



Casting Emission Reduction Program

[www.cerp-us.org](http://www.cerp-us.org)



Operated by



5301 Price Avenue  
McClellan, CA 95652  
916-929-8001  
[www.technikonllc.com](http://www.technikonllc.com)

*US Army Contract W15QKN-05-D-0030  
FY2006 Tasks  
WBS # 1.1.2*

A Phenolic Urethane System with  
Two Variations for PCS Emissions  
in Aluminum using a 4-on Step Core  
Mold

1413-112 HK

April 2007

(Revised for public distribution - September 2007)



DAIMLERCHRYSLER



UNITED STATES COUNCIL  
FOR AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH



General Motors

*this page intentionally left blank*

# A Phenolic Urethane System with Two Variations for PCS Emissions in Aluminum using a 4-on Step Core Mold

1413-112 HK

This report has been reviewed for completeness and accuracy and approved for release by the following:

Director of Measurement  
Technologies

//Original Signed//  
Sue Anne Sheya, PhD \_\_\_\_\_ Date

Vice President

//Original Signed//  
George Crandell \_\_\_\_\_ Date

The data contained in this report were developed to assess the relative emissions profile of the product or process being evaluated. You may not obtain the same results in your facility. Data were not collected to assess cost or producibility.

---

*this page intentionally left blank*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Executive Summary .....	1
1.0        Introduction .....	5
1.1.     Background .....	5
1.2.     CERP/Technikon Objectives .....	5
1.3.     Report Organization.....	5
1.4.     Specific Test Plan and Objectives .....	6
2.0        Test Methods, Assumptions and Procedures .....	9
2.1.     Description of Process and Testing Equipment .....	9
2.2.     Description of Testing Program .....	9
2.2.1.    Test Plan Review and Approval .....	11
2.2.2.    Mold and Metal Preparation .....	12
2.2.3.    Individual Sampling Events .....	12
2.2.4.    Process Parameter Measurements.....	14
2.2.5.    Air Emissions Analysis .....	14
2.2.6.    Data Reduction, Tabulation and Preliminary Report Preparation.....	14
2.2.7.    Report Preparation and Review .....	15
2.3.     Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures .....	15
3.0        Test Results and Discussion.....	17
3.1.     Discussion of Results.....	21
3.2.     Process Data Comparisons.....	24
Appendix A    Test and Sample Plans and Process Instructions.....	27
Appendix B    Detailed Emission Results and Quantitation Limits .....	45
Appendix C    Detailed Process Data and Casting Quality Photos .....	63
Appendix D    Continuous Emission Charts.....	69
Appendix E    Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	81

**LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES**

Table 1b	Average Emission Indicators Summary Table – Lb/Lb Binder .....	2
Table 1a	Average Emission Indicators Summary Table – Lb/Tn Metal.....	2
Table 1-1	Test Plan Summary .....	7
Figure 2-1	Mold/Core Making and Testing Process Diagram.....	9
Figure 2-2	Step Core Pattern .....	12
Figure 2-3	Step Core.....	12
Figure 2-4	Pouring Aluminum into Mold inside Total Enclosure Hood .....	13
Figure 2-5	Stack Sampling Equipment.....	13
Table 2-2	Emission Sampling and Analytical Methods .....	14
Table 2-1	Process Equipment and Methods .....	14
Table 3-1a	Summary Comparison of Three Sigma Cure® Binders for Test HK, Average Emissions Results- Lb/Tn Metal.....	19
Table 3-1b	Summary comparison of Three Sigma Cure® Binders for Test HK, Average Emissions Results- Lb/Lb Binder .....	20
Figures	Summary Comparison of Three Sigma Cure® Binders for Test HK, Average Emissions Results .....	22
Figure 3-1a	Emissions Indicators - Lb/Tn Metal .....	22
Figure 3-1b	Emissions Indicators - Lb/Lb Binder .....	22
Figure 3-2a	Selected HAP and POM Emissions - Lb/Tn Metal.....	22
Figure 3-2b	Selected HAP and POM Emissions - Lb/Lb Binder .....	22
Figure 3-3a	Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Lb/Tn Metal .....	22
Figure 3-3b	Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Lb/Lb Binder.....	22
Table 3-2	Comparison of Casting Quality .....	25
Table 3-3	Summary of Test Plan Average Process Parameters .....	26

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains the results of Test HK, a quantitative evaluation of the pouring, cooling and shakeout airborne emissions and relative surface casting quality comparisons from aluminum phenolic urethane coldbox core binder systems (Sigma Cure®, HA International), including two naphthalene-depleted binder formulations. Castings were made in green-sand molds with no seacoal using the four-cavity step core pattern.

Three subtests were run as part of this test. Six molds were poured for the first subtest using Sigma Cure® 7227/7707. This standard binder system was considered the baseline reference for these tests, and emission and casting quality results were compared to this formulation. Two subtests examined the effect on emissions from relatively lower naphthalene concentration binder systems. Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869 was the binder used for three runs, and three runs used Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211. A total of 12 runs were completed. The core binder for each of the three systems tested contained 1.1% total binder based on sand (BOS) in a 50/50 ratio of Part 1 to Part 2. Binders were activated with triethylamine (TEA).

Molds were poured with aluminum at  $1270 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{F}$ , followed by cooling for an elapsed pouring and cooling time of 45 minutes. This was followed by 5 minutes of shakeout, and a post shakeout cooling period of an additional 25 minutes. Emission samples were continuously collected for the total 75 minute period.

The emissions results are reported in both pounds of analyte per pound of binder used (lb/lb) and pounds of analyte per ton of metal poured (lb/ton).

The naphthalene-depleted binder formulations examined under Subtests 2 and 3 were very similar in emissions profiles, and resulted in approximately 70% lower naphthalene emissions when compared to those from the standard formulation. However, substituted naphthalenes, including both 1- and 2-methylnaphthalenes and 1,3-dimethylnaphthalene, increased by over 20 times. Increases of 200% to almost 2000% were found in comparative biphenyl emissions. Fifty percent of the measured emissions for the reference

standard binder were attributed to phenol, naphthalene, and o-cresol. Forty percent of emissions for both Subtests 2 and 3 were attributed to 2-methylnaphthalene, phenol, and 1-methylnaphthalene.

***Table 1a Average Emission Indicators Summary Table – Lb/Tn Metal***

Analyte Name	Baseline Reference Sigma Cure® 7227/7707	Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869	Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211
<b>Emission Indicators</b>			
TGOC as Propane	1.32E+00	1.27E+00	1.32E+00
Sum of Target Analytes	3.24E-01	5.04E-01	5.05E-01
Sum of Target HAPs	2.26E-01	4.01E-01	3.81E-01
Sum of Target POMs	4.66E-02	1.90E-01	1.71E-01

***Table 1b Average Emission Indicators Summary Table – Lb/Lb Binder***

Analyte Name	Baseline Reference Sigma Cure® 7227/7707	Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869	Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211
<b>Emission Indicators</b>			
TGOC as Propane	9.11E-02	8.80E-02	9.50E-02
Sum of Target Analytes	2.25E-02	3.49E-02	3.74E-02
Sum of Target HAPs	1.57E-02	2.78E-02	2.82E-02
Sum of Target POMs	3.22E-03	1.32E-02	1.27E-02

Analytical data, which have been determined to be below the practical quantitation limit (PQL) after data validation and verification, are substituted with the PQL value rather than with zero. Details of how data are calculated with this implementation are in Section 3.0.

A qualitative assessment was made between the surface quality of castings from the reference subtest to the two subtests using the naphthalene-depleted binder systems. A photographic record was made of the first 12 castings produced from each subtest. Pictures of best, median and worst casting quality are shown in Appendix C. All castings from Test HK were similar in appearance with each other.

Emission results from the testing performed and described herein are not suitable for use

as emission factors or for purposes other than evaluating the relative emission reductions associated with the use of alternative materials, equipment, or processes. The emissions measurements are unique to the specific castings produced, materials used, and testing methodology associated with these tests. These measurements should not be used as the basis for estimating emissions from actual commercial foundry applications.

*this page intentionally left blank*

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background

Technikon, LLC is a privately held contract research organization located in McClellan, California, a suburb of Sacramento. Technikon offers emissions research services to industrial and government clients specializing in the metal casting and point source emissions areas. Technikon operates the Casting Emission Reduction Program (CERP). CERP is a cooperative initiative between the Department of Defense (US Army) and the United States Council for Automotive Research (USCAR). The parties to the CERP Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) include The Environmental Leadership Council of USCAR, a Michigan partnership of DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company, and General Motors Corporation; the U.S. Army Research, Development, and Engineering Command (RDECOM-ARDEC); the American Foundry Society (AFS); and the Casting Industry Suppliers Association (CISA). The US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB) also have been participants in the CERP program and rely on CERP published reports for regulatory compliance data. All published reports are available on the CERP web site at [www.cerp-us.org](http://www.cerp-us.org).

### 1.2. CERP/Technikon Objectives

The primary objective of CERP is to evaluate materials, equipment, and processes used in the production of metal castings. Technikon's facility was designed to evaluate alternative materials and production processes designed to achieve significant air emission reductions. The facility's principal testing arena is designed to measure airborne emissions from individually poured molds. This testing facility enables the repeatable collection and evaluation of airborne emissions and associated process data.

### 1.3. Report Organization

This report has been written to document the methodology and results of a specific test plan that was used to evaluate the pouring, cooling and shakeout airborne emissions from three

---

variations of Sigma Cure® core binders. Two naphthalene-depleted formulations (Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869 and Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211) were compared to a standard formulation (Sigma Cure® 7227/7707). All variations were tested in greensand molds with no seacoal and poured with aluminum. Binder amounts were at 1.1% BOS for all tests.

Section 2.0 of this report includes a summary of the methodologies used for data collection and analysis, procedures for emission calculations, QA/QC procedures, and data management and reduction methods. Specific data collected during this test are summarized in Section 3.0 and detailed data which include the variations appear in the appendices of this report. Section 4.0 of this report contains a discussion of the results.

The raw data for this test series are archived at the Technikon facility.

#### **1.4. Specific Test Plan and Objectives**

Test HK was comprised of three subtests, each of which was designed to evaluate airborne emissions from pouring, cooling and shakeout of three variations of phenolic urethane core binders. The first subtest used the standard HA International Sigma Cure® binder at 1.1% BOS composed of number 7227 part 1 resin and 7707 part 2 resin (50% each), and cured with TEA for 6 molds.

The second and third subtests investigated naphthalene-depleted variations of the standard Sigma Cure® binder for three molds each. The second subtest used 1.1% BOS HA International Sigma Cure ® binder composed of number EX74522 part 1 resin and EX75869 part 2 resin (50% each), and cured with TEA. The third subtest used 1.1% BOS HA International Sigma Cure® EX76210 part 1 resin and EX76211part 2 resin (50% each), and cured with TEA. A total of twelve molds were poured for Test HK.

Table 1-1 provides a summary of the test plan. The details of the approved test plan are included in Appendix A.

***Table 1-1 Test Plan Summary***


<b>Type of Process Tested</b>	Uncoated phenolic urethane core in greensand, PCS
<b>Test Plan Number</b>	1413-112-HK
<b>Metal Poured</b>	Aluminum
<b>Casting Type</b>	4-on step core
<b>Greensand System</b>	Wexford 450 sand, western and southern bentonite in a 5:2 ratio to yield 7.0 +/- 0.5% MB clay, no seacoal
<b>Core Binder</b>	1.1% (BOS) HA International Sigma Cure® 7227/7707, 1.1% (BOS) HA International Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869, 1.1% (BOS) HA International Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211, TEA activated, Wedron 530 sand
<b>Core Coating</b>	None
<b>Number of Molds Poured</b>	3 conditioning, 6 sampling for 7227/7707, 3 sampling each 74522/75869 and 76210/76211
<b>Test Dates</b>	August 21, 2006 through September 15, 2006
<b>Emissions Measured</b>	59 target analytes and TGOC as propane, CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub>
<b>Process Parameters Measured</b>	Total casting, mold, and binder weights; metallurgical data, % LOI, sand temperature; stack temperature, moisture content, pressure, and volumetric flow rate

*this page intentionally left blank*

**2.0****TEST METHODS, ASSUMPTIONS AND PROCEDURES****2.1. Description of Process and Testing Equipment**

Figure 2-1 is a diagram of the Research Foundry test process.

**Figure 2-1      Mold/Core Making and Testing Process Diagram**

**2.2. Description of Testing Program**

The testing program encompasses the foundry process and emissions testing, both of which are rigorously controlled. Parameters are monitored and recorded prior to and during the emission tests. Process measurements included the weights of the casting and mold sand, loss on ignition (LOI) values for the mold and core prior to the test, and relevant metallurgical data. Measured source parameters included stack temperature, pressure, volumetric flow rate, and moisture content. All parameters were maintained within prescribed ranges to ensure the reproducibility of the test runs.

Emission testing for organic hydrocarbons included several methods. Method 18 is one of the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) reference methods for volatile organic

compound (VOC) analysis. Method 18 is generally used to identify and/or measure as many compounds as possible in order to calculate actual VOC emissions from other measurements (e.g. EPA Method 25 or 25A). The method is a guideline and a system of quality assurance (QA) checks for VOC analysis rather than a rigorous, explicit manual for sampling or analysis.

As described in the method, sampling can be conducted using a Volatile Organic Sampling Train (VOST), which was the technique used for sampling for the tests described in these reports. A sample gas stream was extracted from the source and then routed using the train through tubes containing adsorbents, which are the collection materials upon which the organic analytes are deposited. Adsorption tube samples were collected and analyzed for fifty-nine (59) target organic compounds using procedures based on approved federal methods, including those of the EPA.

Two methods were employed to measure undifferentiated hydrocarbon emissions as Emission Indicators: TGOC as Propane, performed in accordance with EPA Method 25A, and HC as Hexane.

Method 25A is an instrument based method in which the stack gas is introduced directly to a flame ionization detector (FID) without first separating the components. In Method 25A, sampling is accomplished by extracting a gas stream from the stack effluent and transferring it via heated non-reactive tubing to the FID analyzer under very controlled temperature and pressure conditions. The FID measures the quantity of carbon containing molecules, and is calibrated by a gas standard, which in this case is the three carbon alkane, propane ( $C_3H_8$ ). The FID will give a response relative to the calibration standard and results are expressed in terms of the gas used for calibration. Because the FID responds to all carbon containing compounds, methane ( $CH_4$ ) and other exempt compounds are included in the total hydrocarbon results.

For this test, methane was analyzed by a separate FID equipped with an oxidizing catalyst (methane cutter) that removes all non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC). The calibration gas for this FID is methane ( $CH_4$ ). The two FIDs were run simultaneously, and collected data every second. Average results were calculated over the entire pouring, cooling and shakeout periods for each run. NMHC results were then determined by subtracting the de-

---

tected methane from the total hydrocarbon value, in a manner similar to that used in EPA Conditional Test Method (CTM) 042.

The HC as Hexane method uses NIOSH methods 1500-1550, and represents the sum of all detected hydrocarbon compounds in the carbon range between C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>16</sub>, expressed in terms of the calibration compound, which in this case is the six-carbon alkane, hexane (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>). Results are determined by the summation of all chromatographic peak areas which fall between the duration of elution time of hexane through the duration of elution time of hexadecane (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>34</sub>) on the chromatogram. The quantity of hydrocarbons (HC) in this range is determined by dividing the total summed area count by the area of hexane calculated from the initial calibration curve that is derived from a five point calibration.

Continuous on-line monitoring of select criteria pollutant and greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and nitrogen oxide (NOx) was conducted according to US EPA Methods, 3A, 10, and 7E, respectively.

Mass emission rates for all analytes were calculated using continuous monitoring or laboratory analytical results, measured source data and appropriate process data. Detailed emission results are presented in Appendix B. Individual analyte emissions were calculated in addition to four “Emission Indicators”: TGOC as Propane, Sum of Target Analytes, Sum of Target Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs), and the Sum of Target Polycyclic Organic Matter (POMs). Full descriptions of these indicators can be found in Section 3.0 of this report.

The specific steps used in this sampling program are summarized below.


#### **2.2.1.      *Test Plan Review and Approval***

The proposed test plan was reviewed and approved by the Technikon staff and by CERP Working Group Chairs as appropriate.


### **2.2.2. *Mold and Metal Preparation***

In Technikon's Research Foundry, castings were produced individually in discrete manually constructed mold packages, each of which consists of four cavities. The 4-on step core pattern built to evaluate core emissions was used for all runs. The molds and cores (Figure 2-2 and 2-3) were prepared to a standard composition by the Technikon production team. Relevant process data were collected and recorded. The total amount of metal melted was determined from the expected poured weight of the castings and the number of molds to be poured. The weight of metal poured into each mold was recorded.

**Figure 2-2      Step Core Pattern**



**Figure 2-3      Step Core**



### **2.2.3. *Individual Sampling Events***

Three subtests to evaluate emissions from three phenolic urethane core binder systems were run separately. The first subtest using the standard binder, Sigma Cure® 7227/7707, consisted of six (6) replicate runs. The first and second subtests used variations on the standard binder, and consisted of three (3) replicate runs each. Prior to pouring and emission sampling for each run, a single mold package was placed onto a shake-out table contained within a hooded enclosure designed to meet the requirements of EPA Method 204 for a total temporary enclosure. The enclosed test stand was pre-heated to approximately 85°F. The flow rate of the emission capture air was nominally 600 scfm. Aluminum at approximately 1270°F was then poured through an opening in the top of the emission enclosure,

after which the opening was closed (Figure 2-4).


The emissions generated were transported through an insulated six (6) inch duct (stack) located at the top of the enclosure. Heated sample probes inserted into the stack at relevant locations, determined by EPA Method 1, enabled collection of total emissions from all phases of the casting process. One probe provided gases for the VOST (Figure 2-5a). Another probe in the stack was used to continuously draw effluent samples and transport them via a forty-seven (47) ft. heated sample line to an emissions console (Figure 2-5b) located in Technikon's laboratory, which contains a battery of gas analyzers. This console, or emissions bench, consists of a total hydrocarbon analyzer for TGOC analysis, two infra-red analyzers (for CO and CO<sub>2</sub>) and a chemiluminescence analyzer for NOx.

Continuous air samples were collected during the forty-five (45) minute pouring and cooling phase, during the five (5) minute shakeout of the mold, and for an additional twenty-five (25) minute cooling period following shakeout. The total sampling time was seventy-five (75) minutes.

**Figure 2-4 Pouring Aluminum into Mold inside Total Enclosure Hood**



**Figure 2-5 Stack Sampling Equipment**



#### **2.2.4. Process Parameter Measurements**

Table 2-1 lists the process parameters that are monitored during each test. The analytical equipment and methods used are also listed.

#### **2.2.5. Air Emissions Analysis**

The specific sampling and analytical methods used in the Research Foundry tests are based on federal reference methods shown in Table 2-2. The details of the specific testing procedures and their variance from the reference methods are included in the Technikon Standard Operating Procedures.

**Table 2-1 Process Equipment and Methods**

Process Parameters	Equipment and Method(s)
Mold Weight	Cardinal 748E Platform Scale (Gravimetric)
Casting Weight	Ohaus MP2 Scale
Binder Weight	MyWeigh 2600
Core Weight	Mettler SB12001 Digital Scale (Gravimetric)
Volatiles	Mettler PB302 Scale (AFS Procedure 2213-00-S)
LOI, % at Mold	Denver Instruments XE-100 Analytical Scale (AFS procedure 5100-00-S)
Metallurgical Parameters	
Pouring Temperature	Electro-Nite DT 260 (T/C Immersion Pyrometer)
Mold Compactability	Diertet 319A Sand Squeezer (AFS Procedure 2221-00-S)
Carbon/Silicon Fusion Temperature	Electro-nite DataCast 2000 (Thermal Arrest)
Alloy Weights	Ohaus MP2 Scale (Gravimetric)
Carbon Silicon Ratio	Electro-nite DataCast 2000 (thermal arrest)

**Table 2-2 Emission Sampling and Analytical Methods**

Measurement Parameter	Test Method(s)
Port Location	US EPA Method 1
Number of Traverse Points	US EPA Method 1
Gas Velocity and Temperature	US EPA Method 2
Gas Density and Molecular Weight	US EPA Method 3a
Gas Moisture	US EPA Method 4 (Gravimetric)
Target VOCs and HAPs	US EPA Methods TO17, TO11; NIOSH Methods 1500, 2002
TGOC	US EPA Method 25A
CO	US EPA Method 10
CO <sub>2</sub>	US EPA Method 3A
NOx	US EPA Method 7E
SO <sub>2</sub>	OSHA ID 200
CH <sub>4</sub>	CTM 042

Some methods modified to meet specific CERP test objectives.

#### **2.2.6. Data Reduction, Tabulation and Preliminary Report Preparation**

Data calculations for determining emission concentrations resulting from the specific test plans, outlined in Appendix A, are based on process and emission parameters. The analytical results of the emissions tests provide the mass of each analyte in the sample. The

total mass of the analyte emitted is calculated by multiplying the mass of analyte in the sample by the ratio of total stack gas volume to sample volume. The total stack gas volume is calculated from the measured stack gas velocity and duct diameter and corrected to dry standard conditions using the measured stack pressures, temperatures, gas molecular weight and moisture content. The total mass of analyte is then divided by the weight of the casting poured or weight of binder to provide emissions data in pounds of analyte per ton of metal or pounds of analyte per pound of binder.

Individual concentration and reporting limit results for each analyte for all sampling runs are included in Appendix B of this report. Average results for the Sigma Cure® binders are given in Section 3.0, Table 3-1a and 3-1b.

#### **2.2.7. *Report Preparation and Review***

The Preliminary Draft Report is created and reviewed by Process Team and Emissions Team members to ensure its completeness, consistency with the test plan, and adherence to QA/QC procedures. Appropriate observations, conclusions and recommendations are added to the report to produce a Draft Report. The Draft Report is then reviewed by senior management and comments are incorporated into a draft Final Report prior to final signature approval and distribution.

### **2.3. Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures**

Detailed QA/QC and data validation procedures for the process parameters, stack measurements, and laboratory analytical procedures are included in the “Technikon Emissions Testing and Analytical Testing Standard Operating Procedures” publication. In order to ensure the timely review of critical quality control parameters, the following procedures are followed:

- Immediately following the individual sampling events performed for each test, specific process parameters are reviewed by the Process Engineer to ensure that the parameters are maintained within the prescribed control ranges. Where data are not within the prescribed ranges, the Manager of Process Engineering and the Vice President of Operations determine whether the

individual test samples should be invalidated or flagged for further analysis following review of the laboratory data.

- The source (stack) and sampling parameters, analytical results and corresponding laboratory QA/QC data are reviewed by the Emissions Measurement Team to confirm the validity of the data. Senior management of Analytical Measurement Technologies reviews and approves the recommendation, if any, that individual sample data should be invalidated. Invalidated data are not used in subsequent calculations.

### 3.0 TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of Test HK, an evaluation of the relative pouring, cooling and shakeout airborne emissions and internal surface casting quality comparisons from aluminum phenolic urethane core binder systems (Sigma Cure®, HA International) were divided into three sections corresponding to the three subtests that were run as part of this test. The first subtest consisted of six molds poured using the standard Sigma Cure® 7227/7707 Binder System. This subtest is considered the baseline reference test for the other two subtests, and the results are used for comparison purposes. The second subtest consisted of three molds poured using a naphthalene-depleted variation of the standard binder, Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869. The third subtest also consisted of pouring three molds using a naphthalene-depleted variation, Sigma Cure® EX76210/EXEX76211. A total of twelve four-cavity molds were poured.

Compounds which were chosen for analysis based on chemical and operational parameters are termed “target analytes” (TA). The emissions indicator called the “Sum of Target Analytes” is the sum of the individual analytes that were targeted for collection and analysis, and detected at a level above the practical quantitation limit. For less complex samples with a small number of individual analytes contributing to emissions, the target analyte sum would closely match the results for total hydrocarbons obtained by Method 25A, excluding exempt compounds such as methane, and including compounds such as formaldehyde, which are less responsive in the FID. For the results reported here, the Sum of Target Analytes is only about 40 to 50% of methane adjusted TGOC as Propane results.

The target analyte sum includes targeted compounds that may also be defined as HAPs and POMs. By definition, HAPs are specific compounds listed in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The term POM defines not one compound, but a broad class of compounds based on chemical structure and boiling point. POMs as a class are a listed HAP. A subset of organic compounds from the current list of EPA HAPs was targeted for collection and analysis. These individual target HAPs (which may also be POMs by nature of their chemical properties) detected in the samples are summed together and defined as the “Sum of Target HAPs”, while the “Sum of Target POMs” only sums those organic

HAPs that are also defined as POMs.

The average emission results for select individual target analytes for Test HK (including comparative results for the two naphthalene-depleted variations) are presented in Tables 3-1a and 3-1b.

Also included in the tables are the “Sum of Target Analytes”, the “Sum of Target HAPs”, and the “Sum of Target POMs”. These three analyte sums are part of the group termed “Emission Indicators.” Also included in this group and reported in the tables is “TGOC as Propane” as determined by Method 25A. In addition, the average values for selected criteria and greenhouse gases including CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub> are given.

The average reported values presented in the tables for all analytes collected and measured during Test HK have been background corrected.

Individual isomers are reported in the tables, and have not been summed and reported as a group. If the reader chooses, isomers which have been targeted and analyzed may be summed using the information located in the tables in this section or Appendix B.

The tables also include the relative percent change in emissions from the reference test to the two subtests. The relative percent change in this case is defined as the difference in concentrations between the current test and reference test, divided by the reference test concentration and expressed as a percentage.

Tabulated emissions data that has been determined to be below the PQL after data validation and verification is substituted with the numerical value used for the PQL, rather than with the value of zero. If an analyte has calculated concentrations above the PQL for some runs, but values for other runs fall below the PQL, the PQL value is included when calculating analyte averages and sums. However, if an analyte has a concentration that is below the PQL for all runs in a test, the test average is indicated by  $\leq$  PQL (less than or equal to the PQL) in the Tables and Figures of this report, and no runs are included in any summations or averages. Omitting these less-than-reporting-limit analytes in calculations ensures that only those targeted compounds which contribute to emissions are included in emission sums.

---

**Table 3-1a Summary Comparison of Three Sigma Cure® Binders for Test HK, Average Emissions Results- Lb/Tn Metal**

Analyte Name	Baseline Reference Sigma Cure® 7227/7707	Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869	Percent Change from Reference	Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211	Percent Change from Reference
<b>Emission Indicators</b>					
TGOC as Propane	1.32E+00	1.27E+00	-4	1.32E+00	0
Sum of Target Analytes	3.24E-01	5.04E-01	55	5.05E-01	56
Sum of Target HAPs	2.26E-01	4.01E-01	77	3.81E-01	68
Sum of Target POMs	4.66E-02	1.90E-01	309	1.71E-01	268
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>					
Phenol	8.31E-02	8.77E-02	6	9.45E-02	14
Naphthalene	4.04E-02	1.07E-02	-74	1.41E-02	-65
Cresol, o-	3.34E-02	2.46E-02	-26	2.76E-02	-17
Aniline	2.06E-02	≤PQL	NA	1.33E-02	-35
Acetaldehyde	1.39E-02	1.98E-02	42	2.25E-02	61
Benzene	7.09E-03	6.91E-03	-3	7.09E-03	0
Toluene	5.26E-03	9.24E-03	76	1.03E-02	96
Xylene, mp-	4.03E-03	8.37E-03	108	1.12E-02	178
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	3.75E-03	8.84E-02	2256	7.57E-02	1919
Hexane	3.47E-03	9.16E-03	164	3.11E-03	-10
Cresol, mp-	2.47E-03	3.13E-03	27	3.43E-03	39
Xylene, o-	1.74E-03	4.47E-03	157	5.51E-03	217
Biphenyl	1.71E-03	3.28E-02	1815	5.46E-03	219
Methylnaphthalene, 1-	1.70E-03	4.25E-02	2396	3.47E-02	1941
Formaldehyde	1.27E-03	1.50E-03	17	1.66E-03	30
Ethylbenzene	7.88E-04	2.00E-03	154	2.67E-03	239
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	7.21E-04	1.59E-02	2101	1.52E-02	2009
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	5.43E-04	6.96E-04	28	7.23E-04	33
Styrene	4.37E-04	5.02E-04	15	3.46E-04	-21
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	≤PQL	3.68E-03	NA	3.47E-03	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	≤PQL	2.45E-03	NA	2.26E-03	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	≤PQL	7.47E-03	NA	7.13E-03	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	≤PQL	6.31E-03	NA	6.06E-03	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	≤PQL	1.30E-02	NA	1.26E-02	NA
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>					
Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	3.09E-02	3.89E-02	26	5.31E-02	72
Dodecane	2.22E-02	6.39E-03	-71	6.86E-03	-69
Ethyltoluene, 3-	1.02E-02	1.78E-02	74	2.36E-02	131
Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	8.27E-03	3.91E-03	-53	5.55E-03	-33
Ethyltoluene, 2-	7.03E-03	9.76E-03	39	1.34E-02	90
Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	5.22E-03	2.28E-03	-56	2.73E-03	-48
Undecane	3.84E-03	2.28E-03	-41	1.66E-03	-57
Octane	3.03E-03	2.56E-03	-16	2.61E-03	-14
Propylbenzene, n-	2.83E-03	5.67E-03	100	6.74E-03	138
Tetradecane	2.58E-03	5.03E-03	95	≤PQL	NA
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.75E-03	1.30E-03	-26	1.38E-03	-21
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	5.03E-04	NA
Decane	≤PQL	1.98E-03	NA	≤PQL	NA
Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	≤PQL	4.09E-03	NA	5.58E-03	NA
Hexaldehyde	≤PQL	3.58E-04	NA	2.83E-04	NA
<b>Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>					
Carbon Monoxide	2.46E+00	2.56E+00	4	2.51E+00	2
Carbon Dioxide	1.14E+00	≤PQL	NA	≤PQL	NA
Methane	1.81E-01	2.11E-01	17	2.17E-01	20
Nitrogen Oxides	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	≤PQL	NA
Sulfur Dioxide	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	≤PQL	NA

≤PQL = Less than or equal to the Practical Quantitation Limit

**Table 3-1b Summary comparison of Three Sigma Cure® Binders for Test HK, Average Emissions Results- Lb/Lb Binder**

Analyte Name	Baseline Reference Sigma Cure® 7227/7707	Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869	Percent Change from Reference	Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211	Percent Change from Reference
<b>Emission Indicators</b>					
TGOC as Propane	9.11E-02	8.80E-02	-3	9.50E-02	4
Sum of Target Analytes	2.25E-02	3.49E-02	55	3.74E-02	66
Sum of Target HAPs	1.57E-02	2.78E-02	77	2.82E-02	79
Sum of Target POMs	3.22E-03	1.32E-02	309	1.27E-02	293
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>					
Phenol	5.75E-03	6.07E-03	6	6.97E-03	21
Naphthalene	2.79E-03	7.40E-04	-74	1.04E-03	-63
Cresol, o-	2.31E-03	1.71E-03	-26	2.04E-03	-12
Aniline	1.43E-03	≤PQL	NA	1.12E-03	-22
Acetaldehyde	9.65E-04	1.37E-03	42	1.66E-03	72
Benzene	4.89E-04	4.79E-04	-2	5.23E-04	7
Toluene	3.64E-04	6.40E-04	76	7.60E-04	109
Xylene, mp-	2.79E-04	5.81E-04	108	8.26E-04	196
Methylnaphthalene, 2-	2.59E-04	6.12E-03	2262	5.60E-03	2060
Hexane	2.39E-04	6.25E-04	161	2.29E-04	-4
Cresol, mp-	1.94E-04	2.18E-04	12	2.54E-04	31
Biphenyl	1.49E-04	2.27E-03	1419	4.03E-04	170
Xylene, o-	1.20E-04	3.10E-04	158	4.07E-04	239
Methylnaphthalene, 1-	1.18E-04	2.94E-03	2400	2.57E-03	2082
Formaldehyde	8.81E-05	1.03E-04	17	1.22E-04	39
Ethylbenzene	5.44E-05	1.38E-04	155	1.97E-04	262
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	5.19E-05	1.10E-03	2019	1.13E-03	2070
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	3.76E-05	4.81E-05	28	5.34E-05	42
Styrene	3.61E-05	3.76E-05	4	2.84E-05	-21
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	≤PQL	2.55E-04	NA	2.57E-04	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	≤PQL	1.70E-04	NA	1.67E-04	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	≤PQL	5.17E-04	NA	5.28E-04	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	≤PQL	4.37E-04	NA	4.48E-04	NA
Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	≤PQL	8.97E-04	NA	9.32E-04	NA
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>					
Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.14E-03	2.70E-03	26	3.91E-03	83
Dodecane	1.54E-03	4.41E-04	-71	5.06E-04	-67
Ethyltoluene, 3-	7.07E-04	1.23E-03	75	1.74E-03	146
Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	5.71E-04	2.71E-04	-53	4.09E-04	-28
Ethyltoluene, 2-	4.86E-04	6.77E-04	39	9.85E-04	103
Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	3.61E-04	1.78E-04	-51	2.03E-04	-44
Undecane	2.65E-04	1.57E-04	-41	1.23E-04	-54
Octane	2.10E-04	1.77E-04	-15	1.93E-04	-8
Propylbenzene, n-	1.95E-04	3.93E-04	101	4.96E-04	154
Tetradecane	1.78E-04	3.49E-04	95	≤PQL	NA
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.21E-04	8.96E-05	-26	1.02E-04	-16
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	4.95E-05	NA
Decane	≤PQL	1.37E-04	NA	≤PQL	NA
Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	≤PQL	2.84E-04	NA	4.13E-04	NA
Hexaldehyde	≤PQL	3.22E-05	NA	2.83E-05	NA
<b>Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>					
Carbon Monoxide	1.70E-01	1.77E-01	4	1.81E-01	6
Carbon Dioxide	4.16E-02	≤PQL	NA	≤PQL	NA
Methane	1.25E-02	1.47E-02	17	1.56E-02	25
Nitrogen Oxides	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	≤PQL	NA
Sulfur Dioxide	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	≤PQL	NA

≤PQL = Less than or equal to the Practical Quantitation Limit

Examination of measured process parameters indicated that all three subtests were run within acceptable ranges and limits. The principal causes and secondary influences on emissions are fixed between the reference test and the comparative test for each individual run, so that for pouring, cooling, and shakeout, the emissions reflect only the difference in the materials being tested. A statistical determination is made to verify the effectiveness of controlling these influences. This is done by determining whether the means of emissions of the baseline reference test and the comparative subtests were different through calculating a T-test at a 95% significance level ( $\alpha=0.05$ ). Results at this significance level indicate that there is a 95% probability that the mean values for the comparison tests are not equivalent to those of the reference test. It may therefore be said that the differences in the average emission values are real differences, and not due to test, sampling, or analysis methodologies. This difference is indicated in Tables 3-1a and 3-1b in the column labeled “Percent Change from Reference”. Values in this column presented in **bold font** indicate a greater than 95% probability that the two tests are statistically different.

### 3.1. Discussion of Results


The individual chemical compounds from airborne emissions targeted for collection and analyses for this test were chosen based on the chemistry of the binder under investigation as well as analytes historically targeted. The analyte lists were identical for all the subtests under Test HK.

Figures 3-1a to 3-3b, which are shown on the following page, graphically present the data from Tables 3-1a and 3-1b for Test HK for the four emissions indicators, as well as selected individual HAP, target analyte, and criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas emissions as both lb/ton of metal poured and lb/lb of binder used.


## Figures

### Summary Comparison of Three Sigma Cure® Binders for Test HK, Average Emissions Results


**Figure 3-1a Emissions Indicators - Lb/Tn Metal**




**Figure 3-1b Emissions Indicators - Lb/Lb Binder**




**Figure 3-2a Selected HAP and POM Emissions - Lb/Tn Metal**




**Figure 3-2b Selected HAP and POM Emissions - Lb/Lb Binder**



**Figure 3-3a Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Lb/Tn Metal**



**Figure 3-3b Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Lb/Lb Binder**



Emission Indicators for both lb/ton metal and lb/lb binder for Test HK show no statistically significant change in TGOC as Propane for the two naphthalene-depleted variations compared to the standard Sigma Cure® 7227/7707. However, the sum of Target Analytes and the Sum of Target HAPs were approximately 55% higher for the naphthalene-depleted (ND) formulations, while the Sum of Target POMs increased by an average of 300%.

The ND binder formulations examined in Subtests 2 and 3 resulted in lower naphthalene emissions than those from the standard formulation by approximately 70%. Although naphthalene emissions decreased, the substituted naphthalenes including both the methylnaphthalenes and 1,3-dimethylnaphthalene, increased by almost 20 times. These large increases account for the large increase in the Sum of POMs. The other seven isomers of dimethylnaphthalene that were targeted were all found to be less than the PQL for the reference subtest, and except for 1,8-dimethylnaphthalene were all above the PQL for Subtests 2 and 3.

Another large increase was found in biphenyl emissions, which were 20 times larger in the ND formulation of the second subtest (runs 7-9, Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869) when compared to the reference. In the third subtest (runs 10-12, Sigma Cure® EX76210/EXEX76211), biphenyl emissions averaged nearly 200% higher.

Increases in other HAPs were also found for both Sigma Cure® variations in Subtests 2 and 3 for acetaldehyde, toluene, mp-xylene, ethylbenzene, and o-xylene, ranging from 40% to over 200%. The only other targeted HAP or POM besides naphthalene which had a statistically valid decrease was o-cresol, which was found to be lower by approximately 26% for the ND variation in Subtest 2.

Targeted analytes not considered HAPs or POMs that were found to increase when compared to the reference binder run in the first subtest included propylbenzene, n-tetradecane, 3-ethyltoluene, 2-ethyltoluene, and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene. An increase in tetradecane was also noted, but only for Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869. Dodecane, 2,6-dimethylphenol, 1,3-diethylbenzene, undecane, and 2-butanone (MEK) all had decreases ranging from 20 to 70%.

Of the 31 targeted HAPs, 19 contributed to emissions above the PQL, while only 3 account-

---

ed for approximately 50% of the measured emissions for the reference standard binder. Phenol, naphthalene, and o-cresol contributions were 26%, 12%, and 10%, respectively. However, 2-methylnaphthalene, phenol, and 1-methylnaphthalene were the top contributors (over 40% to total emissions) for Subtest 2 using Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869, at 18%, 17% and 8%, respectively. The third subtest using Sigma Cure® EX76210/EXEX76211 also had these three compounds contributing over 40%, with little change to their individual percent contributions at 15%, 19%, and 7%. Twenty three targeted HAPs contributed to emissions above the PQL for Subtest 2, and 24 targeted HAPs contributed to emissions above the PQL for Subtest 3.

The top five non-air toxic target analytes were identical for the two naphthalene-depleted subtests, and include 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, dodecane, 2- and 3-ethyltoluene, and n-propylbenzene. Four of these also were in the top five for the reference subtest, the exception being 1,3-diethylbenzene replacing n-propylbenzene.

### **3.2. Process Data Comparisons**

A comparison was made between the surface quality of the castings from Test HK. The comparison consisted initially of a visual examination of major and minor surface defects such as burn-in and veining. Castings were first ranked according to those defects. To further differentiate surface quality among castings, the finish was tested by touch for smoothness. The smoothest casting with the fewest visual surface defects received the highest ranking.

Three benchmark visual casting quality rankings consisting of the best, the median, and the worst casting are assigned to three of the castings from each test. The “best” designation means that the internal surface of a casting is the best appearing of the lot of 12, and is given an in-series rank of “1”. The “median” designation, given an in-series rank of “6,” means that five castings are better in appearance and six are worse. The “worst” designation is assigned to that casting which is of the poorest quality, and is assigned an in-series rank of “12”. The remaining castings are then compared to these three benchmarks and ranked accordingly.

The castings from Test HK were sorted into three groups based on the binders used under

---

each subtest. The first 12 castings from each binder combination were chosen for surface quality comparison. The castings from the reference binder used in Subtest 1, Sigma Cure® 7227/7707, were consistent in appearance with each other. The core side surfaces of the castings were dull in finish, and showed very little burn-in. In comparing these castings to those from Subtests 2 and 3, no significant differences on the core side of the casting were observed.

The comparative rankings of casting appearance for castings from Test HK, runs HK020-HK022 are shown in Table 3-2. The best, median and worst castings from runs HK020-HK022 will be saved as a baseline for comparison to future aluminum tests.

***Table 3-2 Comparison of Casting Quality***

Rank Order	Emissions Mold number	Cavity Number
Rank 1	HK022	2
Rank 2	HK021	3
Rank 3	HK020	3
Rank 4	HK020	2
Rank 5	HK021	2
Rank 6	HK022	3
Rank 7	HK022	4
Rank 8	HK022	1
Rank 9	HK020	1
Rank 10	HK020	4
Rank 11	HK021	4
Rank 12	HK021	1

Rank Order of Appearance: 1=Overall Best Casting  
to 12=Overall Worst Casting

The average process parameters are reported in Table 3-3 and Appendix C.

The four appendices in this report contain detailed information regarding testing, sampling, data collection and results for each sampling event. Appendix A contains test plans, instructions and the sampling plan for Test HK. Appendix B contains detailed emissions data and average results for all targeted analytes. Target analyte practical quantitation limits expressed in both lb/lb binder and lb/ton metal are also shown in Appendix B. Appendix C contains detailed process data and the pictorial casting record. Appendix D contains continuous

monitor charts. The charts are presented to show TGOC, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane, and oxides of nitrogen time-dependent emissions profiles for each individual emissions test pour. Charts have not been background corrected. Appendix E contains acronyms and abbreviations.

**Table 3-3 Summary of Test Plan Average Process Parameters**

Greensand PCS with HA International Sigma Cure® Cores

Test HK	Sigma Cure® 7227/7707	Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869	Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211
<b>Test Dates</b>	8/29/06-8/31/06	9/6/2006	9/7/2006
Cast weight, lbs.	43.87	44.18	45.53
Pouring time, sec.	14	14	14
Pouring temp , °F	1278	1275	1273
Pour hood process air temp at start of pour, °F	86	87	90
Mixer auto dispensed sand weight, lbs	50.10	50.07	50.07
Core binder weight part 1, g	125.3	125.2	125.5
Core binder weight part 2, g	125.3	125.4	124.5
Core binder weight, g	250.6	250.6	249.9
% core binder (BOS)	1.10	1.10	1.10
% core binder, actual	1.09	1.09	1.09
Total core weight in mold, lbs.	29.05	29.14	28.99
Total binder weight in mold, lbs.	0.32	0.32	0.32
Core LOI, %	0.86	0.90	0.89
2 hour core dogbone tensile, psi	283.9	217.2	326.1
Core age when poured, hrs.	62.0	25.8	46.5
Muller batch weight, lbs.	902	900	901
GS mold sand weight, lbs.	636	634	617
Mold temperature, °F	84	86	86
Average green compression , psi	19.26	20.90	20.53
GS compactability, %	39	40	45
GS moisture content, %	1.80	1.81	0.95
GS MB clay content, %	7.1	7.1	7.2
MB clay reagent, ml	36.8	36.8	37.7
1500°F LOI - mold sand, %	0.96	0.93	0.95
900°F volatiles , %	0.42	0.37	0.36
Permeability index	225	235	237
Sand temperature, °F	86	88	84

**APPENDIX A**

**TEST AND SAMPLE PLANS AND PROCESS INSTRUCTIONS**

*this page intentionally left blank*

---

## TECHNIKON TEST PLAN

---

♦ <b>CONTRACT NUMBER:</b>	1413	<b>TASK NUMBER</b>	112	<b>SERIES</b>	HK
♦ <b>SITE:</b>	Research Foundry				
♦ <b>TEST TYPE:</b>	PCS of phenolic urethane cores in greensand, no seacoal				
♦ <b>METAL TYPE:</b>	Aluminum				
♦ <b>MOLD TYPE:</b>	4-on step core				
♦ <b>NUMBER OF MOLDS:</b>	3 molds, conditioning/casting quality, 6 molds with cores made of Sigma Cure® 7227/7707, 3 with cores made from Sigma Cure® EX74522/75869, and 3 molds with cores made from Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211				
♦ <b>CORE TYPE:</b>	Step; Wedron 530 sand; 1.1% (BOS) HA International binder P1/P2 in a 50/50 ratio, TEA activated.				
♦ <b>CORE COATING:</b>	None				
♦ <b>SAMPLE EVENTS:</b>	12				
♦ <b>TEST DATE(S):</b>	<b>START:</b> 8/21/06				
	<b>FINISH:</b> 9/15/06				

**TEST OBJECTIVES:**

Measure selected PCS HAP & VOC emissions, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, NOx, CH<sub>4</sub> and TGOC from pouring cooling and shakeout of phenolic urethane cores in greensand no seacoal. Results will be calculated in lbs of emissions per ton of metal poured and lbs of emissions per pound of binder. Results will be compared to those of test DN.

**VARIABLES:**

The pattern will be the 4-on step core. The mold will be made with Wexford 450 sand, western and southern bentonite in a 5:2 ratio to yield 7.0 +/- 0.5% MB Clay, no seacoal, and tempered to 40-45% compactability, mechanically compacted. The molds will be maintained at 70-90°F prior to pouring. The sand heap will be maintained at 900 pounds. Molds will be poured with aluminum at 1270±10°F. Mold cooling will be 45 minutes followed by 15 minutes of shakeout, or until no more material remains to be shaken out, followed by 15 minutes additional sampling for a total of 75 minutes.

**BRIEF OVERVIEW:**

These greensand molds will be produced on mechanically assisted Osborne molding machines. (Ref. CERP test FH). The 4-on step-core standard mold is a 24 x 24 x 10/10 inch 4-on array of standard AFS, drag only, step core castings against which other binder systems can be compared. The cores will be manufactured at Technikon.

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS:**

The process will include rigorous maintenance of the size of sand heap and maintenance of the material and environmental testing temperatures to reduce seasonal and daily temperature dependent influence on the emissions. Initially a 1200 pound greensand heap will be created from a single muller batch. Nine hundred pounds will become the re-circulating heap. The balance will be used to makeup for attrition. Cores will be produced with Wedron 530 silica sand. The cores shall be bagged in plastic. Coated and dried cores will be bagged as soon as sufficiently cooled. The cores will be approximately 1-4 days old when tested.

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	
Method											Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
8/29/2006											
CONDITIONING - 1											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK CR-1	X									TOTAL

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	
Method											Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
8/29/2006											
CONDITIONING - 2											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK CR-2	X									TOTAL

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	
Method											Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
8/29/2006											
CONDITIONING - 3											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK CR-3	X									TOTAL

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	
Method											Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
9/30/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK001	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK00101	1							100	1	Carbopak charcoal
TO-17	HK00102		1						0		Carbopak charcoal
Excess									100	2	BLOCKED
Excess									100	3	BLOCKED
NIOSH 2002	HK00103	1							500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 2002	HK00104		1						0		150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 1500	HK00105	1							500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 1500	HK00106		1						0		100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 2010	HK00107	1							500	6	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 2010	HK00108		1						500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 2010	HK00109		1						0		150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
OSHA ID200	HK00110	1							1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)
OSHA ID200	HK00111		1						0		100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)
Excess									1000	9	BLOCKED
TO11	HK00112	1							1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
TO11	HK00113		1						0		DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
Excess									1700	11	BLOCKED
Moisture		1							500	12	TOTAL
Excess									5000	13	Excess

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
9/30/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NO <sub>x</sub>	HK002	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK00201		1						100	1	Carbopak charcoal
TO-17	HK00202			1					100	2	Carbopak charcoal
Excess									100	3	BLOCKED
NIOSH 2002	HK00203		1						500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 2002	HK00204			1					500	5	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 1500	HK00205		1						500	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 2010	HK00206		1						500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
OSHA ID200	HK00207		1						1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)
OSHA ID200	HK00208			1					1000	9	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)
TO11	HK00209		1						1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
TO11	HK00210			1					1700	11	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
Moisture		1							500	12	TOTAL
Excess									5000	13	Excess

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
9/30/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NO <sub>x</sub>	HK003	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK00301		1						100	1	Carbopak charcoal
TO-17 MS	HK00302		1						100	2	Carbopak charcoal
TO-17 MS	HK00303			1					100	3	Carbopak charcoal
NIOSH 2002	HK00304		1						500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 1500	HK00305		1						500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 1500	HK00306			1					500	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 2010	HK00307		1						500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
OSHA ID200	HK00308			1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)
Excess									1000	9	BLOCKED
TO11	HK00309		1						1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
Excess									1700	11	Excess
Moisture		1							500	12	TOTAL
Excess									5000	13	Excess

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
8/31/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NO <sub>x</sub>	HK004	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK00401		1						100	1	Carbopak charcoal
TO-17	HK00402				1				100	1	Carbopak charcoal
Excess									100	2	BLOCKED
Excess									100	3	BLOCKED
NIOSH 2002	HK00403		1						500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 1500	HK00404		1						500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 2010	HK00405			1					500	6	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
Excess									500	7	BLOCKED
OSHA ID200	HK00406			1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)
Excess									1000	9	BLOCKED
TO11	HK00407		1						1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
Excess									1700	11	BLOCKED
Moisture		1							500	12	TOTAL
Excess									5000	13	Excess

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
8/31/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK005	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK00501	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
	Excess						100	2	BLOCKED		
	Excess						100	3	BLOCKED		
NIOSH 2002	HK00502	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK00503	1					500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK00504	1					500	6	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
	Excess						500	7	BLOCKED		
OSHA ID200	HK00505	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
	Excess						1000	9	BLOCKED		
TO11	HK00506	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
	Excess						1700	11	BLOCKED		
	Moisture	1					500	12	TOTAL		
	Excess						5000	13	Excess		

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 7277/7707 Binder System
8/31/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK006	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK00601	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
	Excess						100	2	BLOCKED		
	Excess						100	3	BLOCKED		
NIOSH 2002	HK00602	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK00603	1					500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK00604	1					500	6	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
	Excess						500	7	BLOCKED		
OSHA ID200	HK00605	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
	Excess						1000	9	BLOCKED		
TO11	HK00606	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
	Excess						1700	11	BLOCKED		
	Moisture	1					500	12	TOTAL		
	Excess						5000	13	Excess		

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 74522/75869 Binder System
9/6/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK020	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK02001	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17	HK02002			1			100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17 MS	HK02003	1					100	2	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17 MS	HK02004		1				100	3	Carbopak charcoal		
NIOSH 2002	HK02005	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 2002	HK02006		1				500	5	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK02007	1					500	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK02008	1					500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
OSHA ID200	HK02009	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
OSHA ID200	HK02010		1				1000	9	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
TO11	HK02011	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
TO11	HK02012		1				1700	11	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
	Moisture	1					500	12	TOTAL		
	Excess						5000	13	Excess		

**RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 74522/75869 Binder System
9/6/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK021	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK02101	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17	HK02102		1				100	2	BLOCKED		
Excess							100	3	BLOCKED		
NIOSH 2002	HK02103	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK02104	1					500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 1500	HK02105		1				500	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK02106	1					500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
OSHA ID200	HK02107	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
Excess							1000	9	BLOCKED		
TO11	HK02108	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
Excess							1700	11	BLOCKED		
Moisture		1					500	12	TOTAL		
Excess							5000	13	Excess		

**RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 74522/75869 Binder System
9/6/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK022	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK02201	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
Excess							100	2	BLOCKED		
Excess							100	3	BLOCKED		
NIOSH 2002	HK02202	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK02203	1					500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK02204	1					500	6	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 2010	HK02205		1				500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
OSHA ID200	HK02206	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
Excess							1000	9	BLOCKED		
TO11	HK02207	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
Excess							1700	11	BLOCKED		
Moisture		1					500	12	TOTAL		
Excess							5000	13	Excess		

**RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 76210/76211 Binder System
9/7/2006											
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK030	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK03001	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17	HK03002			1			100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17 MS	HK03003	1					100	2	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17 MS	HK03004		1				100	3	Carbopak charcoal		
NIOSH 2002	HK03005	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 2002	HK03006		1				500	5	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK03007	1					500	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK03008	1					500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
OSHA ID200	HK03009	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
OSHA ID200	HK03010		1				1000	9	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
TO11	HK03011	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
TO11	HK03012		1				1700	11	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
Moisture		1					500	12	TOTAL		
Excess							5000	13	Excess		

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method 9/7/2006	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 76210/76211 Binder System
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK031	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK03101	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
TO-17	HK03102		1				100	2	Carbopak charcoal		
Excess							100	3	BLOCKED		
NIOSH 2002	HK03103	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK03104	1					500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 1500	HK03105		1				500	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK03106	1					500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
OSHA ID200	HK03107	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
Excess							1000	9	BLOCKED		
TO11	HK03108	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
Excess							1700	11	BLOCKED		
Moisture		1					500	12	TOTAL		
Excess							5000	13	Excess		

## RESEARCH FOUNDRY HK - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method 9/7/2006	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments: 76210/76211 Binder System
THC, CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, CO <sub>2</sub> & NOx	HK032	X									TOTAL
TO-17	HK03201	1					100	1	Carbopak charcoal		
Excess							100	2	BLOCKED		
Excess							100	3	BLOCKED		
NIOSH 2002	HK03202	1					500	4	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 1500	HK03203	1					500	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
NIOSH 2010	HK03204	1					500	6	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
NIOSH 2010	HK03205		1				500	7	150/75 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)		
OSHA ID200	HK03206	1					1000	8	100/50 mg Carbon Bead (SKC 226-80)		
Excess							1000	9	BLOCKED		
TO11	HK03207	1					1700	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
Excess							1700	11	BLOCKED		
Moisture		1					500	12	TOTAL		
Excess							5000	13	Excess		

## **1413-1.1.2-HK**

---

### ***PCS Product Test: Greensand Uncoated Cores Made with HA International Sigma Cure® 7227/7707, EX74522/EX75869, and EX76210/EX76211 TEA Catalyzed& Mechanized Molding Process Instructions***

#### **A Experiment:**

- 1 Product emissions measurement from greensand molds made with all virgin Wexford W450 sand, bonded with Western & Southern Bentonite in the ratio of 5:2 to yield 7.0 +/- 0.5 % MB Clay, & no seacoal. 9 molds will have cores made with HA International 7227/7707 cores, 3 with 74522/75869 cores and 3 with 76210/76211 cores. The molds shall be tempered with potable water to 40-45% compactability, poured at constant weight, temperature, surface area, & shape factor. This test will recycle the same mold material, replacing burned clay with new materials after each casting cycle and providing clay for the retained core sand.

#### **B Materials:**

- 1 Mold sand:
  - a Virgin mix of Wexford W450 lake sand, western and southern bentonites in ratio of 5:2, and potable water per recipe.
- 2 Core:
  - a Uncoated step core made with virgin Wedron 530 silica sand
    - 1) 1.1% (BOS) HA International Sigma Cure® 7227/7707 (9molds)
    - 2) 1.1% (BOS) HA International Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869 (3 molds)
    - 3) 1.1% (BOS) HA International Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211 (3 molds)
- 3 Core coating:
  - a None
- 4 Metal:
  - a 356 Aluminum poured at 1270 +/- 10°F.
- 5 Pattern release:
  - a Black Diamond, hand wiped.
- 6 20 pores per inch (ppi) 2 x 2 x 0.5 ceramic foam filter.

#### **C Briefing:**

- 1 The Process Engineer, Emissions Engineer, and the area Supervisor will brief the operating personnel on the requirements of the test at least one (1) day prior to the test.

**Caution**

**Observe all safety precautions attendant to these operations as delineated in the Pre-production operating and safety instruction manual.**

D HA International 7227/7707 coldbox cores:

- 1 Klein vibratory core sand mixer.
  - a The binder components should be 75-85°F.
  - b Calibrate the Klein mixer sand batch size.
    - 1) Calibrate sand.
      - a Turn the AUTO/MAN switch to MANUAL on main control panel.
      - b Zero a container on the scale.
      - c Put the same container below the mixing bowl to catch the sand.
      - d Open a few bags of WEDRON 530 sand into the sand hopper and manually fill batch hopper using max. and min. proximity switches.
      - e Discharge the sand from the batch hopper using the single cycle push button. Catch the sand as it leaves the batch hopper and record the net weight and the dispensing time.
      - f Repeat 3 times to determine the weight variation. The sand should be 75-85°F.
    - c Pre-weigh 50% of 1.1% (BOS) of the part 1 into a non-absorbing container for addition to the mixer.
    - d Pre-weigh 50% of 1.1% (BOS) of the part 2 into a non-absorbing container for addition to the mixer.
    - e Turn on the mixer and turn the AUTO/MAN switch to AUTO.
    - f Press the SINGLE CYCLE push button on the operator's station to make a batch of sand. As soon as the sand enters the mixer chamber pour the pre-weighed binder through the open top front half of the mixing chamber.
    - g Make three (3) batches to start the Redford Carver core machine.
    - h Make a batch of sand for every 7 core machine cycles when using the step core. About two (2) batches will be retained in the core machine sand magazine.
    - i Clean the mixer bowl when done.
    - j Clean the sand chute and core box.
    - k Repeat for both the 74522/75869 binder and the 76210/76211

**Caution:**

**Do not make more sand than sand magazine will hold plus one (1) batch. If too much sand is made it will shorten the sand bench life**

2 Redford/Carver core machine.

- a Mount the Step-Core core box on the Carver/Redford core machine.
- b Start the core machine auxiliary equipment per the Production Foundry OSI for that equipment.

- c Set up the core machine in the warm box mode with gassing and working pressures and gas and purge time according to the core recipe sheet.
  - 1) Core process setup
    - a) Set the core box heaters to 300°F
    - b) Set the blow pressure to 50+/- 2 psi for 3 seconds (R/C).
    - c) Set the gas time to 0 seconds.
    - d) Set the purge for 210 seconds(R/C).
    - e) Total cycle time approximately 4 minutes.
- d Run the core machine for three (3) cycles and discard the cores. When the cores appear good begin test core manufacture. Five (5) good cores are required for each mold. Make five (5) additional 50 pound sand batches and run the sand out making core.
  - 1) For 7227/7707 a minimum of 45 cores are required
  - 2) For 74522/75869 and 76210/76211 a minimum of 15 cores are required
- e The sand lab will sample one (1) core from the core rack for each mold produced just prior to the emission test to represent the four (4) cores placed in that mold. Those cores will be tested for LOI using the standard 1800 °F core LOI test method and reported out associated with the test mold it is to represent.

### 3 Dog Bone Manufacture

- a Set the parameters per the AFS Procedure
- b Use the Kitchen Aid® mixer
  - 1) Add 5 pounds of Wedron 530 to the running mixer.
  - 2) Slowly pour 1.1% BOS (50% Part 1/50% part 2) of binder into the sand. Distribute the resin as it is poured. Avoid pouring the resin on the plows or walls of the mixer or in one location or resin balling will occur preventing proper mixing.
  - 3) Mix for three minutes after the resin is all in.
- c Fill the sand head with sand and place it under the blow head
- d Compress the sand head with the lever and hit the blow button
- e Gas the samples in the same manner until hardened
- f Immediately put the samples in a desiccator for 5 minutes and take them to the green room and tensile test them.
- g Make 30 dog bones per binder set.
- h In addition, make another 90 dog bones for 3 standard tests 2 hr, 24 hr, and 24 hr humidity.

### E Sand preparation

- 1 Start up batch: make 1, HKER1.
  - a Thoroughly clean the pre-production muller elevator and molding hoppers.
  - b Weigh and add 1130 +/-10 pounds of new Wexford W450 lake sand, per the recipe, to

- c the running pre-production muller to make a 1200 batch.
- c Add 5 pounds of potable water to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
- d Add the clays slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass in proportion to the sand weight per the recipe for this test.
- e Dry mull for about 3 minutes to allow distribution and some grinding of the clays to occur.
- f Temper the sand-clay mixture slowly, with potable water, to allow for distribution.
- g After about 16 pounds of water have been added allow 30 seconds of mixing then start taking compactability test samples.
- h Based on each test add water incrementally to adjust the temper. Allow 1 minute of mixing. Retest. Repeat until the compactability, as would be measured at the mold, is in the range 40-45%.
- i Discharge the sand into the mold station elevator.
- j Record the total sand mixed in the batch, the total of each type of clay added to the batch, the amount of water added, the total mix time, the final compactability and sand temperature at discharge into the mold. The sand will be characterized for Methylene Blue Clay, AFS clay, Moisture content, Compactability, Green Compression strength, Permeability 1500°F loss on ignition (LOI), and 900°F volatiles. Each volatile test requires a separate 50 gram sample from the collected sand. Each LOI test requires 3 separate 30 gram samples from the collected sand.
- k Empty the extra greensand from the mold hopper into a clean empty dump hopper whose tare weight is known. Set this sand aside to be used to maintain the recycled batch at 900+-10 pounds

## 2 Re-mulling: HKER2

- a Add to the sand recovered from poured mold HKER1 sufficient pre-blended sand so that the sand batch weight is 900 +/- 10 pounds. Record the sand weight.
- b Return the sand to the muller and dry blend for about one minute.
- c Add the clays, as directed by the process engineering staff, slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass.
- d Add 5 pounds of water to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
- e Follow the above procedure beginning at E.1.f.

## 3 Re-mulling: HKER3, HK001-HK015

- a Add to the sand recovered from the previous poured mold, mold machine spill sand, the residual mold hopper sand and sufficient pre-blended sand to total 900 +/- 10 pounds.
- b Return the sand to the muller and dry blend for about one minute.
- c Add the clays, as directed by the process engineering staff, slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass.
- d Add 5 pounds of water to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand.

Allow to mix for 1 minute.

- e Follow the above procedure beginning at E.1.f.

F Molding:

- 1 Step core pattern preparation:
  - a Inspect and tighten all loose pattern and gating pieces.
  - b Repair any damaged pattern or gating parts.
- 2 Making the green sand mold.
  - a Mount the drag pattern on one Osborne Whisper Ram molding machine and mount the cope pattern on the other Osborne machine.
  - b Lightly rub parting oil from a damp oil rag on the pattern particularly in the corners and recesses.

**Caution:**

**Do not pour gross amounts of parting oil on the pattern to be blown off with air. This practice will leave sufficient oil at the parting line to be adsorbed by the sand weakening it and the burning oil will be detected by the emission samplers.**

- c Use the overhead crane to place the pre-weighed drag/cope flask on the mold machine table, parting line surface down.
- d Locate a 24 x 24 x 4 inch deep wood upset on top of the flask.
- e Make the green sand mold cope or drag on the Osborn Whisper Ram Jolt-Squeeze mold machine.

**WARNING**

**Only properly trained personnel may operate this machine.**

**Proper personal protective equipment must be worn at all times while operating this equipment, including safety glasses with side shields and a properly fitting hard hat.**

**Industrial type boots are highly recommended.**

**WARNING**

**Stand clear of the mold machine table and swinging head during the following operation or serious injury or death could result.**

- f Open the air supply to the mold machine.

**WARNING**

**The squeeze head may suddenly swing to the outboard side or forward.**

**Do not stand in the outer corners of the molding enclosure.**

- g On the operator's panel turn the POWER switch to ON.
- h Turn the RAM-JOLT-SQUEEZE switch to ON.
- i Turn the DRAW UP switch to AUTO.
- j Set the PRE-JOLT timer to 4-5 seconds.
- k Set the squeeze timer to 8 seconds.
- l Set the crow-footed gagger on the support bar. Verify that it is at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch away from any pattern parts.
- m Manually spread one to two inches or so of sand over the pattern using a shovel. Source the sand from the overhead mold sand hopper by actuating the hopper gate valve with the lever located under the operators panel.
- n Fill the center portion of the flask.
- o Manually move sand from the center portion to the outboard areas and hand tuck the sand.
- p Finish filling the 24 x 24 x 10 inch flask and the upset with greensand from the overhead molding hopper.
- q Grab a sufficient sample of sand to fill a quart zip-lock bag. Label bag with the test series and sequence number, date, and time of day and deliver it immediately to the sand lab for analysis
- r Manually level the sand in the upset. By experience manually adjust the sand depth so that the resulting compacted mold is fractionally above the flask only height.
- s The operator will grab a sand sample for the Lab. The sand technician will quickly measure the sand temperature and compactability and record the results.
- t Initiate the settling of the sand in the flask by pressing the PRE-JOLT push button. Allow this cycle to stop before proceeding.
- u Remove the upset and set it aside.

**WARNING**

**Failure to stand clear of the molding table and flasks in the following operations could result in serious injury as this equipment is about to move up and down with great force.**

**WARNING**

**Stand clear of the entire mold machine during the following operations.**

**Several of the machine parts will be moving.**

**Failure to stand clear could result in severe injury even death.**

- v Using both hands initiate the automatic machine sequence by simultaneously pressing, holding for 2-3 seconds, and releasing the green push buttons on either side of the operators panel. The machine will squeeze and jolt the sand in the flask and then move the squeeze head to the side.

**WARNING**

**Do no re-approach the machine until the squeeze head has stopped at the side of the machine.**

- w Screeed the bottom of the drag mold flat to the bottom of the flask if required.
- x Press and release the LOWER DRAW/STOP push button to separate the flask and mold from the pattern.
- y Use the overhead crane to lift the mold half and remove it from the machine. If the mold half is a drag, roll it parting line side up, set it on the floor, blow it out.
- z Finally, press and release the DRAW DOWN pushbutton to cause the draw frame to return to the start position.
- aa Set four (4) step cores that have been weighed and logged into the drag. Verify that the cores are fully set and flush with the parting line and insert foam filter into its receiver.
- bb Close the cope over the drag being careful not to crush anything.
- cc Clamp the flask halves together.
- dd Weigh and record the weight of the closed un-poured mold, the pre-weighed flask, the uncoated cores, and the sand weight by difference.
- ee Measure and record the sand temperature.
- ff Deliver the mold to the previously cleaned shakeout to be poured.
- gg Cover the mold with the emission hood.

**G Emission hood:**

- 1 Loading.
- 2 Hoist the mold onto the shakeout deck within the emission hood.
- 3 Close, seal, and lock the emission hood.
- 4 Adjust the ambient air heater control so that the measured temperature of the blended air within the hood is 85-90°F at the start of the test run.

**H Shakeout.**

- 1 After the 45 minute cooling time prescribed in the emission sample plan has elapsed turn on the shakeout unit and run for it the 15 minutes prescribed in the emission sample plan or until the sand has all fallen through the grating.
- 2 Turn off the shakeout.
- 3 Sample the emissions for 30 minutes after the start of shakeout, a total of 75 minutes.
  - a When the emission sampling is completed remove the flask, with casting, and recover the sand from the hopper and surrounding floor.
- 4 Weigh and record the metal poured and the total sand weight recovered and rejoined with the left over mold sand from the molding hopper, spilled molding sand, and sand loosely adhered to the casting.

5 Add sufficient unused premixed sand to the recycled sand to return the sand heap to 900 +/- 10 pounds.

I Melting:

1 Initial charge:

- a Use the 75 KW Ajax induction furnace
- b Charge the furnace with A-356/357 aluminum sows.
- c No other alloys need to be added for emission testing purposes.
- d Bring the furnace contents to the point of beginning to melt over a period of 1 hour at reduced power.
- e Add the balance of A-356/357 aluminum sows under full power until all is melted and the temperature has reached 1250-1300°F.
- f Slag the furnace and cover it.
- g Hold the furnace at 1250-1300°F until near ready to tap.
- h When ready to tap adjust the temperature to 1400-1425°F and slag the furnace.
- i Record all metallic additions to the furnace, tap temperature, and pour temperature.  
Record all furnace activities with the associated time.

2 Back charging.

- a Back charging may be necessary because of the pour weight of about 40 pounds. If additional aluminum is desired back charge with A-356/357 Aluminum sows or scrap aluminum of the same source.
- b Follow the above steps beginning with F.1.e

3 Emptying the furnace.

- a Pig the extra metal into steel sow molds away from the test hood.
- b You need not wait for emission testing to be concluded to pig the metal.

J Pouring:

- 1 Heat the metal to 1400 +/- 20°F.
- 2 Tap 180 pounds, more or less, of Aluminum into the ladle.
- 3 Cover the ladle to conserve heat.
- 4 Move the ladle to the pour position, open the emission hood pour door and wait until the metal temperature reaches 1270 +/- 10 °F.
- 5 Commence pouring keeping the sprue full.
- 6 Upon completion close the hood door, return the extra metal to the furnace, and cover the ladle.

K Rank order evaluation.

- 1 The supervisor shall select a group of up to five persons to make a collective subjective judgment of the casting relative surface appearance.
- 2 The rank order evaluation for cored castings shall be done on castings from the Engineering/

conditioning runs HKER1-3.

- 3 Review the general appearance of the interior of the castings and select specific casting features to compare.
- 4 For each cavity 1-4 :
  - a Place each casting initially in sequential mold number and cavity number order.
  - b Beginning with the casting from mold HKER1 cavity 1, compare it to castings from mold HKER1 cavity 2.
  - c Place the better appearing casting in the first position and the lesser appearing casting in the second position.
  - d Repeat this procedure with HKER1 cavity 1 to its nearest neighbors until all castings closer to the beginning of the line are better appearing than HKER1 cavity 1 and the next casting farther down the line is inferior.
  - e Repeat this comparison to next neighbors for each casting number.
  - f When all casting numbers have been compared go to the beginning of the line and begin again comparing each casting to its nearest neighbor. Move the castings so that each casting is inferior to the next one closer to the beginning of the line and superior to the one next toward the tail of the line.
  - g Repeat this comparison until all concur with the ranking order.
- 5 Record mold number by rank-order series for this cavity.
- 6 Compare the castings to the best, median, and worst rated castings for test DN.
- 7 Repeat for both the binder sets 74522/75869 and 76210/76211

Thomas J Fennell Jr.  
Process Engineer

**APPENDIX B      DETAILED EMISSION RESULTS AND QUANTITATION LIMITS**

*this page intentionally left blank*

Test HK<sup>a</sup> Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Tn Metal - Runs 1 through 6 (Sigma Cure® 7227/7707)

	$\frac{\text{E}}{\text{A}}$	$\frac{\text{E}}{\text{H}}$	$\frac{\Delta \text{E}}{\text{H}}$	Test Dates	HK001 30-Aug-06	HK002 30-Aug-06	HK003 30-Aug-06	HK004 31-Aug-06	HK005 31-Aug-06	HK006 31-Aug-06	Average	Standard Deviation
<b>Emission Indicators</b>												
				TGOC as Propane	1.32E+00	1.35E+00	1.38E+00	1.32E+00	1.29E+00	1.23E+00	1.32E+00	4.93E-02
				HC as n-Hexane	6.81E-01	7.93E-01	8.44E-01	7.30E-01	6.99E-01	6.32E-01	7.30E-01	7.74E-02
				Sum of Target Analytes	2.74E-01	2.99E-01	3.38E-01	3.77E-01	3.50E-01	2.86E-01	3.24E-01	4.06E-02
				Sum of Target HAPs	1.62E-01	2.07E-01	2.26E-01	2.70E-01	2.61E-01	2.12E-01	2.26E-01	3.93E-02
				Sum of Target POMs	5.35E-02	4.64E-02	5.34E-02	4.95E-02	4.18E-02	3.50E-02	4.66E-02	7.20E-03
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>												
TA	H	Phenol		4.49E-02	6.66E-02	7.69E-02	1.16E-01	1.09E-01	8.56E-02	8.31E-02	2.64E-02	
TA	P	Naphthalene		4.59E-02	3.99E-02	4.66E-02	4.29E-02	3.66E-02	3.05E-02	4.04E-02	6.10E-03	
TA	H	Cresol, o-		2.27E-02	2.88E-02	3.49E-02	3.92E-02	4.07E-02	3.41E-02	3.34E-02	6.69E-03	
TA	H	Aniline		-	2.07E-02	2.27E-02	1.91E-02	2.02E-02	2.02E-02	2.06E-02	1.30E-03	
TA	H	Acetaldehyde		1.35E-02	1.30E-02	1.34E-02	1.55E-02	1.37E-02	1.45E-02	1.39E-02	9.33E-04	
TA	H	Benzene		1.02E-02	8.48E-03	5.69E-03	6.90E-03	6.65E-03	4.63E-03	7.09E-03	1.99E-03	
TA	H	Toluene		5.53E-03	5.32E-03	5.13E-03	6.15E-03	5.66E-03	3.80E-03	5.26E-03	7.97E-04	
TA	H	Xylene, mp-		3.60E-03	4.06E-03	3.93E-03	4.60E-03	4.58E-03	3.43E-03	4.03E-03	4.86E-04	
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 2-		4.82E-03	3.92E-03	3.81E-03	3.90E-03	3.22E-03	2.84E-03	3.75E-03	6.78E-04	
TA	H	Hexane		1.01E-03	6.62E-03	8.19E-04	3.67E-03	7.13E-03	1.58E-03	3.47E-03	2.83E-03	
TA	H	Cresol, mp-		≤PQL	2.27E-03	2.85E-03	≤PQL	3.88E-03	3.29E-03	2.47E-03	1.07E-03	
TA	H	Xylene, o-		1.59E-03	1.68E-03	1.58E-03	2.09E-03	1.98E-03	1.50E-03	1.74E-03	2.41E-04	
TA	H	Biphenyl		≤PQL	≤PQL	1.85E-03	2.56E-03	2.07E-03	≤PQL	1.71E-03	5.43E-04	
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 1-		1.84E-03	1.66E-03	1.87E-03	1.98E-03	1.52E-03	1.35E-03	1.70E-03	2.39E-04	
TA	H	Formaldehyde		1.28E-03	9.31E-04	1.29E-03	1.47E-03	1.21E-03	1.45E-03	1.27E-03	1.97E-04	
TA	H	Ethylbenzene		6.36E-04	6.10E-04	6.41E-04	1.09E-03	1.04E-03	7.09E-04	7.88E-04	2.18E-04	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-		9.23E-04	9.38E-04	1.08E-03	7.43E-04	3.96E-04	≤PQL	7.21E-04	3.29E-04	
TA	H	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)		4.61E-04	4.98E-04	5.26E-04	6.09E-04	5.40E-04	6.25E-04	5.43E-04	6.32E-05	
TA	H	Styrene		5.61E-04	≤PQL	≤PQL	4.87E-04	8.17E-04	≤PQL	4.37E-04	2.30E-04	
TA	P	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Acenaphthalene		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	H	Acrolein		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	H	Triethylamine		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	H	Dimethylaniline		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Tn Metal - Runs 1 through 6 (Sigma Cure® 7222/7707)**

L %	D %	T %	Test Dates	HK001			HK002			HK003			HK004			HK005			HK006			Average			Standard Deviation		
				30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	31-Aug-06	—	—	—	—														
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>																											
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-			3.82E-02	3.38E-02	3.61E-02	3.08E-02	2.58E-02	2.06E-02	2.06E-02	2.06E-02	2.06E-02	2.06E-02														
TA	Dodecane			2.70E-02	1.54E-02	2.88E-02	2.46E-02	1.96E-02	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.79E-02														
TA	Ethyltoluene, 3-			1.17E-02	1.05E-02	1.12E-02	1.12E-02	1.12E-02	9.44E-03	9.44E-03	9.44E-03	9.44E-03															
TA	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-			9.13E-03	7.99E-03	8.93E-03	7.95E-03	7.95E-03	7.95E-03	7.95E-03																	
TA	Ethyltoluene, 2-			8.34E-03	7.33E-03	7.97E-03	7.27E-03	7.27E-03	7.56E-03	7.56E-03	7.56E-03	7.56E-03															
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-			4.18E-03	3.87E-03	4.68E-03	4.31E-03	4.31E-03	4.31E-03	4.31E-03																	
TA	Undecane			4.07E-03	3.73E-03	4.20E-03	3.26E-03	3.26E-03	3.26E-03	3.26E-03																	
TA	Octane			2.60E-03	2.03E-03	2.69E-03	1.78E-03	1.78E-03	1.80E-03	1.80E-03	1.80E-03	1.80E-03															
TA	Propylbenzene, n-			2.97E-03	2.91E-03	3.11E-03	3.20E-03	3.20E-03	3.51E-03	3.51E-03	3.51E-03	3.51E-03															
TA	Tetradecane			2.20E-03	2.03E-03	2.69E-03	2.69E-03	2.69E-03	2.69E-03																		
TA	2-Butanone (MEK)			1.57E-03	1.68E-03	1.73E-03	1.73E-03	1.73E-03	1.73E-03																		
TA	Benzaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Butyraldehyde/Méthacroleïn			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Crotonaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Cyclohexane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Decane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Heptane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Hexaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Indan			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Nonane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	o,m,p-Toluialdehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-			—	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																			
TA	Indene			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
<b>Selected Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>																											
	Carbon Monoxide			2.33E+00	2.51E+00	2.40E+00	2.51E+00	2.51E+00	2.53E+00	2.53E+00	2.53E+00	2.53E+00															
	Carbon Dioxide			≤PQL	1.35E+00	1.38E+00	1.38E+00	1.38E+00	1.38E+00																		
	Methane			1.54E-01	1.50E-01	1.38E-01	2.62E-01	2.62E-01	2.03E-01	2.03E-01	2.03E-01	2.03E-01															
	Nitrogen Oxides			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				
	Sulfur Dioxide			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL																				

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Tn Metal - Runs 7 through 9 (Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869)**

TA	PO <sub>M</sub>	HA <sub>p</sub>	Test Dates	HK020 6-Sep-06	HK021 6-Sep-06	HK022 6-Sep-06	Average	Standard Deviation
<b>Emission Indicators</b>								
			TGOC as Propane	1.24E+00	1.21E+00	1.35E+00	1.27E+00	7.47E-02
			HC as n-Hexane	5.08E-01	5.27E-01	5.40E-01	5.25E-01	1.62E-02
			Sum of Target Analytes	4.77E-01	4.66E-01	5.68E-01	5.04E-01	5.63E-02
			Sum of Target HAPs	3.73E-01	3.67E-01	4.63E-01	4.01E-01	5.38E-02
			Sum of Target POMs	1.70E-01	1.75E-01	2.27E-01	1.90E-01	3.15E-02
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>								
TA	P	H	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	7.80E-02	8.14E-02	1.06E-01	8.84E-02	1.51E-02
TA	H	Phenol	7.57E-02	8.13E-02	1.06E-01	8.77E-02	1.62E-02	
TA	P	H	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	3.76E-02	3.91E-02	5.07E-02	4.25E-02	7.13E-03
TA	H	Biphenyl	3.12E-02	3.05E-02	3.66E-02	3.28E-02	3.35E-03	
TA	H	Cresol, o-	2.31E-02	2.35E-02	2.73E-02	2.46E-02	2.32E-03	
TA	H	Acetaldehyde	1.98E-02	1.89E-02	2.08E-02	1.98E-02	9.37E-04	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	1.37E-02	1.44E-02	1.95E-02	1.59E-02	3.19E-03
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	1.14E-02	1.19E-02	1.57E-02	1.30E-02	2.35E-03
TA	P	H	Naphthalene	1.10E-02	9.67E-03	1.14E-02	1.07E-02	8.99E-04
TA	H	Toluene	9.55E-03	8.75E-03	9.43E-03	9.24E-03	4.33E-04	
TA	H	Hexane	1.87E-02	2.86E-03	5.96E-03	9.16E-03	8.37E-03	
TA	H	Xylene, mp-	7.57E-03	8.32E-03	9.22E-03	8.37E-03	8.28E-04	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	6.49E-03	7.02E-03	8.90E-03	7.47E-03	1.27E-03
TA	H	Benzene	6.78E-03	6.81E-03	7.13E-03	6.91E-03	1.95E-04	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	5.72E-03	5.73E-03	7.48E-03	6.31E-03	1.01E-03
TA	H	Xylene, o-	4.22E-03	4.39E-03	4.80E-03	4.47E-03	3.00E-04	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	3.29E-03	3.26E-03	4.50E-03	3.68E-03	7.04E-04
TA	H	Cresol, mp-	2.73E-03	3.31E-03	3.36E-03	3.13E-03	3.52E-04	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	2.27E-03	2.26E-03	2.81E-03	2.45E-03	3.11E-04
TA	H	Ethylbenzene	1.82E-03	1.92E-03	2.26E-03	2.00E-03	2.31E-04	
TA	H	Formaldehyde	1.21E-03	1.36E-03	1.91E-03	1.50E-03	3.72E-04	
TA	H	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	6.32E-04	6.08E-04	8.48E-04	6.96E-04	1.32E-04	
TA	H	Styrene	4.04E-04	5PQL	8.82E-04	5.02E-04	3.42E-04	
TA	P	H	Acenaphthalene	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA
TA	P	H	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA
TA	H	Acrolein	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA	
TA	H	Aniline	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA	
TA	H	Dimethylaniline	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA	
TA	H	Triethylamine	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	5PQL	NA	

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Tn Metal - Runs 7 through 9 (Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869)**

TA	PO <sub>2</sub> d <sub>H</sub>	Test Dates	HK020 6-Sep-06	HK021 6-Sep-06	HK022 6-Sep-06	Average 6-Sep-06	Standard Deviation
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>							
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-		4.02E-02	3.79E-02	3.87E-02	3.89E-02	1.17E-03
TA	Ethyltoluene, 3-		1.88E-02	1.77E-02	1.70E-02	1.78E-02	9.08E-04
TA	Ethyltoluene, 2-		1.02E-02	9.47E-03	9.60E-03	9.76E-03	3.86E-04
TA	Dodecane		5.49E-03	5.13E-03	8.56E-03	6.39E-03	1.88E-03
TA	Propylbenzene, n-		5.67E-03	5.31E-03	5.98E-03	5.67E-03	2.93E-04
TA	Tetradecane		4.94E-03	4.73E-03	5.43E-03	5.03E-03	3.59E-04
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-		4.31E-03	4.15E-03	3.79E-03	4.09E-03	2.65E-04
TA	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-		3.94E-03	3.68E-03	4.12E-03	3.91E-03	2.18E-04
TA	Octane		2.31E-03	2.36E-03	3.00E-03	2.56E-03	3.82E-04
TA	Undecane		1.64E-03	1.70E-03	3.51E-03	2.28E-03	1.06E-03
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-		3.06E-03	2.68E-03	≤PQL	2.28E-03	1.04E-03
TA	Decane		2.00E-03	1.95E-03	1.99E-03	1.98E-03	2.47E-06
TA	2-Butanone (MEK)		1.11E-03	1.15E-03	1.63E-03	1.30E-03	2.86E-04
TA	Hexaldehyde		≤PQL	≤PQL	5.94E-04	3.58E-04	2.04E-04
TA	Benzaldehyde		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Crotonaldehyde		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Cyclohexane		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Heptane		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Indan		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Nonane		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	o,m,p-Toluinaldehyde		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Indene		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
<b>Selected Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>							
	Carbon Monoxide		2.68E+00	2.46E+00	2.53E+00	2.56E+00	1.18E-01
	Methane		2.29E-01	2.00E-01	2.05E-01	2.11E-01	1.55E-02
	Nitrogen Oxides		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
	Carbon Dioxide		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
	Sulfur Dioxide		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA

## Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Tn Metal - Runs 10 through 12 (Sigma Cure® EX76210/76211)

A	E	D	Test Dates	HK030	HK031	HK032	Average	Standard Deviation
	Po	H		7-Sep-06	7-Sep-06	7-Sep-06	-	-
<b>Emission Indicators</b>								
		TGOC as Propane		1.28E+00	1.40E+00	1.28E+00	1.32E+00	6.92E-02
		HC as n-Hexane		5.52E-01	6.52E-01	5.42E-01	5.82E-01	6.09E-02
		Sum of Target Analytes		4.51E-01	5.21E-01	5.35E-01	5.05E-01	4.65E-02
		Sum of Target HAPs		3.30E-01	3.91E-01	4.15E-01	3.81E-01	4.50E-02
		Sum of Target POMs		1.52E-01	1.69E-01	1.93E-01	1.71E-01	2.05E-02
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>								
TA	H	Phenol		7.47E-02	1.07E-01	1.02E-01	9.45E-02	1.73E-02
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 2-		6.76E-02	7.54E-02	8.43E-02	7.57E-02	8.34E-03
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 1-		3.13E-02	3.45E-02	3.85E-02	3.47E-02	3.60E-03
TA	H	Cresol, o-		2.16E-02	3.03E-02	3.08E-02	2.76E-02	5.17E-03
TA	H	Acetaldehyde		2.23E-02	2.24E-02	2.27E-02	2.25E-02	1.67E-04
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-		1.32E-02	1.46E-02	1.79E-02	1.52E-02	2.44E-03
TA	P	Naphthalene		1.24E-02	1.50E-02	1.48E-02	1.41E-02	1.45E-03
TA	H	Aniline		≤PQL	1.59E-02	1.50E-02	1.33E-02	3.77E-03
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-		1.09E-02	1.20E-02	1.49E-02	1.26E-02	2.07E-03
TA	H	Xylene, mp-		1.05E-02	1.14E-02	1.16E-02	1.12E-02	5.73E-04
TA	H	Toluene		1.06E-02	1.06E-02	9.77E-03	1.03E-02	4.69E-04
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-		6.22E-03	6.83E-03	8.34E-03	7.13E-03	1.09E-03
TA	H	Benzene		7.01E-03	7.35E-03	6.92E-03	7.09E-03	2.30E-04
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-		5.22E-03	5.74E-03	7.21E-03	6.06E-03	1.03E-03
TA	H	Xylene, o-		5.24E-03	5.66E-03	5.64E-03	5.51E-03	2.30E-04
TA	H	Biphenyl		5.40E-03	5.27E-03	5.71E-03	5.46E-03	2.23E-04
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-		3.11E-03	3.19E-03	4.10E-03	3.47E-03	5.47E-04
TA	H	Cresol, mp-		2.27E-03	3.76E-03	4.26E-03	3.43E-03	1.04E-03
TA	H	Hexane		4.20E-03	2.56E-03	2.55E-03	3.11E-03	9.49E-04
TA	H	Ethylbenzene		2.45E-03	2.74E-03	2.82E-03	2.67E-03	1.95E-04
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-		1.93E-03	2.15E-03	2.69E-03	2.26E-03	3.89E-04
TA	H	Formaldehyde		1.47E-03	1.91E-03	1.60E-03	1.66E-03	2.29E-04
TA	H	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)		6.75E-04	7.15E-04	7.78E-04	7.23E-04	5.20E-05
TA	H	Styrene		3.95E-04	4.27E-04	≤PQL	3.46E-04	1.14E-04
TA	P	Acenaphthalene		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	H	Acrolein		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	H	Dimethylaniline		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	H	Triethylamine		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Tn Metal - Runs 10 through 12 (Sigma Cure® EX76210/76211)**

PO #	PO #	Test Dates	HK030	HK031	HK032	Average	Standard Deviation
TA	TA	7-Sep-06	7-Sep-06	7-Sep-06	7-Sep-06	—	—
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>							
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	5.17E-02	5.72E-02	5.04E-02	5.31E-02	3.61E-03	
TA	Ethyltoluene, 3-	2.38E-02	2.49E-02	2.21E-02	2.36E-02	1.44E-03	
TA	Ethyltoluene, 2-	1.34E-02	1.41E-02	1.26E-02	1.34E-02	7.60E-04	
TA	Dodecane	8.60E-03	5.92E-03	6.07E-03	6.86E-03	1.51E-03	
TA	Propylbenzene, n-	6.72E-03	7.18E-03	6.30E-03	6.74E-03	4.41E-04	
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	4.11E-03	5.83E-03	6.78E-03	5.58E-03	1.36E-03	
TA	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	5.29E-03	5.96E-03	5.39E-03	5.55E-03	3.62E-04	
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	1.95E-03	2.62E-03	3.63E-03	2.73E-03	8.47E-04	
TA	Octane	2.54E-03	2.68E-03	2.62E-03	2.61E-03	7.34E-05	
TA	Undecane	1.56E-03	1.75E-03	1.69E-03	1.66E-03	9.74E-05	
TA	2-Butanone (MEK)	1.19E-03	1.42E-03	1.53E-03	1.38E-03	1.73E-04	
TA	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	SPQL	SPQL	7.29E-04	5.03E-04	1.95E-04	
TA	Hexaldehyde	SPQL	SPQL	3.81E-04	2.83E-04	8.46E-05	
TA	Benzaldehyde	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Crotonaldehyde	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Cyclohexane	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Decane	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Heptane	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Indan	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Nonane	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	o,m,p-Toluicaldehyde	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Tetradecane	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	Indene	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
<b>Selected Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>							
	Carbon Monoxide	2.60E+00	2.45E+00	2.47E+00	2.51E+00	8.12E-02	
	Methane	2.48E-01	2.16E-01	1.88E-01	2.17E-01	3.01E-02	
	Carbon Dioxide	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
	Nitrogen Oxides	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
	Sulfur Dioxide	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	

## Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Lb Binder - Runs 1 through 6 (Sigma Cure® 72277707)

TA	PO <sub>n</sub>	dE	Test Dates	HK001	HK002	HK003	HK004	HK005	HK006	Average	Standard Deviation
			30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	31-Aug-06	31-Aug-06	31-Aug-06	31-Aug-06	-	-
<b>Emission Indicators</b>											
			TGOC as Propane	8.85E-02	9.34E-02	9.87E-02	8.87E-02	8.97E-02	8.76E-02	9.11E-02	4.23E-03
			HC as n-Hexane	4.57E-02	5.49E-02	6.04E-02	4.90E-02	4.85E-02	4.48E-02	5.06E-02	5.99E-03
			Sum of Target Analytes	1.85E-02	2.08E-02	2.42E-02	2.54E-02	2.43E-02	2.04E-02	2.25E-02	2.74E-03
			Sum of Target HAPs	1.10E-02	1.44E-02	1.62E-02	1.82E-02	1.81E-02	1.51E-02	1.57E-02	2.68E-03
			Sum of Target POMs	3.59E-03	3.21E-03	3.82E-03	3.32E-03	2.90E-03	2.49E-03	3.22E-03	4.35E-04
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>											
TA	H	Phenol		3.01E-03	4.61E-03	5.50E-03	7.76E-03	7.55E-03	6.07E-03	5.75E-03	1.80E-03
TA	P	Naphthalene		2.76E-03	3.34E-03	2.88E-03	2.54E-03	2.17E-03	2.79E-03	4.10E-04	
TA	H	Cresol, o-		1.53E-03	1.99E-03	2.50E-03	2.63E-03	2.82E-03	2.42E-03	2.31E-03	4.75E-04
TA	H	Aniline		1	1.43E-03	1.62E-03	1.28E-03	1.40E-03	1.43E-03	1.43E-03	1.21E-04
TA	H	Acetaldehyde		9.06E-04	8.98E-04	9.63E-04	1.04E-03	9.50E-04	1.03E-03	9.65E-04	6.12E-05
TA	H	Benzene		6.84E-04	5.87E-04	4.08E-04	4.64E-04	4.61E-04	3.29E-04	4.89E-04	1.27E-04
TA	H	Toluene		3.71E-04	3.68E-04	3.67E-04	4.13E-04	3.93E-04	2.70E-04	3.64E-04	4.93E-05
TA	H	Xylene, mp-		2.42E-04	2.81E-04	2.82E-04	3.09E-04	3.18E-04	2.43E-04	2.79E-04	3.18E-05
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 2-		3.23E-04	2.71E-04	2.73E-04	2.62E-04	2.24E-04	2.02E-04	2.59E-04	4.25E-05
TA	H	Heptane		6.75E-05	4.58E-04	5.86E-05	2.46E-04	4.94E-04	1.12E-04	2.39E-04	1.96E-04
TA	H	Cresol, mp-		≤PQL	1.57E-04	2.04E-04	≤PQL	2.69E-04	2.33E-04	1.94E-04	5.03E-05
TA	H	Biphenyl		≤PQL		1.32E-04	1.72E-04	1.44E-04	≤PQL	1.49E-04	1.28E-05
TA	H	Xylene, o-		1.07E-04	1.16E-04	1.13E-04	1.41E-04	1.37E-04	1.07E-04	1.20E-04	1.51E-05
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 1-		1.24E-04	1.15E-04	1.34E-04	1.33E-04	1.05E-04	9.56E-05	1.18E-04	1.53E-05
TA	H	Formaldehyde		8.61E-05	6.45E-05	9.26E-05	9.88E-05	8.37E-05	1.03E-04	8.81E-05	1.37E-05
TA	H	Ethylbenzene		4.27E-05	4.22E-05	4.59E-05	7.30E-05	7.23E-05	5.03E-05	5.44E-05	1.44E-05
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-		6.19E-05	6.49E-05	7.71E-05	4.99E-05	2.74E-05	≤PQL	5.19E-05	2.00E-05
TA	H	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)		3.09E-05	3.45E-05	3.77E-05	4.08E-05	3.75E-05	4.43E-05	3.76E-05	4.69E-06
TA	H	Styrene		3.76E-05	≤PQL	≤PQL	3.21E-05	5.67E-05	≤PQL	3.61E-05	1.05E-05
TA	P	Acenaphthalene		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	P	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	H	Acrolein		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	H	Dimethylaniline		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	H	Triethylamine		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA

## Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Lb Binder - Runs 1 through 6 (Sigma Cure® 7227/7707)

L %	D %	H %	Test Dates	HK001		HK002		HK003		HK004		HK005		HK006		Average	Standard Deviation
				30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	30-Aug-06	31-Aug-06									
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>																	
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.56E-03	2.34E-03	2.59E-03	2.07E-03	1.79E-03	1.46E-03	2.14E-03	1.48E-04	1.65E-03	1.36E-03	1.27E-03	1.54E-03	1.54E-03	3.71E-04		
TA	Dodecane	1.81E-03	1.07E-03	2.06E-03	8.00E-04	7.53E-04	6.54E-04	5.22E-04	7.07E-04	6.40E-04	6.34E-04	5.51E-04	4.37E-04	5.71E-04	1.05E-04		
TA	Ethyltoluene, 3-	7.87E-04	7.26E-04	8.00E-04	6.40E-04	6.34E-04	6.34E-04	5.51E-04	5.71E-04	5.17E-04	4.88E-04	4.33E-04	3.54E-04	4.86E-04	7.62E-05		
TA	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	6.13E-04	5.53E-04	6.40E-04	6.40E-04	6.34E-04	6.34E-04	5.51E-04	5.71E-04	5.17E-04	4.88E-04	4.18E-04	3.54E-04	3.61E-04	8.16E-05		
TA	Ethyltoluene, 2-	5.60E-04	5.08E-04	5.71E-04	5.08E-04	5.35E-04	5.08E-04	4.18E-04	4.18E-04	4.25E-04	2.89E-04	2.52E-04	2.13E-04	2.65E-04	9.02E-05		
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	2.81E-04	2.68E-04	2.68E-04	3.08E-04	3.08E-04	2.89E-04	2.89E-04	2.89E-04	2.45E-04	2.62E-04	2.09E-04	2.10E-04	3.30E-05			
TA	Undecane	2.73E-04	2.58E-04	2.58E-04	2.04E-04	2.10E-04	3.30E-05										
TA	Octane	1.75E-04	1.63E-04	1.63E-04	2.20E-04	2.20E-04	2.20E-04	2.20E-04	2.20E-04	2.20E-04	2.15E-04	1.84E-04	1.84E-04	1.95E-04	2.10E-04		
TA	Propylbenzene, n-	1.99E-04	2.02E-04	1.92E-04	1.40E-04	1.92E-04	2.36E-04	1.91E-04	1.91E-04	1.27E-04	1.21E-04	1.20E-04	1.37E-04	1.21E-04	1.21E-04		
TA	Tetradecane	1.47E-04	1.40E-04	1.40E-04	1.05E-04	1.17E-04	1.27E-04	1.06E-05									
TA	2-Butanone (MEK)	1.05E-04	1.05E-04	1.05E-04	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA										
TA	Benzaldehyde	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Butyraldehyde/Méthacroleïn	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Crotonaldehyde	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Cyclohexane	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Decane	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Heptane	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Hexaldehyde	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Indan	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Nonane	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	o,m,p-Toluialdehyde	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	—	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Indene	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
<b>Selected Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>																	
	Carbon Monoxide	1.57E-01	1.74E-01	1.73E-01	1.68E-01	1.73E-01	1.68E-01	1.72E-01	1.72E-01	6.95E-03							
	Carbon Dioxide	≤PQL	1.64E-01	≤PQL	≤PQL	5.91E-02											
	Methane	1.04E-02	1.04E-02	9.88E-03	1.76E-02	1.41E-02	1.76E-02	1.41E-02	1.27E-02	1.27E-02	1.27E-02	1.27E-02	1.27E-02	1.27E-02	1.27E-02	2.98E-03	
	Nitrogen Oxides	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
	Sulfur Dioxide	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Lb Binder - Runs 7 through 9 (Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869)**

TA	P	$\frac{\text{PO}}{\text{H}}$	$\frac{\text{d}}{\text{H}}$	Test Dates	HK020 6-Sep-06	HK021 6-Sep-06	HK022 6-Sep-06	Average	Standard Deviation
<b>Emission Indicators</b>									
				TGOC as Propane	8.41E-02	8.86E-02	9.14E-02	8.80E-02	3.69E-03
				HC as n-Hexane	3.44E-02	3.85E-02	3.64E-02	3.64E-02	2.03E-03
				Sum of Target Analytes	3.23E-02	3.40E-02	3.83E-02	3.49E-02	3.10E-03
				Sum of Target HAPs	2.53E-02	2.68E-02	3.12E-02	2.78E-02	3.07E-03
				Sum of Target POMs	1.45E-02	1.28E-02	1.53E-02	1.32E-02	1.92E-03
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>									
TA	P	H	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	5.29E-03	5.95E-03	7.12E-03	6.12E-03	9.28E-04	
TA	H	Phenol		5.13E-03	5.94E-03	7.15E-03	6.07E-03	1.02E-03	
TA	P	H	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.55E-03	2.86E-03	3.41E-03	2.94E-03	4.37E-04	
TA	H	Biphenyl		2.11E-03	2.23E-03	2.47E-03	2.27E-03	1.81E-04	
TA	H	Cresol, o-		1.57E-03	1.71E-03	1.84E-03	1.71E-03	1.37E-04	
TA	H	Acetaldehyde		1.34E-03	1.38E-03	1.40E-03	1.37E-03	3.12E-05	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	9.30E-04	1.05E-03	1.32E-03	1.10E-03	1.98E-04	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	7.69E-04	8.66E-04	1.05E-03	8.97E-04	1.45E-04	
TA	P	H	Naphthalene	7.48E-04	7.06E-04	7.66E-04	7.40E-04	3.05E-05	
TA	H	Toluene		6.47E-04	6.39E-04	6.35E-04	6.40E-04	6.02E-06	
TA	H	Hexane		1.26E-03	2.09E-04	4.01E-04	6.25E-04	5.62E-04	
TA	H	Xylene, mp-		5.13E-04	6.08E-04	6.21E-04	5.81E-04	5.91E-05	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	4.40E-04	5.13E-04	6.00E-04	5.17E-04	8.00E-05	
TA	H	Benzene		4.60E-04	4.98E-04	4.81E-04	4.79E-04	1.90E-05	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	3.88E-04	4.19E-04	5.04E-04	4.37E-04	5.98E-05	
TA	H	Xylene, o-		2.86E-04	3.21E-04	3.23E-04	3.10E-04	2.11E-05	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	2.23E-04	2.38E-04	3.03E-04	2.55E-04	4.24E-05	
TA	H	Cresol, mp-		1.85E-04	2.42E-04	2.26E-04	2.18E-04	2.95E-05	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	1.54E-04	1.65E-04	1.89E-04	1.70E-04	1.79E-05	
TA	H	Ethylbenzene		1.23E-04	1.40E-04	1.52E-04	1.38E-04	1.46E-05	
TA	H	Formaldehyde		8.18E-05	9.97E-05	1.29E-04	1.03E-04	2.38E-05	
TA	H	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)		4.29E-05	4.44E-05	5.72E-05	4.81E-05	7.86E-06	
TA	H	Styrene		2.74E-05	SPQL	5.94E-05	3.76E-05	1.89E-05	
TA	P	H	Acenaphthalene	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	P	H	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	P	H	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	H	Acrolein		SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	H	Aniline		SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	H	Dimethylaniline		SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	
TA	H	Triethylamine		SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	SPQL	NA	

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Lb Binder - Runs 7 through 9 (Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869)**

TA	PO <sub>x</sub>	$\Sigma$	Test Dates	HK020 6-Sep-06	HK021 6-Sep-06	HK022 6-Sep-06	Average	Standard Deviation
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>								
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-			2.72E-03	2.77E-03	2.61E-03	2.70E-03	8.21E-05
TA	Ethyltoluene, 3-			1.27E-03	1.29E-03	1.14E-03	1.23E-03	8.00E-05
TA	Ethyltoluene, 2-			6.91E-04	6.92E-04	6.47E-04	6.77E-04	2.57E-05
TA	Dodecane			3.72E-04	3.75E-04	5.77E-04	4.41E-04	1.17E-04
TA	Propylbenzene, n-			3.84E-04	3.92E-04	4.01E-04	3.93E-04	8.71E-06
TA	Tetradecane			3.35E-04	3.45E-04	3.66E-04	3.49E-04	1.57E-05
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-			2.92E-04	3.03E-04	2.56E-04	2.84E-04	2.49E-05
TA	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-			2.67E-04	2.69E-04	2.77E-04	2.71E-04	5.47E-06
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-			2.07E-04	1.96E-04	≤PQL	1.78E-04	4.17E-05
TA	Octane			1.57E-04	1.73E-04	2.02E-04	1.77E-04	2.29E-05
TA	Undecane			1.11E-04	1.24E-04	2.36E-04	1.57E-04	6.89E-05
TA	Decane			1.36E-04	1.43E-04	1.34E-04	1.37E-04	4.57E-06
TA	2-Butanone (MEK)			7.50E-05	8.44E-05	1.10E-04	8.96E-05	1.78E-05
TA	Hexaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	4.00E-05	3.22E-05	6.76E-06
TA	Benzaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Crotonaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Cyclohexane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Heptane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Indan			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Nonane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	o,m,p-Toluicldhyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
TA	Indene			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
<b>Selected Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>								
	Carbon Monoxide			1.82E-01	1.79E-01	1.71E-01	1.77E-01	5.65E-03
	Methane			1.55E-02	1.46E-02	1.38E-02	1.47E-02	8.51E-04
	Nitrogen Oxides			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
	Carbon Dioxide			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA
	Sulfur Dioxide			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Lb Binder - Runs 10 through 12 (Sigma Cure® EX6210/76211)**

¶	¶	¶	Test Dates	HK030 7-Sep-06	HK031 7-Sep-06	HK032 7-Sep-06	Average	Standard Deviation
<b>Emission Indicators</b>								
			TGOC as Propane	8.84E-02	9.99E-02	9.68E-02	9.50E-02	5.92E-03
			HC as n-Hexane	4.07E-02	4.65E-02	4.14E-02	4.29E-02	3.20E-03
			Sum of Target Analytes	3.37E-02	3.77E-02	4.08E-02	3.74E-02	3.58E-03
			Sum of Target HAPs	2.47E-02	2.83E-02	3.17E-02	2.82E-02	3.48E-03
			Sum of Target POMs	1.12E-02	1.21E-02	1.47E-02	1.27E-02	1.82E-03
<b>Selected Target HAPs and POMs</b>								
TA	H	Phenol		5.51E-03	7.63E-03	7.78E-03	6.97E-03	1.27E-03
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	4.98E-03	5.38E-03	6.43E-03	5.60E-03	7.46E-04	
TA	P	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.31E-03	2.46E-03	2.93E-03	2.57E-03	3.27E-04	
TA	H	Cresol, o-	1.59E-03	2.16E-03	2.35E-03	2.04E-03	3.94E-04	
TA	H	Acetaldehyde	1.65E-03	1.60E-03	1.73E-03	1.66E-03	6.55E-05	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	9.70E-04	1.04E-03	1.37E-03	1.13E-03	2.11E-04	
TA	H	Aniline		≤PQL	1.13E-03	1.14E-03	1.12E-03	4.17E-05
TA	P	Naphthalene	9.13E-04	1.07E-03	1.13E-03	1.04E-03	1.11E-04	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	8.03E-04	8.58E-04	1.14E-03	9.32E-04	1.79E-04	
TA	H	Xylenes, mp-	7.78E-04	8.15E-04	8.87E-04	8.26E-04	5.55E-05	
TA	H	Toluene	7.80E-04	7.55E-04	7.45E-04	7.60E-04	1.82E-05	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	4.59E-04	4.88E-04	6.36E-04	5.28E-04	9.53E-05	
TA	H	Benzene	5.17E-04	5.25E-04	5.28E-04	5.23E-04	5.61E-06	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	3.86E-04	4.10E-04	5.50E-04	4.48E-04	8.92E-05	
TA	H	Xylenes, o-	3.86E-04	4.04E-04	4.30E-04	4.07E-04	2.21E-05	
TA	H	Biphenyl	3.98E-04	3.76E-04	4.35E-04	4.03E-04	2.99E-05	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	2.29E-04	2.28E-04	3.12E-04	2.57E-04	4.84E-05	
TA	H	Cresol, mp-	1.67E-04	2.68E-04	3.25E-04	2.54E-04	7.99E-05	
TA	H	Hexane	3.10E-04	1.83E-04	1.95E-04	2.29E-04	7.01E-05	
TA	H	Ethylbenzene	1.81E-04	1.96E-04	2.15E-04	1.97E-04	1.73E-05	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	1.42E-04	1.53E-04	2.05E-04	1.67E-04	3.34E-05	
TA	P	Acenaphthalene		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	P	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	H	Acrolein		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	H	Dimethylaniline		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	H	Triethylamine		≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	

**Test HK - Detailed Emission Results - Lb/Lb Binder - Runs 10 through 12 (Sigma Cure® EX76210/76211)**

TA	No	d	H	Test Dates	HK030 7-Sep-06	HK031 7-Sep-06	HK032 7-Sep-06	Average	Standard Deviation
<b>Additional Selected Target Analytes</b>									
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-			3.81E-03	4.08E-03	3.85E-03	3.91E-03	1.48E-04	
TA	Ethyltoluene, 3-			1.75E-03	1.78E-03	1.68E-03	1.74E-03	4.99E-05	
TA	Ethyltoluene, 2-			9.90E-04	1.01E-03	9.58E-04	9.85E-04	2.40E-05	
TA	Dodecane			6.34E-04	4.22E-04	4.63E-04	5.06E-04	1.12E-04	
TA	Propylbenzene, n-			4.96E-04	5.13E-04	4.81E-04	4.96E-04	1.60E-05	
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-			3.03E-04	4.17E-04	5.17E-04	4.13E-04	1.07E-04	
TA	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-			3.90E-04	4.26E-04	4.11E-04	4.09E-04	1.78E-05	
TA	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-			1.44E-04	1.87E-04	2.77E-04	2.03E-04	6.80E-05	
TA	Octane			1.87E-04	1.92E-04	2.00E-04	1.93E-04	6.45E-06	
TA	Undecane			1.15E-04	1.25E-04	1.29E-04	1.23E-04	7.28E-06	
TA	2-Butanone (MEK)			8.78E-05	1.01E-04	1.17E-04	1.02E-04	1.45E-05	
TA	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein			≤PQL	≤PQL	5.56E-05	4.95E-05	5.27E-06	
TA	Hexaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	2.90E-05	2.83E-05	6.69E-07	
TA	Benzaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Crotonaldehyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Cyclohexane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Decane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Heptane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Indan			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Nonane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	o,m,p-Toluicidhyde			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Tetradecane			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
TA	Indene			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
<b>Selected Criteria Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases</b>									
	Carbon Monoxide			1.80E-01	1.75E-01	1.87E-01	1.87E-01	5.77E-03	
	Methane			1.71E-02	1.55E-02	1.42E-02	1.56E-02	1.48E-03	
	Carbon Dioxide			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
	Nitrogen Oxides			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	
	Sulfur Dioxide			≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	≤PQL	NA	

## Practical Reporting Limit -Test HK- Runs 1 through 6 (7277/7707 Binder System)

Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Binder	
Carbon Monoxide	1.59E-01	Ethylbenzene	2.52E-04	Carbon Monoxide	1.10E-02	Ethylbenzene	2.99E-05	Methane	6.27E-03	Ethyltoluene, 2-	2.99E-05		
Methane	9.06E-02	Ethyltoluene, 2-	2.52E-04	Methane	1.26E-03	Carbon Dioxide	1.73E-02	Ethyltoluene, 3-	1.49E-04				
Carbon Dioxide	2.49E-01	Ethyltoluene, 3-	1.70E-01	Formaldehyde	2.33E-04	Nitrogen Oxides	1.18E-02	Formaldehyde	2.76E-05	THC as Propane	1.73E-02	Heptane	1.49E-04
Nitrogen Oxides		Formaldehyde		Heptane	1.26E-03	THC as Propane				Aenaphthalene	1.49E-04	Hexaldehyde	2.76E-05
THC as Propane	2.49E-01	Heptane	2.33E-04	Hexaldehyde	2.33E-04	Aenaphthalene	1.49E-04	Hexaldehyde					
2-Butanone (MEK)													
Acenaphthalene	1.26E-03	Hexane	2.52E-04	Acetaldehyde	2.76E-05	Hexane	2.99E-05						
Acetaldehyde	2.33E-04	Indan	1.26E-03	Acrolein	2.76E-05	Indan	1.49E-04						
Acrolein		Indene	1.26E-03	Aniline	1.07E-03	Indene	1.49E-04						
Aniline	9.03E-03	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.52E-04	Benzaldehyde	2.76E-05	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.99E-05	Benzene	2.99E-05	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	2.99E-05		
Benzaldehyde	2.33E-04	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	2.52E-04	Crotonaldehyde	2.76E-05	Methylnaphthalene, 2-		Biphenyl	1.49E-04	Naphthalene	2.99E-05		
Benzene	2.52E-04	Naphthalene	2.52E-04	Cyclohexane	1.26E-03	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	4.60E-05	Nonane	1.49E-04	Nonane	1.49E-04		
Biphenyl		Nonane	3.89E-04	o,m,p-Toluicdehyde	6.22E-04	Cresol, mp-	1.49E-04	o,m,p-Toluicdehyde	7.36E-05	Cresol, o-	1.49E-04	Octane	1.49E-04
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein			1.26E-03	Octane	1.26E-03	Crotonaldehyde	2.33E-04	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	2.76E-05				
Cresol, mp-			1.26E-03	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)		Cyclohexane	1.26E-03	Phenol	1.49E-04	Phenol	1.49E-04		
Cresol, o-						Decane	2.33E-04	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	2.76E-05				
Crotonaldehyde			2.33E-04	Phenol	1.26E-03	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	1.49E-04	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)					
Cyclohexane	1.26E-03	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	2.33E-04	Decane	1.26E-03	Dimethylbenzene	1.87E-03	Propylbenzene, n-	1.49E-04	Propylbenzene, n-	1.49E-04		
Decane	1.26E-03	Propylbenzene, n-	2.52E-04	Styrene	1.26E-03	Dimethylbenzene	1.49E-04	Styrene	2.99E-05				
Diethylbenzene, 1,3-			4.63E-03	Sulfur Dioxide	4.63E-03	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	1.49E-04	Sulfur Dioxide	5.48E-04				
Dimethylbenzene	1.58E-02	Sulfur Dioxide	1.26E-03	Tetradecane	1.26E-03	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	2.99E-05	Tetradecane	1.49E-04				
Dimethylbenzene, 1,2-	1.26E-03	Tetradecane	2.52E-04	THCs as n-Hexane	2.20E-02	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	1.49E-04	THCs as n-Hexane	2.61E-03				
Dimethylbenzene, 1,3-	2.52E-04		1.26E-03	Toluene	2.52E-04	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	1.49E-04	Toluene	2.99E-05				
Dimethylbenzene, 1,5-						Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-	1.49E-04	Triethylamine	2.63E-04				
Dimethylbenzene, 1,6-			1.26E-03	Trimethylamine	2.22E-03	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	1.49E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	2.99E-05				
Dimethylbenzene, 1,8-			1.26E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	2.52E-04	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	1.49E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.99E-05				
Dimethylbenzene, 2,3-			1.26E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.52E-04	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-	1.49E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	2.99E-05				
Dimethylbenzene, 2,6-			1.26E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	2.52E-04	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	1.49E-04	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	1.49E-04				
Dimethylbenzene, 2,7-			1.26E-03	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-		Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	1.49E-04	Undecane	2.99E-05				
Dimethylphenol, 2,4-			1.26E-03	Undecane	2.52E-04	Dodecane	1.49E-04	Xylene, mp-	2.99E-05				
Dimethylphenol, 2,6-			1.26E-03	Xylene, mp-	2.52E-04			Xylene, o-	2.99E-05				
Dodecane	1.26E-03	Xylene, o-											

## Practical Reporting Limits - Test HK - Runs 7 through 9 (74522/75869)

Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/b Binder	Analyte	Ib/b Binder
Carbon Monoxide	1.60E-01	Ethylbenzene	2.21E-04	Carbon Monoxide	1.11E-02	Ethylbenzene	2.60E-05
Methane	9.15E-02	Ethyltoluene, 2-	2.21E-04	Methane	6.36E-03	Ethyltoluene, 2-	2.60E-05
Carbon Dioxide	2.52E-01	Ethyltoluene, 3-	1.10E-03	Carbon Dioxide	1.75E-02	Ethyltoluene, 3-	1.30E-04
Nitrogen Oxides	1.72E-01	Formaldehyde	2.40E-04	Nitrogen Oxides	1.19E-02	Formaldehyde	2.83E-05
THC as Propane	2.52E-01	Heptane	1.10E-03	THC as Propane	1.75E-02	Heptane	1.30E-04
2-Butanone (MEK)	2.40E-04	Hexaldehyde	2.40E-04	2-Butanone (MEK)	2.83E-05	Hexaldehyde	2.83E-05
Acenaphthalene	1.10E-03	Heptane	2.21E-04	Acenaphthalene	1.30E-04	Hexane	2.60E-05
Acetaldehyde	2.40E-04	Indan	1.10E-03	Acetaldehyde	2.83E-05	Indan	1.30E-04
Acrolein	2.40E-04	Indene	1.10E-03	Acrolein	2.83E-05	Indene	1.30E-04
Aniline	9.16E-03	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.21E-04	Aniline	1.08E-03	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.60E-05
Benzaldehyde	2.40E-04	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	2.21E-04	Benzaldehyde	2.83E-05	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	2.60E-05
Benzene	2.21E-04	Naphthalene	2.21E-04	Benzene	2.60E-05	Naphthalene	2.60E-05
Biphenyl	1.10E-03	Nonane	1.10E-03	Biphenyl	1.30E-04	Nonane	1.30E-04
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	4.00E-04	o,m,p-Toluicldhyde	6.40E-04	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	4.71E-05	o,m,p-Toluicldhyde	7.54E-05
Cresol, mp-	1.10E-03	Octane	1.10E-03	Cresol, mp-	1.30E-04	Octane	1.30E-04
Cresol, o-	1.10E-03	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	2.40E-04	Cresol, o-	1.30E-04	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	2.83E-05
Crotonaldehyde	2.40E-04	Phenol	1.10E-03	Crotonaldehyde	2.83E-05	Phenol	1.30E-04
Cyclohexane	1.10E-03	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	2.40E-04	Cyclohexane	1.30E-04	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	2.83E-05
Decane	1.10E-03	Propylbenzene, n-	1.10E-03	Decane	1.30E-04	Propylbenzene, n-	1.30E-04
Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	1.10E-03	Styrene	2.21E-04	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	1.30E-04	Styrene	2.60E-05
Dimethylaniline	1.60E-02	Sulfur Dioxide	4.72E-03	Dimethylaniline	1.89E-03	Sulfur Dioxide	5.57E-04
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	1.10E-03	Tetradecane	1.10E-03	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,2-	1.30E-04	Tetradecane	1.30E-04
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	2.21E-04	THCs as n-Hexane	2.19E-02	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,3-	2.60E-05	THCs as n-Hexane	2.58E-03
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	1.10E-03	Toluene	2.21E-04	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,5-	1.30E-04	Toluene	2.60E-05
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	1.10E-03	Triethylamine	2.28E-03	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,6-	1.30E-04	Triethylamine	2.68E-04
Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-	1.10E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	2.21E-04	Dimethylnaphthalene, 1,8-	1.30E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	2.60E-05
Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	1.10E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.21E-04	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,3-	1.30E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.60E-05
Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	1.10E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	2.21E-04	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-	1.30E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	2.60E-05
Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-	1.10E-03	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	1.10E-03	Dimethylnaphthalene, 2,7-	1.30E-04	Trimethylnaphthalene, 2,3,5-	1.30E-04
Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	1.10E-03	Undecane	2.21E-04	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	1.30E-04	Undecane	2.60E-05
Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	1.10E-03	Xylene, mp-	2.21E-04	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	1.30E-04	Xylene, mp-	2.60E-05
Dodecane	1.10E-03	Xylene, o-	2.21E-04	Dodecane	1.30E-04	Xylene, o-	2.60E-05

## Practical Reporting Limits - Test HK - Runs 10 through 12 (76210/76211)

Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/ton Metal	Analyte	Ib/lb Binder	Analyte	Ib/lb Binder
Carbon Monoxide	1.52E-01	Ethylbenzene	2.16E-04	Carbon Monoxide	1.11E-02	Ethylbenzene	2.55E-05
Methane	8.71E-02	Ethyltoluene, 2-	2.16E-04	Methane	6.36E-03	Ethyltoluene, 2-	2.55E-05
Carbon Dioxide	2.40E-01	Ethyltoluene, 3-	1.08E-03	Carbon Dioxide	1.75E-02	Ethyltoluene, 3-	1.29E-04
Nitrogen Oxides	1.63E-01	Formaldehyde	2.34E-04	Nitrogen Oxides	1.19E-02	Formaldehyde	2.79E-05
THC as Propane	2.40E-01	Heptane	1.08E-03	THC as Propane	1.75E-02	Heptane	1.29E-04
2-Butanone (MEK)	2.34E-04	Hexaldehyde	2.34E-04	2-Butanone (MEK)	2.83E-05	Hexaldehyde	2.79E-05
Acenaphthalene	1.08E-03	Hexane	2.16E-04	Acenaphthalene	1.30E-04	Hexane	2.55E-05
Acetaldehyde	2.34E-04	Indan	1.08E-03	Acetaldehyde	2.83E-05	Indan	1.29E-04
Acrolein	2.34E-04	Indene	1.08E-03	Acrolein	2.83E-05	Indene	1.29E-04
Aniline	8.97E-03	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.16E-04	Aniline	1.08E-03	Methylnaphthalene, 1-	2.55E-05
Benzaldehyde	2.34E-04	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	2.16E-04	Benzaldehyde	2.83E-05	Methylnaphthalene, 2-	2.55E-05
Benzene	2.16E-04	Naphthalene	2.16E-04	Benzene	2.60E-05	Naphthalene	2.55E-05
Biphenyl	1.08E-03	Nonane	1.08E-03	Biphenyl	1.30E-04	Nonane	1.29E-04
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	3.90E-04	o,m,p-Toluicldhyde	6.25E-04	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	4.71E-05	o,m,p-Toluicldhyde	7.44E-05
Cresol, mp-	1.08E-03	Octane	1.08E-03	Cresol, mp-	1.30E-04	Octane	1.29E-04
Cresol, o-	1.08E-03	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	2.34E-04	Cresol, o-	1.30E-04	Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	2.79E-05
Crotonaldehyde	2.34E-04	Phenol	1.08E-03	Crotonaldehyde	2.83E-05	Phenol	1.29E-04
Cyclohexane	1.08E-03	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	2.34E-04	Cyclohexane	1.30E-04	Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	2.79E-05
Decane	1.08E-03	Propylbenzene, n-	1.08E-03	Decane	1.30E-04	Propylbenzene, n-	1.29E-04
Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	1.08E-03	Styrene	2.16E-04	Diethylbenzene, 1,3-	1.30E-04	Styrene	2.55E-05
Dimethylbenzidine	1.57E-02	Sulfur Dioxide	4.61E-03	Dimethylaniline	1.89E-03	Sulfur Dioxide	5.49E-04
Dimethylbenzene, 1,2-	1.08E-03	Tetradecane	1.08E-03	Dimethylbenzene, 1,2-	1.30E-04	Tetradecane	1.29E-04
Dimethylbenzene, 1,3-	2.16E-04	THCs as n-Hexane	2.15E-02	Dimethylbenzene, 1,3-	2.60E-05	THCs as n-Hexane	2.56E-03
Dimethylbenzene, 1,5-	1.08E-03	Toluene	2.16E-04	Dimethylbenzene, 1,5-	1.30E-04	Toluene	2.55E-05
Dimethylbenzene, 1,6-	1.08E-03	Triethylamine	2.22E-03	Dimethylbenzene, 1,6-	1.30E-04	Triethylamine	2.64E-04
Dimethylbenzene, 1,8-	1.08E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	2.16E-04	Dimethylbenzene, 1,8-	1.30E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-	2.55E-05
Dimethylbenzene, 2,3-	1.08E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.16E-04	Dimethylbenzene, 2,3-	1.30E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	2.55E-05
Dimethylbenzene, 2,6-	1.08E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	2.16E-04	Dimethylbenzene, 2,6-	1.30E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	2.55E-05
Dimethylbenzene, 2,7-	1.08E-03	Trimethylbenzene, 2,3,5-	1.08E-03	Dimethylbenzene, 2,7-	1.30E-04	Trimethylbenzene, 2,3,5-	1.29E-04
Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	1.08E-03	Undecane	2.16E-04	Dimethylphenol, 2,4-	1.30E-04	Undecane	2.55E-05
Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	1.08E-03	Xylene, mp-	2.16E-04	Dimethylphenol, 2,6-	1.30E-04	Xylene, mp-	2.55E-05
Dodecane	1.08E-03	Xylene, o-	2.16E-04	Dodecane	1.30E-04	Xylene, o-	2.55E-05

*this page intentionally left blank*

**APPENDIX C**

**DETAILED PROCESS DATA AND CASTING QUALITY PHOTOS**

*this page intentionally left blank*

**Detailed Process Data - Test HK**

	HA International Sigma Cure® 7227/7707						
Test Dates	08/29/06	08/29/06	08/29/06	08/30/06	08/30/06	08/31/06	08/31/06
Emissions Sample #	HKCER1	HKCER2	HKCER3	HK001	HK003	HK004	HK005
Production Sample #	HK001	HK002	HK003	HK004	HK005	HK006	HK007
Cast weight, lbs.	44.20	42.45	43.30	42.40	43.90	45.10	42.70
Pouring time, sec.	ND	ND	13	17	13	12	15
Pouring temp., °F	ND	ND	1275	1280	1277	1279	1275
Pour hood process air temp at start of pour, °F	ND	ND	90	87	86	85	86
Mixer auto dispensed sand weight, lbs	50.10	50.10	50.10	50.10	50.10	50.10	50.10
Core binder weight part 1, g	124.9	125.4	125.4	126.1	124.6	125.2	125.0
Core binder weight part 2, g	125.4	125.6	125.2	125.3	125.2	125.8	125.2
Core binder weight, g	250.3	251.0	250.6	251.3	249.9	250.4	251.0
% core binder (BOS)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
% core binder, actual	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09
Total core weight in mold, lbs.	29.31	29.28	29.13	28.87	29.12	28.93	29.10
Total binder weight in mold, lbs.	0.319	0.320	0.318	0.316	0.317	0.315	0.318
Core LOI, %	ND	ND	ND	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
2 hour core dogbone tensile, psi	283.9	283.9	283.9	283.9	283.9	283.9	283.9
Core age when poured, hrs.	24	26	27	47	50	52	72
Muller batch weight, lbs.	1100	907	900	894	900	908	911
GS mold sand weight, lbs.	646	647	639	648	641	627	645
Mold temperature, °F	82	94	81	82	85	84	83
Average green compression , psi	16.49	18.39	18.48	18.69	18.55	19.41	19.35
GS compactability, %	46	43	40	41	41	42	35
GS moisture content, %	1.96	1.88	1.90	2.02	1.80	1.88	1.68
GS MB clay content, %	7.29	7.19	7.29	7.19	6.90	6.90	7.19
MB clay reagent, ml	38.0	37.5	38.0	37.5	36.0	36.0	37.5
1500°F LOI - mold sand, %	0.86	0.92	0.93	0.91	1.01	0.93	0.97
900°F volatiles, %	0.44	0.44	0.42	0.38	0.50	0.36	0.46
Permeability index	225	218	215	219	222	224	228
Sand temperature, °F	ND	83	82	83	86	87	83

Notes:

HK001/HK004 the pour temperature was taken in the aisle immediately after the pour.

2. Dogbones were made with the same binder content as the cores and mixed, blown, and tested on a different date from the core making

**Detailed Process Data - Test HK**

	HA International Sigma Cure® EX74522/EX75869				HA International Sigma Cure® EX76210/EX76211			
	09/06/06 HK020	09/06/06 HK021	09/06/06 HK022	Averages	09/07/06 HK030	09/07/06 HK031	09/07/06 HK032	Averages
<b>Production Sample #</b>	<b>HK010</b>	<b>HK011</b>	<b>HK012</b>		<b>HK013</b>	<b>HK014</b>	<b>HK015</b>	
Cast weight, lbs.	43.10	46.60	42.85	44.18	43.75	45.10	47.75	45.5
Pouring time, sec.	15	13	13	14	15	14	13	14.0
Pouring temp, °F	1276	1274	1274	1275	1279	1265	1274	1272.7
Pour hood process air temp at start of pour, °F	88	86	86	87	88	93	88	89.7
Mixer auto dispensed sand weight, lbs	50.07	50.07	50.07	50.07	50.07	50.07	50.07	50.1
Core binder weight part 1, g	125.5	125.1	125.0	125.2	125.3	125.1	126.0	125.5
Core binder weight part 2, g	125.3	125.7	125.3	125.4	124.6	124.8	124.0	124.5
Core binder weight, g	250.8	250.8	250.3	250.6	249.9	249.9	250.0	249.9
% core binder (BOS)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
% core binder, actual	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.1
Total core weight in mold, lbs.	29.11	29.19	29.11	29.14	29.04	28.99	28.95	29.0
Total binder weight in mold, lbs.	0.318	0.319	0.317	0.318	0.316	0.316	0.315	0.3
Core LOI, %	0.89	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.92	0.86	0.90	0.9
2 hour core dogbone tensile, psi	217.2	217.2	217.2	217.2	326.1	326.1	326.1	326.1
Core age when poured, hrs.	24	26	28	26	44	46	49	46.5
Muller batch weight, lbs.	901	900	900	900	904	900	900	901.3
GS mold sand weight, lbs.	644	614	645	634	614	609	629	617.0
Mold temperature, °F	83	87	88	86	87	84	86	85.5
Average green compression , psi	21.87	20.83	19.99	20.90	20.09	21.11	20.39	20.5
GS compactability, %	34	40	46	40	42	45	49	45.3
GS moisture content, %	1.72	1.82	1.88	1.81	0.92	0.93	1.00	1.0
GS MB clay content, %	6.90	7.19	7.09	7.06	7.29	7.29	7.09	7.2
MB clay reagent, ml	36.0	37.5	37.0	36.8	38.0	38.0	37.0	37.7
1500°F LOI - mold sand, %	0.95	0.92	0.93	0.9	0.92	0.93	1.00	1.0
900°F volatiles , %	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.30	0.40	0.38	0.4
Permeability index	230	237	239	235	233	240	238	237.0
Sand temperature, °F	88	89	88	88	84	85	84	84.3

Notes:

- HK001/HK004 the pour temperature was taken in the idle immediately after the pour.  
 2. Dogbones were made with the same binder content as the cores and mixed, blown, and tested on a different date from the core making

APRIL 2007


**Casting Quality Photos**

**Best**




**HK012-2**

**Median**



**HK012-3**

**Worst**



**HK011-1**

*this page intentionally left blank*

APRIL 2007

---


**APPENDIX D      CONTINUOUS EMISSION CHARTS**

*this page intentionally left blank*


APRIL 2007

---


HK001 TGOC




HK002 TGOC




HK003 TGOC




HK004 TGOC



HK005 TGOC



HK006 TGOC




TECHNIKON # 1413-112 HK


APRIL 2007

---


HK020 TGOC




HK021 TGOC




HK022 TGOC




HK030 TGOC



HK031 TGOC




HK032 TGOC



APRIL 2007

---




TECHNIKON # 1413-112 HK


APRIL 2007

---


HK020 CO




HK021 CO




HK022 CO




HK030 CO



HK031 CO









HK032 CO



APRIL 2007

---


**HK001 NOx****HK002 NOx****HK003 NOx****HK004 NOx****HK005 NOx****HK006 NOx**

TECHNIKON # 1413-112 HK


APRIL 2007

---


HK020 NOx




HK021 NOx




HK022 NOx




HK030 NOx



HK031 NOx









HK032 NOx



APRIL 2007

---


HK001 CO<sub>2</sub>HK002 CO<sub>2</sub>HK003 CO<sub>2</sub>HK004 CO<sub>2</sub>HK005 CO<sub>2</sub>HK006 CO<sub>2</sub>

TECHNIKON # 1413-112 HK


APRIL 2007

---


HK020 CO<sub>2</sub>




HK021 CO<sub>2</sub>




HK022 CO<sub>2</sub>




HK030 CO<sub>2</sub>




HK031 CO<sub>2</sub>



HK032 CO<sub>2</sub>









APRIL 2007



TECHNIKON # 1413-112 HK

APRIL 2007

---



**APPENDIX E      ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

*this page intentionally left blank*

## ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

<b>AFS</b>	American Foundry Society
<b>ARDEC</b>	(US) Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center
<b>BO</b>	Based on ( ).
<b>BOS</b>	Based on Sand.
<b>CAAA</b>	Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990
<b>CARB</b>	California Air Resources Board
<b>CERP</b>	Casting Emission Reduction Program
<b>CFR</b>	Code of Federal Regulations
<b>CH<sub>4</sub></b>	Methane
<b>CISA</b>	Casting Industry Suppliers Association
<b>CO</b>	Carbon Monoxide
<b>CO<sub>2</sub></b>	Carbon Dioxide
<b>CRADA</b>	Cooperative Research and Development Agreement
<b>DOD</b>	Department of Defense
<b>DOE</b>	Department of Energy
<b>EEF</b>	Established Emission Factors
<b>EPA</b>	Environmental Protection Agency
<b>ERC</b>	Environmental Research Consortium
<b>FID</b>	Flame Ionization Detector
<b>GS</b>	Greensand
<b>HAP</b>	Hazardous Air Pollutant defined by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment
<b>HC</b>	Hydrocarbon
<b>I</b>	Invalidated Data
<b>Lb/Lb</b>	Pound per Pound of Binder used
<b>Lb/Tn</b>	Pound per Ton of Metal poured
<b>LOI</b>	Loss on Ignition
<b>MB</b>	Methylene Blue
<b>NA</b>	Not Applicable; Not Available
<b>ND</b>	Non-Detect; Not detected below the practical quantitation limit
<b>NOx</b>	Oxides of Nitrogen
<b>NT</b>	Not Tested - Lab testing was not done
<b>PCS</b>	Pouring, Cooling, Shakeout

<b>POM</b>	Polycyclic Organic Matter
<b>QA/QC</b>	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
<b>SO<sub>2</sub></b>	Sulfur Dioxide
<b>TA</b>	Target Analyte
<b>TEA</b>	Triethylamine
<b>TGOC</b>	Total Gaseous Organic Concentration
<b>THC</b>	Total Hydrocarbon Concentration
<b>US EPA</b>	United States Environmental Protection Agency
<b>USCAR</b>	United States Council for Automotive Research
<b>VOST</b>	Volatile Organic Sampling Train
<b>WBS</b>	Work Breakdown Structure