosive Limit: Not applicable

Autoignition Temperature: None

Hazardous Products of Combustion: None

Fire and Explosion Hazards: None

Extinguishing Media Compatible with all media; use the medium appropriate to the surrounding fire.

Special Fire Fighting Procedures None with respect to this product.

NFPA Rating Health - 0, Flammability - 0, Reactivity - 0

#### SECTION 6: ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

Wear appropriate personal protective equipment as described in Section 8 of this document.

#### Spills

Do not dry sweep. Use dustless methods (High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) vacuum or thoroughly wetting down the silica). Place the silica in a covered container appropriate for disposal. Dispose of the silica according to federal, state, and local regulations.

Waste disposal method See Section 13.

#### SECTION 7: HANDLING AND STORAGE

Handling and Use

Do not breath dust. Use adequate ventilation and dust collection. Keep airborne dust concentrations below PEL. Do not rely on your sight to determine if there is dust in the air. Silica may be in the air without a visible dust cloud. If dust cannot be kept below permissible limits, wear a respirator approved for silica dust when using, handling, storing, or disposing of this product or bag. Practice good housekeeping. Do not permit dust to collect on walls, floors, sills, ledges, machinery, or equipment. Maintain, clean, and fit test respirators in accordance with OSHA regulations. Maintain and test

3

ventilation and dust c dusty. See also contr	ollection equipment. Wash or vacuum clothing that has become ol measures in Section 8.
Storage Avoid breakage of ba Section 8.	gged material or spills of bulk material. See control measures in
SECTION 8: EXPOSURE O	CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION
Ventilation Local exhaust – Use s silica to below the PE Recommended Practi	sufficient local exhaust to reduce the level of respirable crystalline L. See ACGIH "Industrial Ventilation, A Manual of ce"
Exposure Limits OSHA-PEL ACGIH-TLV NIOSH-REL	0.1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (silica, crystalline quartz, respirable dust) See 29 CFR 1910 0.05 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (respirable fraction) 0.05 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Respiratory Protection The following table ( air) specifies the type crystalline silica.	NIOSH recommendations for silica (crystalline respirable dust) in s of respirators which may provide respiratory protection for
Up to 0.5 $mg/m^3$	Air purifying respiratory with high-efficiency particulate filter(s)
Up to 1.25 $mg/m^3$	Powered air-purifying respirator with high-efficiency particulate filter; or supplied air respirator (SAR) operated in continuous-flow mode
Up to 2.5 $mg/m^3$	Full-facepiece air-purifying respirator with high-efficiency particulate filter(s); or powered air-purifying respirator with tight- fitting facepiece and high-efficiency particulate filter
Up to 25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Positive pressure SAR.
Planned or emergency entry into unknown concentrations	Positive pressure, full-facepiece self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA); or positive pressure, full facepiece SAR with an auxiliary positive pressure SCBA.
Escape	Full-facepiece respirator with high-efficiency particulate filter(s); or escape-type SCBA.
Use only NIOSH-app 84)	roved or MSHA-approved equipment (29 CFR 1910.134, 42 CFR

# February 2007

Personal Protections		
Reco	nmended in situations where abrasion	may occur.
Eye	es recommended where airborne dust	t is produced
Other		is produced.
Prote	ctive clothing as appropriate for the w d be laundered before it is reused. Do	ork environment. Dusty clothing not take dusty clothing home.
SECTION 9: PHYS	ICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTI	IES
Appearance Odor	White or light tan particulate	
Physical state	Granular solid	
Melting point	above 2500F	
Vapor pressure Vapor density	none	
Solubility in water	insoluble	
Specific gravity	2.65	
SECTION 10: STA	BILITY AND REACTIVITY	
Stability:	Stable	
Materials to Avoid:	Contact with strong or chlorine trifluoride a	oxidizing agents such as fluorine, nd oxygen diflouride may cause fires.
Hazardous Polymer	zation: Will not occur.	
Hazardous Decomp	sition Products: Silica will dissolve in corrosive gas – silico	n hydrofluoric acid and produce a n tetraflouride.
SECTION 11: TO	ICOLOGICAL INFORMATION	
SILICOSIS		
Silicosis (lung disea silica dust. Silicosis	e) can be caused by the inhalation and can exist in several forms, chronic (or	d retention of respirable crystalline r ordinary), accelerated, or acute.
Chronic (or ordinary years of exposure to crystalline silica dus	) silicosis is the most common form o levels above the occupational exposu . It is further defined as either simple	f silicosis and can occur after many re limits for airborne respirable e or complicated silicosis.
	5	

Simple silicosis is characterized by lung lesions (shown as radiographic opacities) less than 1 cm in diameter, primarily in the upper lung zones. Often, simple silicosis is not associated with symptoms, detectable changes in lung function or disability.

Simple silicosis may be progressive and may develop into complicated silicosis or progressive massive fibrosis (PMF). Complicated silicosis of PMF is characterized by lung lesions (shown as radiographic opacities) greater than 1 cm in diameter. Although there may be no symptoms associated with complicated silicosis or PMF, the symptoms, if present, are shortness of breath, wheezing, cough and sputum production. Complicated silicosis or PMF may be associated with decreased lung function and may be disabling. Advanced complicated silicosis or PMF may lead to death. Advanced complicated silicosis or PMF can result in heart disease secondary to the lung disease.

Accelerated silicosis can occur with exposure to high concentrations of respirable crystalline silica over a relatively short period; the lung lesions can appear within five years of the initial exposure. The progression can be rapid. Accelerated silicosis is similar to chronic or ordinary silicosis except that the lung lesions appear earlier and the progression is more rapid.

Acute silicosis can occur with exposure to very high concentrations of respirable crystalline silica over a very short time period, sometimes as short as a few months. The symptoms of acute silicosis include progressive shortness of breath, fever, cough and weight loss. Acute silicosis is fatal.

#### CANCER

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) concluded that there was "sufficient evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity of crystalline silica in the forms of quartz or cristobalite from occupational sources," and that there is "sufficient evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of quartz and cristobalite." The overall IARC evaluation was that "crystalline silica inhaled in the form of quartz or cristobalite from occupational sources is carcinogenic to humans (Group I)." The IARC evaluation noted that the "creatingenicity was not detected in all industrial circumstances studies. Carcinogenicity may be dependent on inherent characteristics of the crystalline silica or on external factors affecting its biological activity or distribution of its polymorphs." For further information on the IARC evaluation see IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Volume 68, "Silica, Some Silicates..." (1997).

NTP – The National Toxicology Program, in its Ninth Annual Report on Carcinogens, classified "silica, crystalline (respirable)" as a known human carcinogen.

Many articles have been published on the carcinogenicity of crystalline silica. Examples include: "Crystalline Silica and Lung Cancer: The Problem of Conflicting Evidence," Indoor Built Environ., volume 8, pp. 121-126 (1998); "Exposure to Crystalline Silica and Risk of Lung Cancer; The Epidemiological Evidence," Thorax, volume 51, pp. 97-102 (1996); "Adverse Effects of Crystalline Silica Exposure," American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, volume 155, pp. 761-765 (1997).

6

#### SCLERODERMA

There is evidence that exposure to respirable crystalline silica or that the disease silicosis is associated with the increased incidence of scleroderma, an immune system disorder manifested by a fibrosis (scarring) of the lungs, skin, and other internal organs. Recently the American Thoracic Society noted that "there is persuasive evidence relating scleroderma to occupational silica exposures in setting where there is appreciable silicosis risk." The following may be consulted for additional information on silica, silicosis and scleroderma (also known as progressive systemic sclerosis): Occupational Lung Disorders, Third Edition, Chapter 12, entitled "Silicosis and Related Diseases," Parkes, W. Raymond (1994); "Adverse Effects of Crystalline Silica Exposure," American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, volume 155, pp. 761-765 (1997)

#### TUBERCULOSIS

Individuals with silicosis are at increased risk to develop pulmonary tuberculosis, if exposed to persons with tuberculosis. The following may be consulted for further information: Occupational Lung Disorders, Third Edition, Chapter 12, entitled "Silicosis and Related Diseases," Parkes, W. Raymond (1994); "Risk of Pulmonary Tuberculosis Relative to Silicosis and Exposure to Silica Dust in South African Gold Miners," Occup Environ Med., vol 55, pp. 496-502 (1998).

#### NEPHROTOXICITY

Several recent studies suggest that exposure to respirable crystalline silica or that the disease silicosis is associated with increased incidence of kidney disorders. The following may be consulted for additional information in silica, silicosis, and nephrotoxicity: Occupational Lung Disorders, Third Edition, Chapter 12, entitled "Silicosis and Related Diseases," Parkes, W. Raymond (1994); "Further Evidence of Human Silica Nephrotoxicity in Occupationally Exposed Workers," British Journal of Industrial Medicine, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 907-912 (1993) ); "Adverse Effects of Crystalline Silica Exposure," American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, volume 155, pp. 761-765 (1997).

#### SECTION 12: ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Crystalline silica is not known to be exotoxic.

#### SECTION 13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATION

Crystalline silica may be landfilled; however, material should be covered to minimize generation of airborne dust.

Crystalline silica (quartz) is not classified as a hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act or its regulations, 40 CFR 261.

7





# APPENDIX C PRESENTATION DEFINING PROCESS AS PERFORMED

this page intentionally left blank




















































APPENDIX D ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The following individuals contributed to the success of the digital mold printing demonstration effort at Rock Island Arsenal. Thanks to all.

Alexander, Cory	Metallurgist	Ro
Bellefeuille, Jim	Machine Operator	Pro
Brasch, Garry	Molder	Ro
Cadengo, Julian	Machine Operator	Pro
Davidson, Leonard	Engineering Technician	Ro
Doran, Jeff	CAD Support	Ro
Erickson, Fred	Molder	Ro
Fitzgerald, Mike	Process Development Specialist	Te
Fox, Jim	Radiograph	Ro
Green, Donnie	Post Processing	Ro
Kim, Chung, Whee	President	EK
Lembo, John	Production Manager	Pro
Lipovic, Curt	Chief, Hot Metals Division	Ro
Maas, Dan	Technology Transfer	Pro
Martin, Shannon	Melter	Ro
McDonald, Walt	Molder	Ro
Mcgill, Ken	Machine Operator	Pro
McLaughlin, Marty	Applications Engineer	EK
O'Connor, Kevin	Systems Engineer (Environmental)	US
Orange, Mike	Production Manager	Pro
Phillips, Curtis	Design Engineer	AT
Rosenthal, Paul	Metallurgist	Th
Sands, Cliff	President	AT
Standafer, David	Production Supervisor	Te
Stichter, Brian	Melter	Ro
Stork, Jude	Business Development	Ro
Tice, Don	Business Management Directorate	Ro
Tucker, Jerry	Patternmaker	Ro
Tuk, Doug	Machine Operator	Pro
Voss, Richard	Patternmaker	Ro
Windschitl, Larry	Radiograph	Ro
Wrazen, Mike	COR of CERP Program	US
Zaiss, Dan	Melter	Ro

ock Island Arsenal JMTC oMetal ock Island Arsenal JMTC oMetal ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC chnikon ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC KK, Inc. oMetal ock Island Arsenal JMTC oMetal ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC oMetal KK, Inc. S Army ARDEC oMetal ΓD e Practical Metallurgist ΓD chnikon ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC oMetal ock Island Arsenal JMTC ock Island Arsenal JMTC S Army ARDEC ock Island Arsenal JMTC

## APPENDIX E ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

Degrees Centigrade	
Degrees Fahrenheit	
American Foundry Society	
(US) Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center	
American Society for Testing and Materials	
Advanced Tooling Design	
Computer Aided Design	
Casting Emission Reduction Program	
Casting Industry Suppliers Association	
Coordinate Measuring Machine	
Computer Numerical Control	
Contracting Officer's Representative	
Cooperative Research and Development Agreement	
Defense Logistics Agency	
Department of Defense	
Department of Energy	
Joint Manufacturing and Technology Center	
Military specification	
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	
Material Safety Data Sheet	
Non Destructive Testing	
Quality Assurance/Quality Control	
Rapid Casting Technology	
Rock Island Arsenal	
Stereo Lithography Apparatus	
Sulfur Dioxide	
United States Council for Automotive Research	
Work Breakdown Structure	