



CERP

Casting Emission Reduction Program

Pre-Production Foundry Phenolic Urethane / Iron No-Bake

Emissions Test

July 12, 2001

Prepared by:
TECHNIKON, LLC.
5301 Price Ave. Bldg. 238
McClellan, CA 95652
(916) 929-8001
www.technikonllc.com



AMERICAN FOUNDRYMAN'S SOCIETY,

Pre-Production Air Emission Test Report

No-Bake Binder Systems Phenolic Urethane / Iron Emissions Test **RV100127EG**

Prepared by: Original Signed
Carmen Hornsby

07/12/01
Date

Process Engineering Manager: Original Signed
Steven Knight

07/12/01
Date

VP Measurement Technologies: Original Signed
Clifford Glowacki, CIH

07/12/01
Date

VP Operations: Original Signed
George Crandell

07/12/01
Date

President: Original Signed
William Walden

07/12/01
Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
1.0 Introduction.....	3
1.1 Background	3
1.2 CERP Objectives	3
1.3 Report Organization.....	4
1.4 Preliminary Testing.....	4
1.5 Specific Test Plan and Objectives.....	4
2.0 Test Methodology.....	7
2.1 Description of Process and Testing Equipment	7
2.2 Description of Testing Program.....	7
3.0 Test Results.....	11
4.0 Discussion of Results and Conclusions.....	17

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2-1 PRE-PRODUCTION FOUNDRY LAYOUT DIAGRAM	7
FIGURE 3-1. COMPARISON OF EMISSION INDICATORS FROM TESTS DG AND EG.....	14
FIGURE 3-2. COMPARISON OF SELECTED HAP EMISSIONS FROM TESTS DG AND EG	15
FIGURE 3-3. COMPARISON OF SELECTED VOC EMISSIONS FROM TESTS DG AND EG	16

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1.0 EMISSION INDICATOR RESULTS.....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
TABLE 1-1. TEST PLANS SUMMARY	5
TABLE 2-1. PROCESS PARAMETERS MEASURED.....	9
TABLE 2-2. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS	9
TABLE 3-1. SUMMARY OF TEST PLANS DG AND EG AVERAGE RESULTS	12
TABLE 3-2. SUMMARY OF TEST PLANS DG AND EG PROCESS AND STACK PARAMETERS	13

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A APPROVED TEST PLANS FOR TEST SERIES DG AND EG.....	19
APPENDIX B TEST SERIES DG AND EG DETAILED RESULTS	63
APPENDIX C TEST SERIES DG AND EG DETAILED PROCESS AND SOURCE DATA	75
APPENDIX D METHOD 25A CHARTS	78
APPENDIX E VALIDATION LOG (AVAILABLE IN HARD COPY ONLY).....	97
APPENDIX F LISTING OF SUPPORT DOCUMENTS.....	99
APPENDIX G GLOSSARY.....	101

Executive Summary

This report contains the results of emission testing to evaluate the pouring, cooling, and shakeout emissions from Test EG, a Phenolic Urethane No-Bake binder system. These data are compared to results from Test DG, a reference or baseline Phenolic Urethane No-Bake binder system. Technikon, LLC in its Pre-Production foundry, conducted all testing.

The Pre-Production Foundry is a simple general purpose manual foundry that was adapted and instrumented to make detailed organic emission measurements, using methods based on EPA protocols for pouring, casting cooling, and shakeout processes on *discrete* molds. The measurements are conducted under tightly controlled conditions not feasible in a commercial foundry. Evaluating a new product or process in the Technikon Pre-Production Foundry reduces the risk of new material or product introduction for the foundry industry.

The specific objective of Test DG was to establish air emission data against which the air emissions from new materials, equipment and processes, designed to reduce organic Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) can be compared. This report documents the following test series: A comparison of Test EG to the reference Test DG.

The testing performed involved the collection of continuous air samples over a seventy-five minute period, including the mold pouring, cooling, shakeout, and post shakeout periods. Process and stack parameters were measured and include: (the weights of the casting, mold, binder; Loss on Ignition (LOI) values for the mold prior to the test; metallurgical data; and stack temperature, pressure, volumetric flow rate and moisture content). The process parameters were maintained within prescribed ranges in order to ensure the reproducibility of the tests. Samples were collected and analyzed for over seventy (70) target compounds using procedures based on US EPA Method 18. Continuous monitoring of the Total Gaseous Organic Concentration (TGOC), formerly Total Hydrocarbon Content (THC), of the emissions were conducted according to US EPA Method 25A. Finally, the “condensable” organic material in the emissions was determined using a Technikon developed procedure. The “condensables” represent the “back half” catch from US EPA Method 5.

The mass emission rate of each parameter or target compound was calculated, in pounds per ton of metal, using the Method 25A data or the laboratory analytical results, the measured source data, and the weight of each casting. Results for structural isomers have been grouped and reported as a single entity. For example, ortho, meta, and para xylene are the three (3) structural isomers of dimethylbenzene and are reported as o,m,p-xylene though separate results are available in Appendix B of this report. Several “emissions indicators,” in addition to the TGOC (THC) as Propane, were also calculated. The HC as Hexane results represent the sum of all organic compounds detected and expressed as hexane. All of the following sums are sub-groups of this measure. The “Sum of VOCs” is based on the sum of the individual target Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) measured and includes the Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) and Polycyclic Organic Material (POMs) listed in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The

“Sum of HAPs” is the sum of the individual target HAPs measured and includes the POMs. Finally, the “Sum of POMs” is the sum of all of the polycyclic organic material measured.

TGOC as Propane	THC as Hexane	Sum of VOCS	Sum of HAPs	Sum of POMs

It must be noted that the reference and product testing performed is not suitable for use as emission factors or for purposes other than evaluating the *relative emission* reductions associated with the use of alternative materials, equipment, or processes. The emissions measurements are unique to the specific castings produced, materials used, and testing methodology associated with these tests, and should not be used as the basis for estimating emissions from actual commercial foundry applications.

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background

Technikon LLC is a privately held contract research organization located in McClellan, California, a suburb of Sacramento. Technikon offers emissions research services to industrial and government clients specializing in the metal casting and mobile emissions areas. Technikon operates the Casting Emission Reduction Program (CERP). CERP is a cooperative initiative between the Department of Defense (US Army) and the United States Council for Automotive Research (USCAR). Its purpose is to evaluate alternative casting materials and processes that are designed to reduce air emissions and/or produce more efficient casting processes. Other technical partners directly supporting the project include: the American Foundry Society (AFS); the Casting Industry Suppliers Association (CISA); the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA); and the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

1.2 CERP Objectives

The primary objective of CERP is to evaluate materials, equipment, and processes used in the production of metal castings. Technikon's facility was designed to evaluate alternate materials and production processes designed to achieve significant air emission reductions, especially for the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment HAPs. The facility has two principal testing arenas: a Pre-Production Foundry designed to measure airborne emissions from individually poured molds, and a Production Foundry designed to measure air emissions in a continuous full scale production process. Each of these testing arenas has been specially designed to facilitate the collection and evaluation of airborne emissions and associated process data. The data collected during the various testing projects are evaluated to determine both the airborne emissions impact of the materials and/or process changes, and their stability and impact upon the quality and economics of casting and core manufacture. The materials, equipment, and processes may need to be further adapted and defined so that they will integrate into current casting facilities smoothly and with minimum capital expenditure.

Normally, Pre-Production testing is conducted first in order to evaluate the air emissions impact of a proposed alternative material, equipment, or process in the most cost effective manner. The Pre-Production Foundry is a simple general purpose manual foundry that was adapted and instrumented to make detailed emission measurements using methods based on EPA protocols for pouring, casting cooling, and shakeout processes on *discrete* molds under tightly controlled conditions not feasible in a commercial foundry.

The Production Foundry's design as a basic greensand foundry was deliberately chosen so that whatever is tested in this facility will also be convertible to existing mechanized commercial foundries. The type and size of equipment, materials, and processes used emulate an automotive foundry. This facility is used to evaluate materials, equipment, and processes in a *continuous* process that is allowed to vary to the limits of commercial experience in a controlled manner. The Production Foundry provides simultaneous detailed individual emission measurements using

methods based on USEPA protocols of the melting, pouring, sand preparation, mold making, and core making processes. It is instrumented so that the data on all activities of the metal casting process can be simultaneously and continuously collected, in order to completely evaluate the economic impact of the prospective emission reducing strategy. The Production Foundry's test casting is a single cavity Ford Motor Company I-4 engine block. Castings are randomly selected to evaluate the impact of the material, equipment, or process on casting quality. Alternative materials, equipment, and processes that demonstrate significant air emission reduction potential, preserve casting quality parameters, and that are economically viable based on the Pre-Production testing, may be further evaluated in the Production Foundry.

1.3 Report Organization

This report has been designed to document the methodology and results of a specific test plan that was used to evaluate the performance of an alternative material, equipment, or process in the Pre-Production Foundry. Section 2 of this report includes a summary of the methodologies used for data collection and analysis, emission calculations, QA/QC procedures, and data management and reduction methods. Specific data collected during this test are summarized in Section 3 of this report, with detailed data included in Appendices B and C of this report. Section 4 of this report contains a discussion of the results and recommendations for additional testing, if any.

The raw data for this test series are included in a data binder that is maintained at the Technikon facility. There are several support documents that provide details regarding the testing and analytical procedures used. Appendix F contains a listing of these support documents.

1.4 Preliminary Testing

The foundation for the specific test protocols and airborne emission measurements have been determined from testing performed to:

- Establish the required number of samples needed to statistically support the evaluation of emission reduction potentials of the alternative materials, equipment, and processes that may be evaluated;
- Provide a series of standardized emissions from standard mold packages.

The results of this testing is included in a report entitled *Evaluation of the Required Number of Replicate Tests to Provide Statistically Significant Air Emission Reduction Comparisons for the CERP Pre-Production Foundry Test Program*.

1.5 Specific Test Plan and Objectives

This report contains the results of testing performed to assess the emission reduction potential of two different No-bake binder systems. The test hypothesis is that the test binder system will have lower VOC and HAP emissions than a reference (baseline) No-Bake binder system. Table 1-1 provides a summary of the test plans. The details of the approved test plans are included in

Appendix A.

Table 1-1. Test Plans Summary

Test Plans		
Type of Process tested	No-Bake Phenolic Urethane	No-Bake Phenolic Urethane
Test Plan Number	RE100102DG	RV100127EG
Binder System	Delta HA TECHNISET® 20-665/23-635/17-727	Ashland Phenolic PepSet® 53-750-/53-851/3502
Metal Poured	Iron	Iron
Casting Type	Four-cavity AFS Irregular Gear Mold	
Number of molds poured	21	9
Test Dates	11-13-00 > 11-15-00	4/17/01 > 4/19/01
Emissions Measured	70 organic HAPs and VOCs	
Process Parameters Measured	Total Casting, Mold and Binder Weights, Metallurgical data, % LOI, Stack Temperature, Stack Moisture Content, Stack Pressure, and Stack Volumetric Flow Rate	


THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

2.0 Test Methodology

2.1 Description of Process and Testing Equipment

Figure 2-1 is a diagram of the Pre-Production Foundry process equipment

Figure 2-1 Pre-Production Foundry Layout Diagram



2.2 Description of Testing Program

The specific steps used in this sampling program are summarized below:

1. **Test Plan Review and Approval:** The proposed test plan was reviewed by the Technikon staff and CTC Program Manager, and approved.

12 July 2001

2. **Mold and Metal Preparation:** The molds are prepared to a standard composition by the Technikon production team. Relevant process data are collected during mold preparation.

*No-Bake Mold Preparation*

Iron is melted in a 1000 lb. Ajax induction furnace (Model MFB-1000). The amount of metal melted is determined from the poured weight of the casting and the number of molds to be poured. The metal composition is prescribed by a metal composition worksheet. The weight of metal poured into each mold is recorded on the process data summary sheet.

3. **Individual Sampling Events:** Replicate tests are performed on several mold packages. The mold packages are each placed into an enclosed test stand. Iron is poured through an opening in the top of the enclosure. The opening is closed as soon as pouring is completed. Continuous air samples are collected during the forty-five minute pouring and cooling process, during the fifteen minute shakeout of the mold, and for an additional fifteen minute period following shakeout. The total sampling time is seventy-five minutes.

*Pouring of Molds Through Opening in Collection Hood*

The weight of each mold and the weight of binder used to prepare that mold are recorded on the Process Data Summary Sheet. In addition, the pouring temperature, number of cavities poured,

the %LOI of the mold before pouring are also recorded on the Process Data Summary Sheet.

The unheated emission hood is ventilated at approximately 700 SCFM through a 12-inch diameter heated duct. Emissions samples are drawn from sampling ports located to ensure conformance with EPA Method 1. The tip of the probe is located in the centroid of the duct.

*Castings after Shake Out**Volatiles and Condensables Sampling*

Table 2-1. Process Parameters Measured

Parameter	Analytical Equipment and Methods
Mold Weight	Acme 4260 Crane Scale (Gravimetric)
Casting Weight	Westweigh PP2847 Platform Scale (Gravimetric)
Binder Weight	Mettler PJ8000 Digital Scale (Gravimetric)
Sand Resin Tensile Strength	Dietert 405 Universal Strength Machine (AFS 3301-005)
Tensile Test Bar Weight	Mettler PJ 4000 Digital Scale (Gravimetric) (AFS 3301-005)
LOI, %	Denver Instruments XE-100 Analytical Scale (AFS procedure 5100-005)
Metallurgical Parameters	
Pouring Temperature	Electro-Nite DT 260 (T/C immersion pyrometer)
Carbon/Silicon, and Fusion Temperature	Electro-Nite Datacast 2000 (Thermal Arrest)
Alloy Weights	OHAUS MP-2
Carbon/Silicon	Baird Foundry Mate Optical Emission Spectrometer

5. **Air Emissions Analysis:** The specific sampling and analytical methods used in the Pre-Production Foundry tests are based on the USEPA reference methods shown in Table 2-2. The details of the specific testing procedures and their variance from the reference methods are included in the Technikon Emissions Testing and Analytical Testing Standard Operating Procedures.

Table 2-2. Sampling and Analytical Methods

Measurement Parameter	Test Method
Port location	EPA Method 1
Number of traverse points	EPA Method 1
Gas velocity and temperature	EPA Method 2
Gas density and molecular weight	EPA Method 3a
Gas moisture	EPA Method 4, gravimetric
HAPs concentration	EPA Method 18, TO11, NIOSH 2002*
VOCs concentration	EPA Method 18, 25A, TO11, NIOSH 2002*
Condensables	Technikon method **

*These methods were specifically modified to meet the testing objectives of the CERP Program.

**The Technikon condensables method is intended to provide a measure of the EPA Method 5 "back-half" determination.

6. **Data Reduction, Tabulation and Preliminary Report Preparation:** The analytical results of the emissions tests provide the mass of each analyte in the sample. The total mass of the analyte emitted is calculated by multiplying the mass of analyte in the sample times the ratio of total stack gas volume to sample volume. The total stack gas volume is calculated from the measured stack gas velocity and duct diameter, and corrected to dry standard conditions

using the measured stack pressures, temperatures, gas molecular weight and moisture content. The total mass of analyte is then divided by the weight of the casting poured to provide emissions data in pounds of analyte per ton of metal.

The results of each of the sampling events are included in Appendix B of this report. The results of each test are also averaged and are shown in Table 3-1.

7. **Report Preparation and Review:** The Preliminary Draft Report is reviewed by the Process Team and Emissions Team to ensure its completeness, consistency with the test plan, and adherence to the prescribed QA/QC procedures. Appropriate observations, conclusions and recommendations are added to the report to produce a Draft Report. The Draft Report is reviewed by the Vice President-Measurement Technologies, the Vice President-Operations, and the Technikon President. Comments are incorporated into a draft Final Report prior to final signature approval and distribution.

2.3 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures

Detailed QA/QC and data validation procedures for the process parameters, stack measurements, and laboratory analytical procedures are included in the Technikon Emissions Testing and Analytical Testing Standard Operating Procedures. In order to ensure the timely review of critical quality control parameters, the following procedures are followed:

- Immediately following the individual sampling events performed for each test, specific process parameters are reviewed by the Manager - Process Engineering to ensure that the parameters are maintained within the prescribed control ranges. Where data are not within the prescribed ranges, the Manager - Process Engineering and the Vice President-Operations determine whether the individual test samples should be invalidated or flagged for further analysis following review of the laboratory data.
- The source (stack) and sampling parameters, analytical results and corresponding laboratory QA/QC data are reviewed by the Emissions Measurement Team to confirm the validity of the data. The VP-Measurement Technologies reviews and approves the recommendation, if any, that individual sample data should be invalidated. Invalidated data are not used in subsequent calculations.

3.0 Test Results

The average emission results, in pounds per ton of metal poured, is presented in Table 3-1 for tests reported in this document. This table includes the individual VOC compounds that comprise at least 95% of the total VOCs measured, along with the corresponding sum of VOCs, sum of HAPs, and sum of POMs. The table also includes the TGOC (THC) as Propane, HC as Hexane and the percentage difference between the baseline (DG) and the test system (EG). Percentage differences in **bold** are the result of emissions differences, not test variability. Figures 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3 represent the comparisons of the five emissions indicators and selected individual HAP and VOC emissions data from Table 3-1 in graphical form. Appendix B contains the detailed data including the results for all analytes measured. Table 3-2 includes the averages of the key process and source parameters and the data target ranges. Detailed process and source data are presented in Appendix C.

Method 25A charts for the tests are included in Appendix D of this report. The charts are presented to show the VOC profile of emissions for each pour.

A laboratory analytical data validation log is included in Appendix E of this report.

Table 3-1. Summary of Test Plans DG and EG Average Results

Analytes	Test DG (Lb/Tn)	Test EG (Lb/Tn)	% Change from DG
TGOC (THC) as Propane	12.15	6.87	-43%
HC as Hexane	11.13	4.76	-57%
Sum of VOCs	4.06	1.80	-56%
Sum of HAPs	2.00	1.37	-32%
Sum of POMs	0.104	0.304	193%
Individual Organic HAPs			
Phenol	0.942	0.618	-34%
o,m,p-Cresol	0.500	0.026	-95%
Benzene	0.299	0.290	-3%
Dimethylnaphthalenes	0.086	0.003	-96%
Toluene	0.056	0.048	-14%
o,m,p-Xylene	0.031	0.033	5%
Formaldehyde	0.021	0.024	18%
Naphthalene	ND	0.280	NA
Other VOCs			
Dimethylphenols	1.07	0.042	-96%
Diethylbenzenes	0.299	0.068	-77%
Trimethylbenzenes	0.216	0.146	-32%
Tetradecane	0.141	0.002	-99%
Butylbenzenes	0.116	0.004	-97%
Dodecane	0.060	0.038	-36%
Indan	0.057	0.028	-51%
Undecane	0.033	ND	-100%
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	0.021	0.032	51%
Ethyltoluenes	0.006	0.046	667%
Other Analytes			
Condensables	0.800	1.66	107%
Acetone	0.001	0.003	77%
Carbon Monoxide	4.18	ND	-100%
Methane	0.590	ND	-100%
Carbon Dioxide	59.3	37.7	-36%

Individual results constitute >95% of the mass of all detected VOCs.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of HAPs or VOCs.

Test EG carbon dioxide ambient background level : 38.4 Lb/Tn

"Percent Change from Test DG" values in bold indicate a 95% probability that the differences in the average values were not from test variability.

Table 3-2. Summary of Test Plans DG and EG Process and Stack Parameters

Average Process and Stack Parameters	Average of Baseline DG	Average of Test EG (Iron)	% Difference	Target Range
Casting Metal Weight, casting & sprue, lbs.	131	130	-0.4	128 - 134
Pouring Temperature, °F	2639	2632	-0.3	2615 - 2645
No Bake Mold Weight, lbs.	332	284	-14.4	325 - 335
% (Resin + co-reactant) BOS	1.14	1.13	-1.2	1.1
Ratio Resin to co-reactant	55/45	55/45	0.0	55/45
Total (Resin + co-reactant), true % BO (Binder + sand)	1.12	1.11	-0.9	1.07 - 1.11
Total Binder, true % BO (Binder + sand)	1.16	1.11	0.4	1.14 - 1.18
No Bake Mold LOI, % 1800°F	1.41	0.91	-35.7	1.1 - 1.9
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 2 hrs, psi	208	40	-80.6	100 - 220
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 24 hrs at 90% RH, psi	87	21	-75.5	40 - 100
<hr/>				
Average Stack Temperature, °F	105	112	6.3	110 ± 15
Total Moisture Content, %	0.89	1.12	26.2	N/A
Average Stack Velocity, ft./sec.	16.0	15.8	-1.2	15 ± 2
Avg. Stack Pressure, in. Hg	30.17	30.00	-0.6	N/A
Stack Flow Rate, scfm	705	682	-3.3	700 ± 50

Note 1: DG - No Bake mold LOI's were done at 1400°F

Figure 3-1. Comparison of Emission Indicators from Tests DG and EG




Figure 3-2. Comparison of Selected HAP Emissions from Tests DG and EG





Figure 3-3. Comparison of Selected VOC Emissions from Tests DG and EG



4.0 Discussion of Results

The sampling and analytical methodologies were the same for Test Plans DG and EG. Observation of measured process parameters indicates that the validated tests were run within an acceptable range. The EG No Bake LOI measured 35.7% less than DG and accounts for some of the lower measured emissions relative to DG. These are real differences because the volumetric calibrations of the binder additions were acceptable in both tests. This binder also produced tensile test cores with 75-80% lower strength at the same binder content.

In Table 3-1, the “% change from Test DG” values presented in **bold** letters indicate a greater than 95% probability that the differences in the average values were not the result of variability in the test protocol determined from T-Statistic calculations. A table showing the T-Statistics calculated is found in Appendix B.

The results of the tests performed for the comparison of Test EG to Test DG show a **43%** reduction in TGOC (THC) as propane, a **57%** reduction in HC as hexane, a **56%** reduction in VOCs, a **32%** reduction in HAPs, and a **193%** increase in POMs.

For Test EG, phenol comprised approximately 45% of the Sum of HAPs. Benzene and naphthalene each comprised approximately 20% of the Sum of HAPs. In comparison, for the reference Test DG, phenol comprised approximately 47% of the Sum of HAPs with o,m,p-cresol and benzene comprising 25% and 15% respectively.

Sampling was performed to determine the local ambient background levels for carbon dioxide (CO₂) for Test EG near the sampling stack in the Pre-production foundry. The ambient CO₂ was 38.4 pounds per ton of metal for Test EG. Ambient background sampling was not performed for the reference Test DG; therefore, direct comparisons between the two tests cannot be made. (See footnote on Table 3-1.)

EPA Method 25A, TGOM (THC) as propane, is weighted to the detection of more volatile hydrocarbon species, beginning at C1 (methane), with results calibrated against a three-carbon alkane (propane). HC as hexane is weighted to detection of relatively less volatile compounds and detects hydrocarbon compounds in the alkane range between C6 and C16, with results calibrated against a six-carbon alkane (hexane).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix A Approved Test Plans for Test Series DG and EG

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TECHNIKON/CERP TEST PLAN

CONTRACT NUMBER: 1256 **TASK NUMBER:** 120

CONTROL NUMBER: RE 1 00102

SAMPLE FAMILY: DG

SAMPLE EVENTS: 001 thru 021

SITE: X PRE-PRODUCTION(243) CERP FOUNDRY(238)

TEST TYPE: Iron: No-Bake Phenolic Urethane Baseline

MOLD TYPE: No-Bake variable-tooth gear precision mold made with Delta-HA Techniset® No-Bake 20-665 Part I, 23-635 Part II, 17-727 Part III

NUMBER OF MOLDS: 21

CORE TYPE: N/A

TEST DATE: START: 13 Nov 00
FINISH: 27 Dec 00

TEST OBJECTIVES:

Primary: To measure emissions from No-Bake molds, formulated for use with cast iron, and manufactured based on protocols developed in capability study CP and CW to make a No-Bake Iron baseline. The Airsense real-time spectrometer & THC analyzer will be used to monitor the test, and sample tubes will be collected for analysis by an outside laboratory.

VARIABLES: Three part No-Bake resin at 1.1 % resin (BOS) in the ratio of 55% Delta-HA Techniset® 20-665 resin, 45% Delta-HA Techniset® 23-635 co-reactant, and 7% (BOR Part I) Delta-HA Techniset® 17-727 part III activator.

12 July 2001

BRIEF OVERVIEW: The molds will be the standard 4-on variable-tooth gear made from Okie 90 silica sand with the above resin system. The molds will be transferred to the Pouring/cooling/shakeout hooded station used for greensand and core baselines.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: A shakeout fixture, which will promote disintegration of the No-Bake mold shall be installed on the shakeout device. This fixture will carry the No-Bake mold and locate the pouring basin in the standard pouring position. Steel hangers will be implanted in each cavity to promote separation of castings from the no bake sand during shakeout.

John M Wright
Manager Process Engineering
(Technikon)

Ch. Shores
V.P. Measurement Technologies
(Technikon)

GT Anderson
V.P. Operations (Technikon)

Larry S. Clegg
Emissions Team (USCAR)

Larry S. Clegg
Process and Facilities Team (USCAR)

DO Myers
Project Manager (CFC)

11/9/00
Date

11-9-00
Date

11-9-00
Date

12/5/00
Date

12/5/00
Date

12/12/00
Date

TECHNIKON TEST PLAN

CONTRACT NUMBER: 1256 **TASK NUMBER:** 110

CONTROL NUMBER: RV 1 00127

SAMPLE FAMILY: EG

SAMPLE EVENTS: 001 thru 009

SITE: X PRE-PRODUCTION(243) CERP FOUNDRY(238)

TEST TYPE: Ashland Phenolic No-Bake (53-750 Part I, 53-851 Part II, 3502 Part III

MOLD TYPE: Phenolic Urethane No-Bake Iron System

NUMBER OF MOLDS: 9

CORE TYPE: N/A

TEST DATE: START: 4/17/01
FINISH: 4/19/01

TEST OBJECTIVES:

Primary: To measure emissions from No-Bake molds, formulated for use with cast iron, and manufactured based on protocols developed in capability study CP and CW and compared to the No-Bake Iron Baseline DG. The Total hydrocarbon analyzer will be used to monitor the test and sample tubes will be collected for analysis by an outside laboratory.

VARIABLES: Three part No-bake resin at 1.1 % resin (BOS) in the ratio of 55% Ashland Phenolic PepSet® resin 53-750, 45% Ashland Phenolic PepSet® 53-851 part II, and 7% (BOR) Ashland Phenolic PepSet® 3502 part III activator.

12 July 2001

BRIEF OVERVIEW: The molds will be the standard 4-on variable-tooth gear made from Amador 70 silica sand with the above resin system. The molds will be transferred to the Pouring/cooling/shakeout hooded station used for greensand and core baselines.

Protocols were developed in CW and CP for making Phenolic Urethane no-bake molds. Furan is a different chemical system whose physical, mechanical, and emission characteristics may not fall within the parameters of the Phenolic Urethane test criteria. Criteria for this material were supplementally determined in capability study DJ.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: The THC will be used to validate whether mold has cracked before shakeout.

<u>Han M. Murphy</u> Process Engineering Manager (Technikon)	<u>3-25-01</u> Date
<u>C.R. H. [Signature]</u> V.P. Measurement Technology (Technikon)	<u>3-27-01</u> Date
<u>G.W. [Signature]</u> V.P. Operations (Technikon)	<u>3-25-01</u> Date
<u>L.J. [Signature]</u> Emissions Team (USCAR)	<u>4-24-01</u> Date
<u>J.A. [Signature]</u> Process and Facilities Team (USCAR)	<u>4-24-01</u> Date
<u>D.O. Myers</u> Project Manager (CTC)	<u>4/25/01</u> Date

Pre-Production Phenolic Urethane / Iron No-Bake Process Instructions - DG

- A. Experiment
 - 1. Establish a Phenolic Urethane Iron No-Bake baseline that other No-Bake vendor materials will be compared.
- B. Materials
 - 1. No-Bake molds: Okie 90 Silica Sand and 1.1 % Delta-HA Techniset ® No-Bake Phenolic-Urethane core resin composed of 20-665 part I resin, 23-635 part II co-reactant, & 17-727 part III activator. These resins are designed for iron applications.
 - 2. Metal: Class 30 Gray cast iron.
Note: Observe all safety precautions attendant to these operations as delineated in the Pre-Production operating and safety instruction manual.
- C. Mold Requirements
 - 1. Make nine (9) Phenolic No-Bake molds according standards determined in CW & CP capability studies.
- D. Phenolic Urethane No-Bake Core Sand preparation
 - 1. The phenolic urethane No-Bake sand shall be 1.1 % total resin (BOS), Part I/Part II ratio 55/45, Part III at 7% of Part I.
 - 2. Calibrate the Kloster No-Bake sand mixer to dispense 240 pounds/min more or less.
 - 3. Calibrate the resin pumps:
 - a. Part I: Based on the actual measured sand dispensing rate calibrate the Part I resin to be 55% of 1.1 % total resin or 0.605% +/- .01% (BOS).
 - b. Part II: Based on the actual measured sand dispensing rate calibrate the Part II co-reactant to be 45 % of 1.1 % total resin or 0.495 % +/- 0.01 % (BOS).
 - c. Calibrate the part III activator to be 7 % +/- 0.1 % of Part I.
- E. Dog bones
 - 1. Make 24 dogbones according to the protocol establish in capability study CW. Two (2) 12-piece sets of test dogbones using 12-on core box.
 - 2. Sample the raw uncoated sand from the hopper feeding the core sand mixer, bag, label with date, time, and mold number. Send to sand lab for LOI comparison.
 - 3. Place the core box on the vibrating compaction table.
 - 4. Start the Kloster mixer and waste a few pounds of sand.
 - 5. Flood the core box with sand then stop the mixer.
 - 6. Strike off the core box to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch deep
 - 7. Turn on the vibrating compaction table for 15 seconds.
 - 8. Screed off most of the excess sand.
 - 9. Screed the core box a second time moving very slowly in a back and forth manner to remove **all** excess sand.
***Note:** It is important to neither gouge the sand nor leave excess sand in center neck portion of the dogbone or the test results will be affected
 - 10. Set aside for about 6-7 minutes or until hard to the touch.

11. Carefully remove the cores from the core box by separating the corebox components.
12. Place 6 bones in the 90% Rh cabinet.
13. Perform tensile tests on 6 bones at each of the following times after dogbone manufacture: 30 minutes, 2 hours, 24 hours, and 24 hours@ 90 % Rh. Report the average and standard deviation for each set of six (6) at each time for each mold.
14. Weigh each dogbone and record the weight to the nearest 0.1 grams using the PJ 4000 electronic scale at the time it is tensile tested.
***Note:** maintain the correlation between the reported weight of a dogbone and its tensile strength and scratch hardness.
15. Run a 1400 °F core LOI on three (3) of the 30- minute tensile test dogbones. Report the average value for each mold.
16. Run a 1400 °F core LOI on the raw uncoated sand sampled at the same time as the dogbones are made. Calculate a Core Resin LOI as the difference between the average Core LOI and raw sand core LOI. Report this value for each mold.

F. No-Bake mold making: 4 on gear core box

1. Inspect the box for cracks and other damage. Repair before use.
2. Prepare the core box halves with a light coating of Ashland Zipslip® IP 78. Allow to fully dry.
3. Place the drag core box on the vibrating compaction table.
4. Begin filling the box.
5. Immediately start the table vibration.
6. Manually spread the sand around the box as it is filling.
7. Strike off the box until it is full.
8. Allow the vibrator to run an additional 10 seconds after the box is full.
9. Strike off the core box so that the core mold is 5-1/2 inches thick.
10. Set the core box aside for 5 to 6 minutes or until it is hard to the touch.
11. Invert the box and place on a transport pallet.
12. Remove the pivot hole pins.
13. Remove the core mold half by tapping lightly on the box with a soft hammer.
14. Set the drag core box aside.
15. Place the cope core box on the vibrating compaction table.
16. Follow steps F3-F13 except that the cope mold is 5 inches thick.
17. Rotate the unboxed core to set it on edge.
18. Drill vent holes as per template.
19. Hand trim the pour basin to promote minimum splash and minimum cup volume.
20. Close cope onto drag. Visually check for closure.
21. Install two (2) steel straps, one on either side of the pouring cup, with 4 metal corner protectors each to hold the mold tightly closed.
22. Weigh and record the weight of the closed mold.

G. Emission hood

1. Loading

- a. Hoist the mold onto the shakeout deck fixture within the emission hood with the pouring cup side toward the furnace.
- b. Install the cope weighting device.

- c. Install a half inch re-rod casting hangers through the cope into each of the four riser cavities and suspend them over the horizontal mold retaining bars.
 - d. Close, seal, and lock the emission hood
2. Shakeout
 - a. After 45 minutes of cooling time has elapsed turn on the shakeout unit and run for 15 minutes as prescribed in the emission test plan from pouring.
 - b. Turn off the shakeout. The emission sampling will continue for an additional 15 minutes or a total of 75 minutes
 - c. Wait for the emission team to signal that they are finished sampling.
 - d. Open the hood, remove the castings
 - e. Clean core sand out of the pit and off the shakeout.
 - f. Weigh and record cast metal weight.

H. Melting

1. Initial charge
 - a. Charge the furnace according to the *Generic Start Up Charge for Pre-Production* heat recipe bearing effectivity date 18 Mar 1999.
 - b. Place part of the steel scrap on the bottom, followed by carbon alloys, and the balance of the steel.
 - c. Place a pig on top on top.
 - d. Bring the furnace contents to the point of beginning to melt over a period of 1 hour at reduced power.
 - e. Add the balance of the metallics under full power until all is melted and the temperature has reached 2600 to 2700 °F.
 - f. Slag the furnace and add the balance of the alloys.
 - g. Raise the temperature of the melt to 2700 °F and take a DataCast 2000 sample. The temperature of the primary liquidus (TPL) must be in the range of 2200-2350°F.
 - h. Hold the furnace at 2500-2550°F until near ready to tap.
 - i. When ready to tap raise the temperature to 2700°F and slag the furnace.
 - j. Record all metallic and alloy additions to the furnace, tap temperature, and pour temperature. Record all furnace activities with an associated time.
2. Back charging
 - a. If additional iron is desired back charge according to the *Generic Pre-Production Last Melt* heat recipe bearing effectivity date 18 Mar 1999.
 - b. Charge a few pieces of steel first to make a splash barrier, followed by the carbon alloys.
 - c. Follow the above steps beginning with H.1.e
3. Emptying the furnace
 - a. Pig the extra metal only after the last emission measurement is complete to avoid contaminating the air sample.
 - b. Cover the empty furnace with ceramic blanket to cool.

I. Pouring

1. Preheat the ladle.
 - a. Tap 400 pounds more or less of 2700°F metal into the cold ladle.

- b. Casually pour the metal back to the furnace.
- c. Cover the ladle.
- d. Reheat the metal to 2780 +/- 20 °F.
- e. Tap 450 pounds more or less of iron into the ladle while pouring inoculating alloys onto the metal stream near its base.
- f. Cover the ladle to conserve heat.
- g. Move the ladle to the pour position, open the emission hood pour door and wait until the metal temperature reaches 2630 +/- 10 °F.
- h. Commence pouring keeping the sprue full.
- i. Upon completion close the hood door, return the extra metal to the furnace, and cover the ladle.

Pre-Production Iron Phenolic Urethane No-Bake Process Instructions -EG Rev 1

- A. Experiment: Determine emissions from Iron No-Bake Phenolic Urethane resin and compare to Iron No-bake Baseline DG.
- B. Materials:
 - 1. No-bake molds: Amador 70 Silica Sand and 1.1 % Ashland PEPSET® No-bake Phenolic-Urethane core resin composed of 53-750 part I resin, 53-851 part II co-reactant, & 3502 part III activator. These resins are designed for iron applications.
 - 2. Metal: Class 30 Gray cast iron.
Caution: Observe all safety precautions attendant to these operations as delineated in the Pre-Production operating and safety instruction manual.
- C. Mold requirements
 - 1. Make nine (9) Phenolic Urethane no-bake molds according standards determined in CW & CP capability studies.
- D. Phenolic Urethane No-bake Core Sand preparation:
 - 1. The phenolic urethane no-bake sand shall be 1.1 % total resin (BOS), Part I/Part II ratio 55/45, Part III at 5 % of Part I.
 - 2. Calibrate the Kloster no-bake sand mixer to dispense 240 pounds/min more or less.
 - 3. Calibrate the resin pumps:
 - a. Part I: Based on the actual measured sand dispensing rate calibrate the Part I resin to be 55% of 1.1 % total resin or 0.605% +/- .01% (BOS).
 - b. Part II: Based on the actual measured sand dispensing rate calibrate the Part II co-reactant to be 45 % of 1.1 % total resin or 0.495 % +/- 0.01 % (BOS).
 - c. Calibrate the part III activator to 5 % +/- 0.1 % of Part I.
- E. Dog bones:
 - 1. Make 24 dogbones according to the protocol establish in capability study CW. (Two (2) 12-piece sets of test dogbones using the 12-on core box)
 - 2. Place the core box on the vibrating compaction table.
 - 3. Start the Kloster mixer and waste a few pounds of coated sand.
 - 4. Flood the core box with coated sand then stop the mixer.
 - 5. Strike off the core box to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch deep
 - 6. Turn on the vibrating compaction table for 10 seconds.
 - 7. Screed off most of the excess sand.
 - 8. Screed the core box a second time moving very slowly in a back and forth manner to remove **all** excess sand.
Note: It is important to neither gouge the sand nor leave excess sand in center neck portion of the dogbone or the test results will be affected
 - 9. Set aside for about 6-7 minutes or until hard to the touch.
 - 10. Carefully remove the cores from the core box by separating the corebox components.
 - 11. Place 6 bones in the 90% Rh cabinet.

12. Perform tensile tests on 6 bones at each of the following times after dogbone manufacture: 30 minutes, 2 hours, 24 hours, and 24 hours @ 90 % Rh. Report the average and standard deviation for each set of six (6) at each time for each mold.
13. Weigh each dogbone and record the weight to the nearest 0.1 grams using the PJ 4000 electronic scale at the time it is tensile tested.
Note: maintain the correlation between the reported weight of a dogbone and its tensile strength.
14. Determine the moisture content and run a 1400 °F core LOI test, in triplicate, on a blend of six (6) broken dogbone halves from both cope and drag of the 30- minute tensile tests. Report the average value for each mold.

F. No-bake mold making: 4 on gear core box.

1. Inspect the box for cracks and other damage. Repair before use.
2. Prepare the core box halves with a light coating of Ashland Zipslip® IP 78. Allow to fully dry.
3. Place the drag core box on the vibrating compaction table.
4. Begin filling the box.
5. Manually spread the sand around the box as it is filling without hand tamping.
6. Overfill the box by 1 to 1-1/2 inches and level.
7. Vibrate the box for about 10 seconds or until the sand is visibly not moving relative to the corebox.
8. Strike off the drag core box so that the core mold is 5-1/2 inches thick.
9. Set the core box aside for 5 to 6 minutes or until it is hard to the touch.
10. Invert the box and place on a transport pallet.
11. Remove the pivot hole pins.
12. Remove the core mold half by tapping lightly on the box with a soft hammer.
13. Set the drag core box aside.
14. Place the cope core box on the vibrating compaction table.
15. Follow steps F4-F12 except that the cope mold is 5 inches thick.
16. Rotate the unboxed core to set it on edge.
17. Drill vent holes as per template.
18. Hand trim the pour basin to promote minimum splash and minimum cup volume.
19. Close cope onto drag. Visually check for closure.
20. Install two (2) steel straps, one on either side of the pouring cup, with 4 metal corner protectors each to hold the mold tightly closed.
21. Weigh and record the weight of the closed mold.

G. Emission hood:

1. Loading.
 - a. Hoist the mold onto the shakeout deck fixture within the emission hood with the pouring cup side toward the furnace.
 - b. Install the cope weighting device.
 - c. Weight the sum of the four hangers to be placed in the mold.
 - d. Install half-inch re-rod casting hangers through the cope into each of the four riser cavities and suspend them over the horizontal mold retaining bars.
 - e. Close, seal, and lock the emission hood

2. Shakeout.
 - a. After 45 minutes of cooling time has elapsed from pouring turn on the shakeout unit and run for 15 minutes as prescribed in the emission test plan.
 - b. Turn off the shakeout. The emission sampling will continue for an additional 15 minutes for a total of 75 minutes
 - c. Wait for the emission team to signal that they are finished sampling.
 - d. Open the hood, allow the debri to be photographed, and remove the castings
 - e. Clean core sand out of the pit and off the shakeout.
 - f. Weigh and record the casting , gate & sprue, and splash & spill metal separately.
 - g. Assemble the metal components to be photographed.

H. Melting:

1. Initial charge:
 - a. Charge the furnace according to the **Generic Start Up Charge for Pre-production** heat recipe bearing effectivity date 18 Mar 1999.
 - b. Place part of the steel scrap on the bottom, followed by carbon alloys, and the balance of the steel.
 - c. Place a pig on top.
 - d. Bring the furnace contents to the point of beginning to melt over a period of 1 hour at reduced power.
 - e. Add the balance of the metallics under full power until all is melted and the temperature has reached 2600 to 2700 °F.
 - f. Slag the furnace and add the balance of the alloys.
 - g. Raise the temperature of the melt to 2700 °F and take a DataCast 2000 sample. The temperature of the primary liquidus (TPL) must be in the range of 2150-2200°F.
 - h. Hold the furnace at 2500-2550°F until near ready to tap.
 - i. When ready to tap raise the temperature to 2700°F and slag the furnace.
 - j. Record all metallic and alloy additions to the furnace, tap temperature, and pour temperature. Record all furnace activities with an associated times.
2. Back charging.
 - a. If additional iron is required back charge according to the **Generic Pre-Production Last Melt** heat recipe bearing effectivity date 18 Mar 1999.
 - b. Charge a few pieces of steel first to make a splash barrier, followed by the carbon alloys.
 - c. Follow the above steps beginning with H.1.e
3. Emptying the furnace.
 - a. Pig the extra metal only after the last mold has been poured.
 - b. Transport the metal in the pour ladle to the north side of the pre-production room.
 - c. Pig the metal into a pig mold setting on the running capture hood.
 - d. Keep the capture hood running until the emission collection is complete.

I. Pouring:

1. Preheat the ladle.

2. Tap 400 pounds more or less of 2700°F metal into the cold ladle.
3. Casually pour the metal back to the furnace.
4. Cover the ladle.
5. Reheat the metal to 2780 +/- 20 °F.
6. Tap 450 pounds more or less of iron into the ladle while pouring inoculating alloys onto the metal stream near its base.
7. Cover the ladle to conserve heat.
8. Move the ladle to the pour position, open the emission hood pour door and wait until the metal temperature reaches 2630 +/- 10 °F.
9. Commence pouring keeping the sprue full.
10. Upon completion close the hood door, return the extra metal to the furnace, and cover the ladle.

Steven Knight
Mgr. Process Engineer

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

						Comments
						Train Channel
						Flow (ml/min)
						Spike Duplicate
						Spike
						Breakthrough
						Blank
						Duplicate
						Sample
						Data
						Sample #
						Method

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

						Comments
						Train Channel
						Flow (ml/min)
						Spike Duplicate
						Spike
						Breakthrough
						Blank
						Duplicate
						Sample
						Data
						Sample #
						Method

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Comments					
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank
11/13/00							No-Bake Iron, 1.1% Resin
EVENT 3							
AIRSENSE	DG00301						TOTAL
THC	DG00302						TOTAL
PUF	DG00310					35L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Comments					
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank
11/14/00							
EVENT 4							
PUF	DG004						35L
							Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments	
		Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	Duplicate	
11/14/00									
EVENT 5									
AIRSENSE	DG00501	X							TOTAL
THC	DG00502	X							TOTAL
M-18	DG00503		1				25	1	TOTAL
M-18	DG00504			1			25	1	TOTAL
M-18	DG00505		1				25	2	TOTAL
M-18	DG00506				1		25	2	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00507		1				25	3	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00508				1		25	3	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00509		1				25	4	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00510				1		25	4	TOTAL
EXCESS							25	5	Excess
NIOSH 1500	DG00511		1				500	6	TOTAL Orbo 32L
EXCESS							500	7	Excess
NIOSH 2002	DG00512		1				500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS							500	9	Excess
TO11	DG00513		1				1000	10	TOTAL
TO11	DG00514				1		1000	10	TOTAL
EXCESS							1000	11	Excess
Moisture			1				500	12	
Excess							5000	13	Excess
PUF	DG00515		1				35L		Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	
11/14/00								
EVENT 6								
AIRSENSE	DG00601	X						TOTAL
THC	DG00602	X						TOTAL
M-18	DG00603		1				25	1 TOTAL
M-18	DG00604			1			25	2 TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00605		1				25	3 TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00606			1			25	4 TOTAL
Excess							25	5 excess
NIOSH 1500	DG00607		1				500	6 TOTAL Orbo 32L
NIOSH 1500	DG00608			1			500	7 TOTAL Orbo 32L
NIOSH 2002	DG00609		1				500	8 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
NIOSH 2002	DG00610			1			500	9 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
TO11	DG00611		1				1000	10 TOTAL
TO11	DG00612			1			1000	11 TOTAL
Moisture			1				500	12
Excess							5000	13 excess
PUF	DG00613		1				35L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments	
		Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	Duplicate	
11/14/00									
EVENT 7									
AIRSENSE	DG00701	X							TOTAL
THC	DG00702	X							TOTAL
M-18	DG00703		1				25	1	TOTAL
M-18	DG00704			1			25	2	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00705		1				25	3	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00706			1			25	4	TOTAL
EXCESS							25	5	Excess
NIOSH 1500	DG00707		1				500	6	TOTAL Orbo 32L
EXCESS							500	7	Excess
NIOSH 2002	DG00708		1				500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS							500	9	Excess
TO11	DG00709		1				1000	10	TOTAL
EXCESS							1000	11	Excess
Moisture			1				500	12	
Excess							5000	13	Excess
PUF	DG00710		1				35L		Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Comments						
		Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	Duplicate
11/15/00								
EVENT 8								
AIRSENSE	DG00801	X						TOTAL
THC	DG00802	X						TOTAL
M-18	DG00803		1				25	1 TOTAL
M-18	DG00804			1			25	2 TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00805		1				25	3 TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00806			1			25	4 TOTAL
EXCESS							25	5 Excess
NIOSH 1500	DG00807		1				500	6 TOTAL Orbo 32L
EXCESS							500	7 Excess
NIOSH 2002	DG00808		1				500	8 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS							500	9 Excess
TO11	DG00809		1				1000	10 TOTAL
EXCESS							1000	11 Excess
Moisture			1				500	12
Excess							5000	13 Excess
PUF	DG00810		1				35L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments	
		Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	Duplicate	
11/15/00									
EVENT 9									
AIRSENSE	DG00901	X							TOTAL
THC	DG00902	X							TOTAL
M-18	DG00903		1				25	1	TOTAL
M-18	DG00904			1			25	2	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00905		1				25	3	TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG00906			1			25	4	TOTAL
EXCESS							25	5	Excess
NIOSH 1500	DG00907		1				500	6	TOTAL Orbo 32L
EXCESS							500	7	Excess
NIOSH 2002	DG00908		1				500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS							500	9	Excess
TO11	DG00909		1				1000	10	TOTAL
EXCESS							1000	11	Excess
Moisture			1				500	12	
Excess							5000	13	Excess
PUF	DG00910		1				35L		Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments	
11/15/00												
EVENT 10												
AIRSENSE	DG01001	X									TOTAL	
THC	DG01002	X									TOTAL	
M-18	DG01003		1						25	1	TOTAL	
M-18	DG01004			1					25	2	TOTAL	
M-18 by MS	DG01005		1						25	3	TOTAL	
M-18 by MS	DG01006			1					25	4	TOTAL	
EXCESS									25	5	Excess	
NIOSH 1500	DG01007		1						500	6	TOTAL Orbo 32L	
EXCESS									500	7	Excess	
NIOSH 2002	DG01008		1						500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)	
EXCESS									500	9	Excess	
TO11	DG01009		1						1000	10	TOTAL	
EXCESS									1000	11	Excess	
Moisture			1						500	12		
Excess									5000	13	Excess	
PUF	DG01010		1						35L		Port B	

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data						Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Comments
11/15/00													
EVENT 11													
AIRSENSE	DG01101	X											TOTAL
THC	DG01102	X											TOTAL
M-18	DG01103		1						25	1			TOTAL
M-18	DG01104			1					25	2			TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG01105		1						25	3			TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG01106			1					25	4			TOTAL
EXCESS									25	5			Excess
NIOSH 1500	DG01107		1						500	6			TOTAL Orbo 32L
EXCESS									500	7			Excess
NIOSH 2002	DG01108		1						500	8			TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS									500	9			Excess
TO11	DG01109		1						1000	10			TOTAL
EXCESS									1000	11			Excess
Moisture			1						500	12			
Excess									5000	13			Excess
PUF	DG01110		1						35L				Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments	
11/16/00												
EVENT 12												
AIRSENSE	DG01201	X									TOTAL	
THC	DG01202	X									TOTAL	
M-18	DG01203		1						25	1	TOTAL	
M-18	DG01204			1					25	2	TOTAL	
M-18 by MS	DG01205		1						25	3	TOTAL	
M-18 by MS	DG01206			1					25	4	TOTAL	
EXCESS									25	5	Excess	
NIOSH 1500	DG01207		1						500	6	TOTAL Orbo 32L	
EXCESS									500	7	Excess	
NIOSH 2002	DG01208		1						500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)	
EXCESS									500	9	Excess	
TO11	DG01209		1						1000	10	TOTAL	
EXCESS									1000	11	Excess	
Moisture			1						500	12		
Excess									5000	13	Excess	
PUF	DG01210		1						35L		Port B	

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Comments	
11/16/00											
EVENT 13											
AIRSENSE	DG01301	X									TOTAL
THC	DG01302	X									TOTAL
M-18	DG01303		1				25	1			TOTAL
M-18	DG01304			1			25	2			TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG01305		1				25	3			TOTAL
M-18 by MS	DG01306			1			25	4			TOTAL
EXCESS							25	5			Excess
NIOSH 1500	DG01307		1				500	6			TOTAL Orbo 32L
EXCESS							500	7			Excess
NIOSH 2002	DG01308		1				500	8			TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS							500	9			Excess
TO11	DG01309		1				1000	10			TOTAL
EXCESS							1000	11			Excess
Moisture			1				500	12			
Excess							5000	13			Excess
PUF	DG01310		1				35L				Port B
M-18	DG01311					X	25				BOTTLE - Mix 1A
M-18	DG01312					X	25				BOTTLE - Mix 1A
M-18	DG01313					X	25				TRAIN - Mix 1A
M-18	DG01314					X	25				TRAIN - Mix 1A
TO11	DG01315					X	500				BOTTLE - Mix 2
TO11	DG01316					X	500				BOTTLE - Mix 2
TO11	DG01317					X	500				TRAIN - Mix 2
TO11	DG01318					X	500				TRAIN - Mix 2

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	
12/26/00								
EVENT 14								
AIRSENSE	DG01401							TOTAL
THC	DG01402	X						TOTAL
NIOSH 1500	DG01403		1				200	1 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01404		1				200	2 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01405		1				200	3 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01406		1				200	4 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
GAS,CO + CO2	DG01407		1				60	5 Bag sample to Airtoxics
NIOSH 1500	DG01408		1				200	6 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01409		1				200	7 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01410		1				200	8 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01411		1				200	9 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01412		1				200	10 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01413		1				200	11 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
Moisture			1				500	12
Excess							5000	13 Excess
PUF	DG01414		1				35L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Comments	
12/26/00												
EVENT 15												
AIRSENSE	DG01501										TOTAL	
THC	DG01502	X									TOTAL	
NIOSH 1500	DG01503		1						200	1	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01504		1						200	2	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01505		1						200	3	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01506		1						200	4	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
GAS,CO + CO2	DG01507		1						60	5	Bag sample to Airtoxics	
NIOSH 1500	DG01508		1						200	6	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01509		1						200	7	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01510		1						200	8	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01511		1						200	9	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01512		1						200	10	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
NIOSH 1500	DG01513		1						200	11	TOTAL - Orbo 32small	
Moisture			1						500	12		
Excess									5000	13	Excess	
PUF	DG01514		1						35L		Port B	

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	
12/26/00								
EVENT 16								
AIRSENSE	DG01601							TOTAL
THC	DG01602	X						TOTAL
NIOSH 1500	DG01603		1				200	1 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01604		1				200	2 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01605		1				200	3 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01606		1				200	4 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
GAS,CO + CO2	DG01607		1				60	5 Bag sample to Airtoxics
NIOSH 1500	DG01608		1				200	6 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01609		1				200	7 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01610		1				200	8 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01611		1				200	9 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01612		1				200	10 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01613		1				200	11 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
Moisture			1				500	12
Excess							5000	13 Excess
PUF	DG01614		1				35L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data										Comments
12/26/00												
EVENT 17												
AIRSENSE	DG01701											TOTAL
THC	DG01702	X										TOTAL
NIOSH 1500	DG01703		1					200	1			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01704		1					200	2			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01705		1					200	3			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01706		1					200	4			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
GAS,CO + CO2	DG01707		1					60	5			Bag sample to Airtoxics
NIOSH 1500	DG01708		1					200	6			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01709		1					200	7			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01710		1					200	8			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01711		1					200	9			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01712		1					200	10			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01713		1					200	11			TOTAL - Orbo 32small
Moisture			1					500	12			
Excess								5000	13			Excess
PUF	DG01714		1					35L				Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	
12/27/00								
EVENT 18								
AIRSENSE	DG01801							TOTAL
THC	DG01802	X						TOTAL
NIOSH 1500	DG01803		1				200	1 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01804		1				200	2 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01805		1				200	3 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01806		1				200	4 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
GAS,CO + CO2	DG01807		1				60	5 Bag sample to Airtoxics
NIOSH 1500	DG01808		1				200	6 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01809		1				200	7 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01810		1				200	8 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01811		1				200	9 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01812		1				200	10 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01813		1				200	11 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
Moisture			1				500	12
Excess							5000	13 Excess
PUF	DG01814		1				35L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments	
		Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	Duplicate	
12/27/00									
EVENT 19									
AIRSENSE	DG01901								TOTAL
THC	DG01902	X							TOTAL
NIOSH 1500	DG01903		1			200	1		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01904		1			200	2		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01905		1			200	3		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01906		1			200	4		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
GAS,CO + CO2	DG01907		1			60	5		Bag sample to Airtoxics
NIOSH 1500	DG01908		1			200	6		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01909		1			200	7		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01910		1			200	8		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01911		1			200	9		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01912		1			200	10		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG01913		1			200	11		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
Moisture			1			500	12		
Excess						5000	13		Excess
PUF	DG01914		1			35L			Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	
12/27/00								
EVENT 20								
AIRSENSE	DG02001							TOTAL
THC	DG02002	X						TOTAL
NIOSH 1500	DG02003		1				200	1 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02004		1				200	2 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02005		1				200	3 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02006		1				200	4 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
GAS,CO + CO2	DG02007		1				60	5 Bag sample to Airtoxics
NIOSH 1500	DG02008		1				200	6 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02009		1				200	7 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02010		1				200	8 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02011		1				200	9 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02012		1				200	10 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02013		1				200	11 TOTAL - Orbo 32small
Moisture			1				500	12
Excess							5000	13 Excess
PUF	DG02014		1				35L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments	
		Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	Duplicate	
12/27/00									
EVENT 21									
AIRSENSE	DG02101								TOTAL
THC	DG02102	X							TOTAL
NIOSH 1500	DG02103		1			200	1		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02104		1			200	2		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02105		1			200	3		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02106		1			200	4		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
GAS,CO + CO2	DG02107		1			60	5		Bag sample to Airtoxics
NIOSH 1500	DG02108		1			200	6		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02109		1			200	7		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02110		1			200	8		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02111		1			200	9		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02112		1			200	10		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
NIOSH 1500	DG02113		1			200	11		TOTAL - Orbo 32small
Moisture			1			500	12		
Excess						5000	13		Excess
PUF	DG02114		1			35L			Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #								Comments
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	Duplicate	
Data	Sample								
4/17/01									No-Bake Iron, 1.1% Resin
EVENT 1									
THC	EG00101	X							M-25a
M-18 by MS	EG00102		1					20	1 TOTAL
M-18 by MS	EG00103			1				0	Manifold Blank (M-18 by MS)
M-18	EG00104		1					25	2 TOTAL
M-18	EG00105			1				25	3 TOTAL
M-18	EG00106				1			0	Manifold Blank (M-18)
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00107		1					60	4 Tedlar Bag
Excess								200	5 excess
NIOSH 1500	EG00108		1					500	6 SKC 226-01
NIOSH 1500	EG00109			1				500	7 SKC 226-01
NIOSH 1500	EG00110				1			0	Manifold Blank (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 2002	EG00111		1					500	8 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
NIOSH 2002	EG00112			1				500	9 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
NIOSH 2002	EG00113				1			0	Manifold Blank (SKC 226-15)
TO11	EG00114		1					1000	10 TOTAL
TO11	EG00115			1				1000	11 TOTAL
TO11	EG00116				1			0	Manifold Blank
Moisture			1					500	12
Excess								5000	13 excess
PUF	EG001		1					15L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION DG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Comments	
											Comments	Comments
4/17/01												
EVENT 2												
THC	EG00201	X									M-25a	
M-18 by MS	EG00202		1						20	1	TOTAL	
M-18	EG00203		1						25	2	TOTAL	
M-18	EG00204				1				25	2	TOTAL	
EXCESS									25	3	Excess	
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00205		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag	
EXCESS									200	5	Excess	
NIOSH 1500	EG00206		1						500	6	TOTAL (SKC 226-01)	
EXCESS									500	7	Excess	
NIOSH 2002	EG00207		1						500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)	
EXCESS									500	9	Excess	
TO11	EG00208		1						1000	10	TOTAL	
TO11	EG00209				1				1000	10	TOTAL	
EXCESS									1000	11	Excess	
Moisture			1						500	12		
Excess									5000	13	Excess	
PUF	EG002		1						15L		Port B	

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Breakthrough	Blank	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (mL/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/17/01											No-Bake Iron, 1.1% Resin
EVENT 3											
THC	EG00301	X									M-25a
M-18 by MS	EG00302		1						20	1	TOTAL
M-18	EG00303		1						25	2	TOTAL
EXCESS									25	3	Excess
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00304		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
EXCESS									200	5	Excess
NIOSH 1500	EG00305		1						500	6	TOTAL (SKC 226-01)
EXCESS									500	7	Excess
NIOSH 2002	EG00306		1						500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS									500	9	Excess
TO11	EG00307		1						1000	10	TOTAL
EXCESS									1000	11	Excess
Moisture			1						500	12	
Excess									5000	13	Excess
PUF	EG003		1						15L		Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Blank	Duplicate	Sample	Comments
4/18/01						No-Bake Iron, 1.1% Resin
EVENT 4						
THC	EG00401	X				M-25a
M-18 by MS	EG00402		1			20 1 TOTAL
M-18	EG00403		1			25 2 TOTAL
EXCESS						25 3 Excess
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00404		1			60 4 Tedlar Bag
EXCESS						200 5 Excess
NIOSH 1500	EG00405		1			500 6 TOTAL (SKC 226-01)
EXCESS						500 7 Excess
NIOSH 2002	EG00406		1			500 8 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS						500 9 Excess
TO11	EG00407		1			1000 10 TOTAL
EXCESS						1000 11 Excess
Moisture			1			500 12
Excess						5000 13 Excess
PUF	EG004		1			15L Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Comments		
									Duplicate	Spike Duplicate	
4/18/01											No-Bake Iron, 1.1% Resin
EVENT 5											
THC	EG00501	X									M-25a
M-18 by MS	EG00502		1					20	1	TOTAL	
M-18	EG00503		1					25	2	TOTAL	
EXCESS								25	3	Excess	
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00504		1					60	4	Tedlar Bag	
EXCESS								200	5	Excess	
NIOSH 1500	EG00505		1					500	6	TOTAL (SKC 226-01)	
EXCESS								500	7	Excess	
NIOSH 2002	EG00506		1					500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)	
EXCESS								500	9	Excess	
TO11	EG00507		1					1000	10	TOTAL	
EXCESS								1000	11	Excess	
Moisture			1					500	12		
Excess								5000	13	Excess	
PUF	EG005		1					15L		Port B	

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Blank	Duplicate	Breakthrough	Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Comments	
4/18/01												
EVENT 6												
THC	EG00601	X									M-25a	
M-18 by MS	EG00602		1					20	1	TOTAL		
M-18	EG00603		1					25	2	TOTAL		
EXCESS								25	3	Excess		
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00604		1					60	4	Tediar Bag		
EXCESS								200	5	Excess		
NIOSH 1500	EG00605		1					500	6	TOTAL (SKC 226-01)		
EXCESS								500	7	Excess		
NIOSH 2002	EG00606		1					500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)		
EXCESS								500	9	Excess		
TO11	EG00607		1					1000	10	TOTAL		
EXCESS								1000	11	Excess		
Moisture			1					500	12			
Excess								5000	13	Excess		
PUF	EG006		1					15L		Port B		

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Train Channel	Flow (mL/min)	Comments		
4/19/01											
EVENT 7											
THC	EG00701	X								M-25a	
M-18 by MS	EG00702		1					20	1	TOTAL	
M-18	EG00703		1					25	2	TOTAL	
EXCESS								25	3	Excess	
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00704		1					60	4	Tedlar Bag	
EXCESS								200	5	Excess	
NIOSH 1500	EG00705		1					500	6	TOTAL (SKC 226-01)	
EXCESS								500	7	Excess	
NIOSH 2002	EG00706		1					500	8	TOTAL (SKC 226-15)	
EXCESS								500	9	Excess	
TO11	EG00707		1					1000	10	TOTAL	
EXCESS								1000	11	Excess	
Moisture			1					500	12		
Excess								5000	13	Excess	
PUF	EG007		1					15L		Port B	

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #							Comments
		Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Breakthrough	Blank	
4/19/01								
EVENT 8								
THC	EG00801	X						M-25a
M-18 by MS	EG00802		1				20	1 TOTAL
M-18	EG00803		1				25	2 TOTAL
EXCESS							25	3 Excess
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00804		1				60	4 Tedlar Bag
EXCESS							200	5 Excess
NIOSH 1500	EG00805		1				500	6 TOTAL (SKC 226-01)
EXCESS							500	7 Excess
NIOSH 2002	EG00806		1				500	8 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS							500	9 Excess
TO11	EG00807		1				1000	10 TOTAL
EXCESS							1000	11 Excess
Moisture			1				500	12
Excess							5000	13 Excess
PUF	EG008		1				15L	Port B

PRE-PRODUCTION EG SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Duplicate	Sample	Data	Comments		
					Train Channel	Flow (ml/min)	Spike Duplicate
4/19/01							
EVENT 9							
THC	EG00901	X					M-25a
M-18 by MS	EG00902		1			20	1 TOTAL
M-18	EG00903		1			25	2 TOTAL
EXCESS						25	3 Excess
Gas, CO+CO2	EG00904		1			60	4 Tedlar Bag
EXCESS						200	5 Excess
NIOSH 1500	EG00905		1			500	6 TOTAL (SKC 226-01)
EXCESS						500	7 Excess
NIOSH 2002	EG00906		1			500	8 TOTAL (SKC 226-15)
EXCESS						500	9 Excess
TO11	EG00907		1			1000	10 TOTAL
EXCESS						1000	11 Excess
Moisture			1			500	12
Excess						5000	13 Excess
PUF	EG009		1			15L	Port B
M-18	EG00908				X	25	BOTTLE - Mix 1A
M-18	EG00909				X	25	BOTTLE - Mix 1A

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix B Test Series DG and EG Detailed Results

Test Plan DG and EG Average Test Results with T-Statistics

Analytes	Test DG (Lb/Tn)	Test EG (Lb/Tn)	T-Statistic
TGOC (THC) as Propane	12.15	6.87	17.66
HC as Hexane	11.13	4.76	21.27
Sum of VOCs	4.06	1.80	11.42
Sum of HAPs	2.00	1.37	7.08
Sum of POMs	0.104	0.304	11.24
Individual Organic HAPs			
Phenol	0.942	0.618	6.10
o,m,p-Cresol	0.500	0.026	17.05
Benzene	0.299	0.290	1.08
Dimethylnaphthalenes	0.086	0.003	14.42
Toluene	0.056	0.048	3.81
o,m,p-Xylene	0.031	0.033	1.26
Formaldehyde	0.021	0.024	0.92
Naphthalene	ND	0.280	18.58
Other VOCs			
Dimethylphenols	1.07	0.042	23.38
Diethylbenzenes	0.299	0.068	3.12
Trimethylbenzenes	0.216	0.146	3.82
Tetradecane	0.141	0.002	11.13
Butylbenzenes	0.116	0.004	8.10
Dodecane	0.060	0.038	6.11
Indan	0.057	0.028	12.40
Undecane	0.033	ND	21.66
Ethyltoluenes	0.006	0.046	27.36
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	0.021	0.032	2.35
Other Analytes			
Condensables	0.800	1.66	18.64
Acetone	0.001	0.003	2.22
Carbon Monoxide	4.18	ND	28.65
Methane	0.590	ND	25.17
Carbon Dioxide	59.3	37.7	15.91

Individual results constitute >95% of the mass of all detected VOCs.

ND: Non Detect.

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of HAPs or VOCs.

Test Plan DG Individual Test Results – Lbs/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPS	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	DG005	DG006	DG007	DG008	DG009	DG010	DG011	DG012	DG013	AVERAGE	STDEV
		Pour Date	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/16/00	11/16/00		
		THC as Propane	1.25E+01	1.09E+01	1.35E+01	1.26E+01	1.23E+01	1.23E+01	1.17E+01	I	1.15E+01	1.22E+01	7.85E-01
		HC as Hexane	1.06E+01	9.92E+00	1.27E+01	1.16E+01	1.10E+01	1.14E+01	1.10E+01	I	1.07E+01	1.11E+01	8.21E-01
		Sum of VOCs	4.08E+00	3.95E+00	4.83E+00	3.33E+00	4.40E+00	4.62E+00	3.49E+00	I	3.80E+00	4.06E+00	5.28E-01
		Sum of HAPs	1.80E+00	2.01E+00	2.28E+00	1.72E+00	2.28E+00	2.10E+00	1.93E+00	I	1.88E+00	2.00E+00	2.09E-01
		Sum of POMs	1.11E-01	9.14E-02	1.13E-01	8.12E-02	1.24E-01	1.31E-01	8.32E-02	I	9.43E-02	1.04E-01	1.89E-02
		Individual HAPs and VOCs											
	z	Phenol	7.56E-01	1.01E+00	1.10E+00	8.32E-01	1.13E+00	9.46E-01	9.39E-01	I	8.34E-01	9.42E-01	1.32E-01
	z	m,p-Cresol	4.53E-01	4.10E-01	5.25E-01	3.47E-01	4.97E-01	5.10E-01	4.17E-01	I	4.78E-01	4.55E-01	6.02E-02
	z	Benzene	2.85E-01	2.86E-01	2.71E-01	3.10E-01	3.10E-01	3.18E-01	3.14E-01	I	2.94E-01	2.99E-01	1.68E-02
	z	Toluene	5.12E-02	5.02E-02	6.53E-02	5.33E-02	5.65E-02	5.78E-02	5.84E-02	I	5.17E-02	5.56E-02	5.02E-03
x	z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	4.80E-02	4.35E-02	4.80E-02	3.85E-02	5.31E-02	5.57E-02	3.75E-02	I	4.23E-02	4.58E-02	6.55E-03
	z	o-Cresol	3.56E-02	6.83E-02	8.54E-02	1.31E-02	5.46E-02	6.11E-02	2.74E-02	I	2.05E-02	4.58E-02	2.55E-02
	z	m,p-Xylene	2.27E-02	2.20E-02	2.77E-02	2.14E-02	2.31E-02	2.55E-02	2.33E-02	I	2.13E-02	2.34E-02	2.20E-03
	z	Formaldehyde	3.17E-02	2.03E-02	2.47E-02	1.37E-02	2.65E-02	9.42E-03	9.66E-03	I	2.83E-02	2.05E-02	8.67E-03
x	z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.98E-02	1.66E-02	2.05E-02	1.34E-02	2.22E-02	2.42E-02	1.52E-02	I	1.62E-02	1.85E-02	3.72E-03
	z	Aniline	1.57E-02	1.94E-02	2.46E-02	1.57E-02	1.64E-02	I	1.88E-02	I	1.89E-02	1.85E-02	3.13E-03
	z	Styrene	1.40E-02	1.38E-02	1.58E-02	1.26E-02	1.48E-02	1.52E-02	1.47E-02	I	1.32E-02	1.43E-02	1.05E-03
x	z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.41E-02	1.26E-02	1.46E-02	1.04E-02	1.63E-02	1.69E-02	1.07E-02	I	1.20E-02	1.35E-02	2.45E-03
x	z	2-Methylnaphthalene	1.25E-02	1.10E-02	1.26E-02	9.23E-03	1.40E-02	1.39E-02	9.27E-03	I	1.06E-02	1.16E-02	1.90E-03
	z	o-Xylene	7.78E-03	7.42E-03	9.23E-03	7.41E-03	8.12E-03	8.75E-03	8.07E-03	I	7.42E-03	8.03E-03	6.71E-04
x	z	1-Methylnaphthalene	6.69E-03	5.84E-03	6.94E-03	5.13E-03	7.90E-03	8.06E-03	5.28E-03	I	5.85E-03	6.46E-03	1.12E-03
	z	Ethylbenzene	5.76E-03	5.97E-03	5.87E-03	5.03E-03	6.78E-03	7.40E-03	6.46E-03	I	5.33E-03	6.07E-03	7.76E-04
x	z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.37E-03	ND	7.00E-03	4.48E-03	7.12E-03	8.10E-03	5.20E-03	I	5.34E-03	5.45E-03	2.50E-03
	z	Biphenyl	4.88E-03	4.01E-03	4.78E-03	3.53E-03	5.17E-03	5.31E-03	3.53E-03	I	3.95E-03	4.40E-03	7.23E-04
	z	Acetaldehyde	I	3.84E-03	3.27E-03	3.68E-03	6.77E-03	2.89E-03	2.70E-03	I	5.38E-03	4.08E-03	1.48E-03
x	z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.22E-03	1.80E-03	3.63E-03	ND	3.82E-03	4.28E-03	ND	I	1.88E-03	2.33E-03	1.68E-03

Test Plan DG Individual Test Results – Lbs/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPS	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	DG005	DG006	DG007	DG008	DG009	DG010	DG011	DG012	DG013	AVERAGE	STDEV
		Pour Date	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/16/00	11/16/00		
	Z	Acrolein	1.12E-03	8.85E-04	1.38E-03	7.09E-04	1.08E-03	3.94E-04	5.56E-04	I	1.28E-03	9.25E-04	3.51E-04
	Z	Propionaldehyde	I	7.83E-04	8.34E-04	6.44E-04	1.01E-03	5.11E-04	4.95E-04	I	8.80E-04	7.37E-04	1.93E-04
	Z	2-Butanone	ND	1.82E-04	6.86E-04	5.93E-04	ND	9.42E-04	I	I	ND	3.43E-04	3.91E-04
	Z	Hexane	2.35E-03	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	2.93E-04	8.30E-04
X	Z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
X	Z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
X	Z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
X	Z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
	Z	Cumene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
X	Z	Naphthalene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
	Z	N,N-Dimethylaniline	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	N/A	N/A
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	1.11E+00	1.01E+00	1.28E+00	9.58E-01	1.13E+00	1.15E+00	9.20E-01	I	9.66E-01	1.07E+00	1.24E-01
		1,2-Diethylbenzene	4.40E-01	2.36E-01	5.65E-01	7.77E-03	2.60E-01	5.24E-01	7.68E-03	I	2.57E-01	2.87E-01	2.13E-01
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.51E-01	1.39E-01	1.69E-01	1.30E-01	1.51E-01	1.46E-01	1.24E-01	I	1.26E-01	1.42E-01	1.52E-02
		Tetradecane	9.51E-02	1.72E-01	1.86E-01	1.53E-01	1.03E-01	1.05E-01	1.44E-01	I	1.67E-01	1.41E-01	3.52E-02
		Isobutylbenzene	1.44E-01	1.31E-01	6.73E-02	6.48E-02	1.52E-01	1.52E-01	1.32E-01	I	7.68E-02	1.15E-01	3.85E-02
		Dodecane	5.72E-02	5.09E-02	6.27E-02	5.29E-02	7.02E-02	7.22E-02	5.32E-02	I	5.78E-02	5.96E-02	8.01E-03
		Indan	5.68E-02	5.35E-02	6.73E-02	5.28E-02	5.87E-02	6.10E-02	5.24E-02	I	5.07E-02	5.67E-02	5.55E-03
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	4.02E-02	3.75E-02	4.64E-02	3.67E-02	4.57E-02	4.68E-02	4.08E-02	I	4.04E-02	4.18E-02	4.00E-03
		Undecane	3.38E-02	2.82E-02	3.83E-02	2.95E-02	3.46E-02	4.04E-02	3.00E-02	I	3.13E-02	3.33E-02	4.34E-03
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	5.51E-02	ND	ND	2.55E-02	ND	1.32E-01	ND	I	4.51E-02	3.23E-02	4.61E-02
		Indene	3.84E-02	3.60E-02	2.07E-02	1.24E-02	3.89E-02	2.17E-02	2.76E-02	I	2.62E-02	2.77E-02	9.49E-03
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolien	3.14E-02	2.21E-02	I	1.82E-02	1.93E-02	1.74E-02	1.38E-02	I	2.62E-02	2.12E-02	5.94E-03
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	ND	ND	1.35E-02	4.64E-02	ND	1.34E-02	ND	I	2.15E-02	1.19E-02	1.63E-02
		1,3-Diisopropylbenzene	9.80E-03	4.29E-03	9.29E-03	8.11E-03	9.21E-03	9.49E-03	8.42E-03	I	8.10E-03	8.34E-03	1.76E-03
		Tridecane	6.87E-03	7.66E-03	6.97E-03	5.53E-03	8.30E-03	7.95E-03	5.37E-03	I	6.27E-03	6.86E-03	1.09E-03
		2-Ethyltoluene	3.61E-03	5.13E-03	9.52E-03	3.12E-03	1.07E-02	6.65E-03	4.01E-03	I	5.78E-03	6.06E-03	2.76E-03

Test Plan DG Individual Test Results – Lbs/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPS	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	DG005	DG006	DG007	DG008	DG009	DG010	DG011	DG012	DG013	AVERAGE	STDEV
		Pour Date	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/16/00	11/16/00		
		Benzaldehyde	5.63E-03	4.09E-03	5.84E-03	2.99E-03	4.62E-03	2.66E-03	2.52E-03	I	5.42E-03	4.22E-03	1.37E-03
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	4.16E-03	2.96E-03	3.42E-03	1.96E-03	3.46E-03	1.71E-03	1.62E-03	I	3.65E-03	2.87E-03	9.75E-04
		Decane	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.11E-02	ND	ND	I	ND	1.38E-03	3.91E-03
		sec-Butylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.02E-03	2.93E-03	ND	I	1.49E-03	9.30E-04	1.36E-03
		Pentanal	ND	ND	7.92E-04	ND	3.28E-03	2.75E-04	ND	I	ND	5.44E-04	1.14E-03
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		1,4-Diethylbenzene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		2,3,5-Trimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		2,3-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		2,4,6-Trimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		2,5-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		3,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		3,5-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		3-Ethyltoluene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		4-Ethyltoluene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
x		Acenaphthalene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		a-Methylstyrene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		Anthracene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		Butylbenzene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	I	ND	N/A	N/A
		Cyclohexane	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		Heptane	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		Hexaldehyde	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		Nonane	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		n-Propylbenzene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		Octane	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
		p-Cymene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						

Test Plan DG Individual Test Results – Lbs/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPS	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	DG005	DG006	DG007	DG008	DG009	DG010	DG011	DG012	DG013	AVERAGE	STDEV
		Pour Date	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/16/00	11/16/00		
		tert-Butylbenzene	ND	I	ND	N/A	N/A						
Other Analytes													
		Acetone	I	1.24E-03	5.64E-04	1.72E-03	1.77E-03	1.70E-03	1.52E-03	I	1.45E-03	1.42E-03	4.21E-04
		Condensables	NT	8.85E-01	I	7.87E-01	8.08E-01	9.10E-01	6.73E-01	7.12E-01	8.26E-01	8.00E-01	8.56E-02

I: Data was rejected based on data validation considerations.

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the sum of HAPs or VOCs.

N/A: Not Applicable; NT: Not Tested; ND: Non Detect

Test Plan DG Individual Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal						
COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	DG018	DG019	DG020	DG021	AVERAGE	STDEV
Pour Date	12/27/00	12/27/00	12/27/00	12/27/00		
Other Analytes (continued)						
Carbon Monoxide	3.74E+00	4.71E+00	4.27E+00	4.02E+00	4.18E+00	4.13E-01
Methane	5.50E-01	6.40E-01	6.52E-01	5.17E-01	5.90E-01	6.63E-02
Carbon Dioxide	6.05E+01	6.11E+01	6.14E+01	5.42E+01	5.93E+01	3.40E+00
Ethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	N/A
Propane	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	N/A
Isobutane	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	N/A
Butane	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	N/A
Neopentane	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	N/A
Isopentane	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	N/A
Pentane	ND	ND	ND	ND	N/A	N/A

I: Data was rejected based on data validation considerations.

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the sum of HAPs or VOCs.

N/A: Not Applicable; NT: Not Tested; ND: Non Detect

Test Plan EG Individual Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	EG001	EG002	EG003	EG004	EG005	EG006	EG007	EG008	EG009	Average	STDEV
		Pour Dates	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/18/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01		
		TGOC (THC) as Propane	6.29E+00	7.31E+00	6.76E+00	6.63E+00	7.35E+00	6.95E+00	6.65E+00	6.98E+00	6.88E+00	6.87E+00	3.35E-01
		HC as Hexane	4.43E+00	5.02E+00	4.41E+00	4.76E+00	5.00E+00	4.82E+00	4.74E+00	4.91E+00	4.79E+00	4.76E+00	2.18E-01
		Sum of VOCs	1.70E+00	2.04E+00	1.74E+00	1.73E+00	1.87E+00	1.54E+00	1.52E+00	2.06E+00	1.97E+00	1.80E+00	2.00E-01
		Sum of HAPs	1.29E+00	1.53E+00	1.35E+00	1.32E+00	1.40E+00	1.16E+00	1.18E+00	1.58E+00	1.50E+00	1.37E+00	1.50E-01
		Sum of POMs	3.09E-01	3.67E-01	2.99E-01	2.85E-01	3.33E-01	2.28E-01	2.31E-01	3.57E-01	3.27E-01	3.04E-01	4.95E-02
		Individual HAPs and VOCs											
	x	Phenol	5.55E-01	6.94E-01	6.05E-01	5.97E-01	6.29E-01	5.16E-01	5.44E-01	7.47E-01	6.76E-01	6.18E-01	7.62E-02
	x	Benzene	2.96E-01	2.98E-01	2.95E-01	2.89E-01	2.75E-01	2.67E-01	2.68E-01	3.02E-01	3.18E-01	2.90E-01	1.69E-02
z	x	Naphthalene	2.71E-01	3.36E-01	2.74E-01	2.62E-01	3.09E-01	2.15E-01	2.16E-01	3.35E-01	3.07E-01	2.80E-01	4.53E-02
	x	Toluene	4.78E-02	4.76E-02	4.81E-02	4.78E-02	4.53E-02	4.33E-02	4.45E-02	5.18E-02	5.30E-02	4.77E-02	3.18E-03
	x	o-Cresol	2.26E-02	2.96E-02	2.92E-02	2.69E-02	2.05E-02	1.67E-02	2.36E-02	3.29E-02	2.93E-02	2.57E-02	5.20E-03
	x	Formaldehyde	1.17E-02	3.39E-02	1.82E-02	1.70E-02	3.25E-02	3.10E-02	1.69E-02	2.90E-02	2.88E-02	2.43E-02	8.30E-03
	x	m,p-Xylene	2.38E-02	2.48E-02	2.47E-02	2.33E-02	2.30E-02	2.20E-02	2.19E-02	2.51E-02	2.58E-02	2.38E-02	1.39E-03
z	x	2-Methylnaphthalene	1.79E-02	1.69E-02	1.33E-02	1.26E-02	1.37E-02	8.27E-03	8.04E-03	1.43E-02	1.29E-02	1.31E-02	3.33E-03
	x	o-Xylene	9.26E-03	9.47E-03	9.28E-03	9.17E-03	8.81E-03	8.30E-03	8.36E-03	9.42E-03	9.69E-03	9.08E-03	4.90E-04
	x	Acetaldehyde	3.98E-03	1.20E-02	6.02E-03	5.28E-03	9.96E-03	9.76E-03	5.44E-03	8.82E-03	9.39E-03	7.85E-03	2.72E-03
z	x	1-Methylnaphthalene	1.06E-02	9.24E-03	7.45E-03	7.00E-03	7.31E-03	4.78E-03	4.66E-03	7.91E-03	7.21E-03	7.36E-03	1.90E-03
	x	Styrene	7.19E-03	7.52E-03	7.24E-03	7.00E-03	6.80E-03	6.33E-03	6.35E-03	7.64E-03	7.46E-03	7.06E-03	4.82E-04
	x	Ethylbenzene	2.87E-03	2.79E-03	2.69E-03	2.81E-03	2.56E-03	2.52E-03	2.41E-03	3.08E-03	2.93E-03	2.74E-03	2.14E-04
z	x	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.98E-03	4.82E-03	3.80E-03	3.39E-03	3.71E-03	ND	2.14E-03	ND	ND	2.65E-03	2.24E-03
	x	Acrolein	9.67E-04	3.50E-03	1.81E-03	1.47E-03	3.16E-03	3.15E-03	1.45E-03	3.33E-03	3.91E-03	2.53E-03	1.09E-03
	x	Hexane	2.10E-03	1.46E-03	1.78E-03	2.21E-03	2.05E-03	2.12E-03	4.29E-03	I	3.50E-03	2.44E-03	9.52E-04
	x	Propionaldehyde	7.91E-04	2.47E-03	1.21E-03	9.91E-04	2.01E-03	2.01E-03	1.07E-03	1.90E-03	2.03E-03	1.61E-03	5.93E-04
	x	Aniline	ND	ND	2.48E-03	3.69E-03	2.76E-03	ND	ND	2.41E-03	2.50E-03	1.54E-03	1.51E-03
z	x	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.93E-03	ND	4.37E-04	1.31E-03							
	x	2-Butanone	5.58E-04	ND	6.82E-04	6.77E-04	ND	ND	2.30E-04	2.42E-04	2.91E-04	2.98E-04	2.81E-04
z	x	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								

Test Plan EG Individual Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	EG001	EG002	EG003	EG004	EG005	EG006	EG007	EG008	EG009	Average	STDEV
		Pour Dates	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/18/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01		
z	x	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								
z	x	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								
z	x	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								
z	x	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								
z	x	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								
z	x	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								
z	x	Acenaphthalene	ND	NA	NA								
	x	Biphenyl	ND	NA	NA								
	x	Cumene	ND	NA	NA								
	x	m,p-Cresol	ND	NA	NA								
	x	N,N-Dimethylaniline	ND	NA	NA								
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	8.60E-02	9.55E-02	8.43E-02	8.28E-02	9.23E-02	7.64E-02	7.53E-02	9.26E-02	9.04E-02	8.62E-02	7.20E-03
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	6.19E-02	6.63E-02	5.71E-02	5.86E-02	6.40E-02	5.50E-02	5.44E-02	6.13E-02	6.08E-02	5.99E-02	4.00E-03
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	5.33E-02	6.08E-02	5.56E-02	5.25E-02	5.64E-02	4.59E-02	4.71E-02	5.99E-02	5.76E-02	5.44E-02	5.20E-03
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	3.74E-02	4.62E-02	4.01E-02	3.70E-02	4.16E-02	3.17E-02	3.19E-02	4.67E-02	4.33E-02	3.95E-02	5.55E-03
		Dodecane	3.60E-02	4.48E-02	I	3.36E-02	4.22E-02	3.00E-02	3.05E-02	4.53E-02	4.23E-02	3.81E-02	6.31E-03
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	1.37E-02	4.87E-02	2.37E-02	2.21E-02	4.12E-02	3.98E-02	1.97E-02	3.95E-02	3.90E-02	3.19E-02	1.21E-02
		Indan	2.87E-02	3.24E-02	2.90E-02	2.83E-02	3.14E-02	2.64E-02	2.57E-02	3.16E-02	3.04E-02	2.93E-02	2.34E-03
		3-Ethyltoluene	2.40E-02	2.54E-02	2.30E-02	2.27E-02	2.52E-02	2.15E-02	2.06E-02	2.33E-02	2.38E-02	2.33E-02	1.56E-03
		1,2-Diethylbenzene	1.48E-02	1.71E-02	1.60E-02	1.51E-02	1.42E-02	1.22E-02	I	1.58E-02	1.59E-02	1.51E-02	1.46E-03
		2-Ethyltoluene	1.19E-02	1.29E-02	1.16E-02	1.14E-02	1.27E-02	1.07E-02	1.06E-02	1.35E-02	1.32E-02	1.21E-02	1.09E-03
		4-Ethyltoluene	1.13E-02	1.24E-02	1.10E-02	1.08E-02	1.20E-02	1.02E-02	9.72E-03	1.14E-02	1.14E-02	1.11E-02	8.28E-04
		n-Propylbenzene	7.77E-03	8.41E-03	7.34E-03	7.92E-03	8.02E-03	6.83E-03	6.67E-03	7.45E-03	7.89E-03	7.59E-03	5.68E-04
		Tridecane	4.40E-03	7.12E-03	5.97E-03	5.47E-03	6.74E-03	3.22E-03	3.05E-03	7.18E-03	6.46E-03	5.51E-03	1.60E-03
		Benzaldehyde	2.20E-03	5.42E-03	3.25E-03	3.03E-03	4.60E-03	4.79E-03	2.65E-03	4.30E-03	4.23E-03	3.83E-03	1.09E-03
		Heptane	3.24E-03	4.05E-03	3.62E-03	4.33E-03	3.48E-03	3.03E-03	3.75E-03	4.24E-03	4.41E-03	3.79E-03	4.96E-04
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	ND	7.45E-03	7.79E-03	ND	ND	ND	7.75E-03	2.55E-03	3.83E-03
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	1.61E-03	3.21E-03	2.38E-03	1.94E-03	3.28E-03	2.75E-03	2.00E-03	2.65E-03	2.52E-03	2.48E-03	5.64E-04

Test Plan EG Individual Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	EG001	EG002	EG003	EG004	EG005	EG006	EG007	EG008	EG009	Average	STDEV
		Pour Dates	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/18/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01		
		Tetradecane	1.65E-03	3.82E-03	3.13E-03	3.00E-03	3.51E-03	ND	ND	ND	3.47E-03	2.06E-03	1.66E-03
		Isobutylbenzene	2.91E-03	3.33E-03	3.00E-03	2.83E-03	3.12E-03	ND	ND	ND	3.12E-03	2.03E-03	1.53E-03
		sec-Butylbenzene	2.75E-03	3.04E-03	2.71E-03	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.00E-03	2.93E-03	1.60E-03	1.52E-03
		Hexaldehyde	6.12E-04	2.39E-03	1.11E-03	9.68E-04	1.98E-03	1.85E-03	7.73E-04	2.03E-03	2.01E-03	1.53E-03	6.55E-04
		Pentanal	8.77E-04	1.82E-03	1.39E-03	1.14E-03	1.67E-03	1.69E-03	1.30E-03	1.69E-03	1.43E-03	1.45E-03	3.07E-04
		Crotonaldehyde	2.22E-04	4.69E-04	3.10E-04	2.48E-04	4.59E-04	4.34E-04	2.45E-04	4.17E-04	4.04E-04	3.56E-04	9.97E-05
		Decane	1.37E-03	I	ND	1.71E-04	4.83E-04						
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	NA	NA								
		1,3-Diisopropylbenzene	ND	NA	NA								
		1,4-Diethylbenzene	ND	NA	NA								
		2,3,5-Trimethylphenol	ND	NA	NA								
		2,3-Dimethylphenol	ND	NA	NA								
		2,4,6-Trimethylphenol	ND	NA	NA								
		2,5-Dimethylphenol	ND	NA	NA								
		3,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	NA	NA								
		3,5-Dimethylphenol	ND	NA	NA								
		a-Methylstyrene	ND	NA	NA								
		Anthracene	ND	NA	NA								
		Butylbenzene	ND	NA	NA								
		Cyclohexane	ND	NA	NA								
		Indene	ND	NA	NA								
		Nonane	ND	NA	NA								
		Octane	ND	NA	NA								
		p-Cymene	ND	NA	NA								
		tert-Butylbenzene	ND	NA	NA								
		Undecane	ND	NA	NA								
		Other Analytes											
		Condensables	I	1.77E+00	1.67E+00	1.67E+00	1.62E+00	1.79E+00	1.55E+00	1.73E+00	1.49E+00	1.66E+00	1.05E-01

TECHNIKON# RV100127EG
12 July 2001

Test Plan EG Individual Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal													
POMs	HAPs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	EG001	EG002	EG003	EG004	EG005	EG006	EG007	EG008	EG009	Average	STDEV
		Pour Dates	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/18/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01		
		Acetone	1.76E-03	3.25E-03	2.07E-03	1.66E-03	2.11E-03	2.11E-03	1.46E-03	5.79E-03	I	2.53E-03	1.42E-03
		Carbon Monoxide	ND	I	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA

Methane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						
Carbon Dioxide	4.00E+01	I	3.49E+01	3.94E+01	3.93E+01	3.85E+01	3.63E+01	3.57E+01	3.73E+01	3.77E+01	1.92E+00
Ethane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						
Propane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						
Isobutane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						
Butane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						
Neopentane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						
Isopentane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						
Pentane	ND	I	ND	NA	NA						

I: Data rejected due to data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable; ND: Non Detect

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of HAPs or VOCs.

Appendix C Test Series DG and EG Detailed Process and Source Data

Test DG Process and Source Data																									
Description	DG001	DG002	DG003	DG004	DG005	DG006	DG007	DG008	DG009	DG010	DG011	DG012	DG013	DG014	DG015	DG016	DG017	DG018	DG019	DG020	DG021	Average of DG001-021	Average for Report		
	11/13/00	11/13/00	11/13/00	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/14/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/15/00	11/16/00	11/16/00	11/16/00	12/26/01	12/26/01	12/26/01	12/26/01	12/27/00	12/27/00	12/27/00	12/27/00			
Casting Metal Weight, lbs. (Note 1)	132	130	111	134	136	138	123	130	129	132	134	132	131	124	-	-	138	133	127	124	136	130	131		
Total No Bake Mold Weight, lbs.	332	333	339	326	329	332	334	333	334	334	332	330	333	332	327	-	327	325	324	314	321	330	332		
Total Binder Weight including catalyst, lbs	3.813	3.824	3.893	4.003	4.040	4.076	4.101	3.775	3.786	3.786	3.763	3.822	3.857	3.821	3.763	-	3.763	3.646	3.634	3.522	3.601	3.814	3.869		
No. Cavities Poured (four-on gears)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	-	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		
No Bake Mold LOI, % 1400°F	1.24	1.23	1.17	1.49	1.25	1.39	1.23	1.52	1.68	1.35	1.46	ND	1.64	-	-	-	0.99	1.16	0.97	1.22	1.31	1.41			
Pouring Temperature, °F	2640	2638	2635	2626	2636	2640	2622	2636	2638	2658	2631	2628	2636	2632	2636	-	2626	2622	2652	2622	2640	2635	2639		
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 30 min., psi	115.00	109.67	86.67	102.67	123.67	103.67	87.00	129	82.83	103.00	78.67	85.50	129.67	-	-	-	35.83	84.67	72.50	89.83	95.29	102.46			
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 2 hrs, psi	151.00	147.83	145.00	209.67	198.33	200.33	203.67	237.33	200.50	242.00	212.17	256.50	234.17	-	-	-	95.17	145.33	124.83	122.83	183.92	208.20			
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 24 hrs, psi	181.17	182.17	196.67	274.83	239.33	221.17	239.00	200.67	179.17	260.17	186.67	241.17	240.33	-	-	-	207.50	207.00	191.67	163.83	212.50	219.28			
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 24 hrs at 90% RH, psi	61.50	61.00	62.00	64.83	64.17	107.17	105.50	73.00	85.17	80.33	104.67	86.17	96.00	-	-	-	68.83	63.67	61.83	49.00	76.17	86.63			
Sand Flow Rate, lbs / 15 seconds	58.60	58.60	58.60	55.00	55.00	55.00	55.00	59.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	59.00	59.00	58.50	58.50	58.50	58.50	58.50	58.50	58.50	58.50	58.09	57.83		
(Resin + co-reactant), % BOS	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.14		
Resin, grams	160.40	160.40	160.40	161.90	161.90	161.90	161.90	163.50	163.50	163.50	163.50	161.50	161.50	162.90	162.90	162.90	156.90	156.90	156.90	156.90	161.19	162.01			
Co-reactant, grams	137.20	137.20	137.20	137.10	137.10	137.10	137.10	134.50	134.50	134.50	134.50	140.40	140.40	136.10	136.10	136.10	134.00	134.00	134.00	134.00	136.15	135.97			
Catalyst, BO Resin, grams	11.50	11.50	11.50	11.40	11.40	11.40	11.40	11.70	11.70	11.70	11.70	12.00	12.00	10.20	10.20	10.20	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	11.11	11.49			
Total Binder, true % BO(Binder + Sand)	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.23	1.23	1.23	1.23	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.16	1.16	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.16	1.16			
Total (Resin + co-reactant), true % BO(Binder + sand)	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.18	1.18	1.18	1.18	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.11	1.12			
Average Stack Temperature, °F	-	-	-	-	105	109	111	97	104	107	108	97	101	99	101	-	-	99	106	111	111	104	105		
Total Moisture Content, %	-	-	-	-	0.94	0.98	0.94	0.78	0.78	0.89	0.97	0.74	0.79	0.92	0.97	-	-	0.86	0.92	0.92	0.9	0.89	0.89		
Average Stack Velocity, ft./sec.	-	-	-	-	15.90	15.90	15.90	16.20	15.80	16.40	16.40	15.70	15.80	15.70	15.80	-	-	15.70	15.80	15.90	16.40	15.95	16.01		
Avg. Stack Pressure, in. Hg	-	-	-	-	30.14	30.10	30.09	30.24	30.23	30.19	30.15	30.12	30.12	30.31	30.28	-	-	30.35	30.30	30.31	30.26	30.21	30.17		
Stack Flow Rate, scfm	-	-	-	-	697	693	693	727	699	719	718	703	700	702	701	-	-	703	698	695	718	704	705		

Binder = Resin + Co-reactant + Catalyst

BO = Based on ()

Binder fraction = binder including catalyst(lbs)/(sand + binder including catalyst(lbs)). Binder fraction x mold weight used in mold = Total Binder Weight including catalyst.

Example: $(0.6808/(58.6 + 0.6808)) = 0.0114$. $0.0114 \times 332 = 3.785$ (lbs binder per mold) 1.1% No Bake resin DG001-013

Example: $(0.6637/(58.5 + 0.6637)) = 0.0112$. $0.0112 \times 324 = 3.635$ (lbs binder per mold) 1.1% No Bake resin DG018-021

NOTE 1: Casting metal used is Iron. Rebar hangers excluded from casting weight.

NOTE 2: Dog Bone Tensile Strength values are the average of six samples.

NOTE 3: No stack data for tests DG001-004 was recorded, therefore, these tests will not be used for comparisons.

NOTE 4: Test pours DG014 and DG017 were run-outs. DG015, no hangers were installed and DG016 was mfr'd incorrectly.

NOTE 5: Castings DG003, DG007, DG019 and DG020 had shorter than average pour sprues.

NOTE 6: Tests DG018, DG020 and DG021 will not be used in the comparison averages due to LOI and Dogbone tensile strength values, & THC variations

NOTE 7: Tests in bold type are used for the report comparison.

NOTE 8: DG012 will not be used because there is no LOI data.

Test EG Process and Source Data										
Description	EG001	EG002	EG003	EG004	EG005	EG006	EG007	EG008	EG009	Averages
	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/17/01	4/18/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	4/19/01	
Casting Metal Weight, lbs. (Note 1)	130	124	132	132	128	130	134	133	131	130
Total No Bake Mold Weight, lbs.	280	288	289	286	282	282	282	283	285	284
Total Binder Weight including catalyst, lbs	3.119	3.208	3.219	3.185	3.142	3.142	3.142	3.153	3.175	3.16
No. Cavities Poured (four-on gear)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
No Bake Mold LOI, % 1800°F (Note: 5 & 6)	1.01	0.92	0.85	0.95	0.89	0.87	0.88	0.92	0.87	0.91
Pouring Temperature, °F	2622	2626	2642	2638	2637	2626	2639	2629	2627	2632
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 30 min., psi	13	14	5	15	12	14	11	14	12	12
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 2 hrs, psi	39	47	41	38	36	54	41	34	34	40
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 24 hrs, psi	67	85	73	65	70	65	64	54	64	67
Dog Bone Tensile Strength 24 hrs at 90% RH, psi	19	24	27	18	18	20	28	17	20	21
Sand Flow Rate, lbs / 15 seconds	57.50	57.50	57.50	57.50	57.50	57.50	57.50	57.50	57.50	57.50
(Resin + co-reactant), % BOS	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13
(Resin + 4% Catalyst), grams (Note: 4)	165.90	165.90	165.90	165.90	166.00	166.00	166.00	166.00	166.00	165.96
Co-reactant, grams	128.12	128.12	128.12	128.12	128.12	128.12	128.12	128.12	128.12	128.12
Catalyst, BO Resin, grams (Note: 4)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Binder, true % BO(Binder + sand)	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11
Total (Resin + co-reactant), true % BO(Binder + sand) (Note 4)	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11
Average Stack Temperature, °F	105	112	121	108	104	110	115	115	115	112
Total Moisture Content, %	1.21	1.19	1.11	1.20	1.12	1.11	1.10	1.05	1.02	1.12
Average Stack Velocity, ft./sec.	15.30	15.70	15.30	16.20	15.90	16.00	16.10	16.10	15.80	15.8
Avg. Stack Pressure, in. Hg	30.18	30.15	30.10	29.95	29.97	29.96	29.95	29.90	29.85	30.00
Stack Flow Rate, scfm	672	679	651	702	694	691	687	687	675	682

BO = Based on ()

Binder = Resin + Co-reactant + Catalyst

Binder fraction = binder including catalyst(lbs)/(sand + binder including catalyst(lbs)). Binder fraction x mold weight used in mold = Total Binder Weight including catalyst.

Example: $(0.6476/(57.5+0.6476)) = 0.01113$. $0.01113 \times 280 = 3.1185$ (lbs binder per mold) 1.13 % No Bake resin EG001-009

NOTE 1: Casting metal used is Iron.

NOTE 2: Dog Bone Tensile Strength values are the average of six samples.

NOTE 3: EG001, two hangers and the downspur broke during shakeout and were sitting on the shakeout table for the duration of the test.

NOTE 4: Catalyst pump failed. Catalyst mixed with Part I @ 4%. Both Part I resin and Catalyst metered together.


NOTE 5: Volumetric calibration was acceptable therefore low LOI reflects actual lower organic content.

NOTE 6: Core LOI test temperature standard changed from 1400 to 1800°F with this test to conform with Rev. 3 of AFS Mold & Core Test Handbook per Quality Sub-committee directions.


NOTE 7: New tooling started with test DZ; Tooling is 1/8" short in cope and 1/4" short in drag. The Mold weight is 12 lbs short of standard.

Appendix D Method 25A Charts


DG005




DG006




DG007




DG008




DG009




DG010




DG011




DG013




Test Series EG - TGOC (THC) as Propane




EG001




EG002




EG003




EG004




EG005




EG006




EG007



EG008



EG009



Appendix E Validation Log (Available in Hard Copy Only)

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix F Listing of Support Documents

The following documents contain specific test results, procedures, and documentation used in support of this Test Plan

1. Casting Emission Reduction Program – Foundry Product Testing Guide: Reducing Emissions by Comparative Testing, May 4, 1998.
2. Technikon Emissions Testing and Analytical Testing Standard Operating Procedures.
3. Emission Baseline Test Results for the CERP Pre-Production Foundry Processes.
4. Evaluation of the Required Number of Replicate Tests to Provide Statistically Significant Air Emission Reduction Comparisons for the CERP Pre-Production Foundry Test Program.

Appendix G Glossary

t-Test	The calculated T statistic, Ts, is compared against a table value. The table value is a function of the sample size and on the level of confidence desired. For tests with nine sample values each, the T value associated with a confidence level of 95% is 2.12. Calculated values of Ts greater than or equal to this value would indicate that there is 95% or better probability that the differences between the two test series were not the result of test variability.
ND	Non Detect, No Data
NT	Lab testing was not done on this analyte.
HC as Hexane	Calculated by the summation of all area between elution of Hexane through the elution of Hexadecane. The quantity of HC is performed against a five-point calibration curve of Hexane by dividing the total area count from C6 through C16 to the area of Hexane from the initial calibration curve.
POM	Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM) including Naphthalene and other compounds that contain more than one benzene ring and have a boiling point greater than or equal to 100 degrees Celsius.
LOI	Loss of Ignition. LOI represents the change in weight of a sample expressed as % of the original dry weight as a consequence of combustion in air at the test temperature of 1400°F
Binder	Part 1 plus Part 2 plus Part 3
Resin	Part 1
Co-Reactant	Part 2
Catalyst	Part 3
BO	Based On ()
BOS	Based On Sand