

Prepared by:

TECHNIKON LLC

5301 Price Avenue ▼ McClellan, CA, 95652 ▼ (916) 929-8001 www.technikonllc.com

> US Army Contract DAAE30-02-C-1095 FY 2003 Tasks

Pouring, Cooling, Shakeout Parting Spray **Greensand Baseline**

Technikon # 1410-121 FI

1 October 2003

(This report revised for public distribution)
















this page intentionally left blank

Pouring, Cooling, Shakeout Parting Spray Greensand Baseline

1410-1.2.1 FI

This report has been reviewed for completeness and accuracy and approved for release by the following:

Research Che mist	// Original Signed //		
	Carmen Hornsby	Date	
Process Engineering Manager	// Original Signed // Steven M. Knight	Date	
V.P. Measurement Technology	// Original Signed // Clifford R Glowacki, CIH	Date	
V.P. Operations	// Original Signed // George Crandell	Date	
President	// Original Signed // William Walden	Date	

The data contained in this report were developed to assess the relative emissions profile of the product or process being evaluated. You may not obtain the same results in your facility. Data was not collected to assess casting quality, cost, or producibility.



this page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents Executive Summary......1 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 2.0 Test Methodology5 2.1 2.2 2.3 3.0 4.0 **List of Figures** Figure 2-1 Figure 3-1 Figure 3-2 Figure 3-3 Figure 3-4 Figure 3-5 Figure 3-6 List of Tables Test Plan Summary.......4 Table 1-1 Table 2-1 Table 2-2 Table 3-1

Table 3-2

Table 3-3

Appendices

Appendix A	Approved Test Plan and Sample Plan for Test Series FI	19
Appendix B	Test Series FI Detailed Emission Results	35
Appendix C	Test Series FI Detailed Process Data	45
Appendix D	Method 25A Charts	49
Appendix E	Glossary	53

Executive Summary

This report contains the results of emission testing to evaluate the pouring, cooling, and shakeout emissions from Test FI a coreless, greensand system without seacoal using a liquid parting spray release agent. Seacoal was not used for this test system in order to minimize potential interferences from other organic material. Test FI will be used as a baseline to be compared to other similar parting spray systems. All testing was conducted by Technikon, LLC in its Pre-Production foundry. The emissions results are reported in both pounds of analyte per ton of metal poured and pounds of analyte per pound of parting spray used.

The testing performed involved the collection of continuous air samples over a seventy-five minute period, including the mold pouring, cooling, shakeout, and post shakeout periods. Process and stack parameters were measured and include: the weights of the casting, mold, parting spray; Loss on Ignition (LOI) values for the mold prior to the test; metallurgical data; and stack temperature, pressure, volumetric flow rate and moisture content. The process parameters were maintained within prescribed ranges in order to ensure the reproducibility of the tests runs. Samples were collected and analyzed for sixty-six (66) target compounds using procedures based on US EPA Method 18. Continuous monitoring of the Total Gaseous Organic Concentration (TGOC) of the emissions was conducted according to US EPA Method 25A.

The mass emission rate of each parameter or target compound was calculated using the Method 25A data or the laboratory analytical results, the measured source data, the weight of parting spray used and the weight of each casting. Results for structural isomers have been grouped and reported as a single entity. For example, ortho-, meta-, and para-xylene are the three (3) structural isomers of dimethylbenzene. The separate isomer results are available in Appendix B of this report. Other "emissions indicators," in addition to the TGOC as Propane, were also calculated. The HC as Hexane results represent the sum of all organic compounds detected and expressed as Hexane. All of the following sums are sub-groups of this measure. The "Sum of VOCs" is based on the sum of the individual target VOCs measured and includes the selected HAPs and selected Polycyclic Organic Material (POMs) listed in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The "Sum of HAPs" is the sum of the individual target HAPs measured and includes the selected POMs. Finally, the "Sum of POMs" is the sum of all of the polycyclic organic material measured.

Results for the emission indicators are shown in the following tables reported as lbs/tn of metal and lbs/lb of parting spray.

Test Plan FI Emissions Indicators – Lb/Tn Metal

	TGOC as Propane	HC as Hexane	Sum of VOCs	Sum of HAPs	Sum of POMs
Test FI	0.8651	0.1680	0.0819	0.0643	0.0030

Test Plan FI Emissions Indicators – Lb/Lb Parting Spray

	TGOC as Propane	HC as Hexane	Sum of VOCs	Sum of HAPs	Sum of POMs
Test FI	0.4717	0.0895	0.0455	0.0358	0.0018

It must be noted that the results from the reference and product testing performed are not suitable for use as emission factors or for other purposes other than evaluating the <u>relative emission reductions</u> associated with the use of alternative materials, equipment, or manufacturing processes. The emissions measurements are unique to the specific castings produced, materials used, and testing methodology associated with these tests. These measurements <u>should not</u> be used as the basis for estimating emissions from actual commercial foundry applications.

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background

Technikon LLC is a privately held contract research organization beated in McClellan, California, a suburb of Sacramento. Technikon offers emissions research services to industrial and go vernment clients specializing in the metal casting and mobile emissions areas. Technikon operates the Casting Emission Reduction Program (CERP). CERP is a cooperative initiative between the Department of Defense (US Army) and the United States Council for Automotive Research (USCAR). Its purpose is to evaluate alternative casting materials and processes that are designed to reduce air emissions and/or produce more efficient casting processes. Other technical partners directly supporting the project include: the American Foundry Society (AFS); the Casting Industry Suppliers Association (CISA); the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA); and the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

1.2 Technikon Objectives

The primary objective of Technikon is to evaluate materials, equipment, and processes used in the production of metal castings. Technikon's facility was designed to evaluate alternate materials and production processes designed to achieve significant air emission reductions, especially for the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment. The facility has two principal testing arenas: a Pre-Production Foundry designed to measure airborne emissions from individually poured molds, and a Production Foundry designed to measure air emissions in a continuous full scale production process. Each of these testing arenas has been specially designed to facilitate the collection and evaluation of airborne emissions and associated process data.

The Production Foundry provides simultaneous detailed individual emission measurements using methods based on US EPA protocols for the melting, pouring, sand preparation, mold making, and core making processes.

It must be noted that the reference and product testing performed is not suitable for use as emission factors or for purposes other than evaluating the <u>relative emission</u> reductions associated with the use of alternative materials, equipment, or processes. The emissions measurements are unique to the specific castings produced, materials used, and testing methodology associated with these tests, and should not be used as the basis for estimating emissions from actual commercial foundry applications.

1.3 Report Organization

This report has been designed to document the methodology and results of a specific test plan that was used to evaluate VOC emissions from a coreless greensand system. Section 2 of this report includes a summary of the methodologies used for data collection and analysis, emission calculations, QA/QC procedures, and data management and reduction methods. Specific data

collected during this test are summarized in Section 3 of this report, with detailed data included in Appendix B of this report. Section 4 of this report contains a discussion of the results.

The raw data for this test series are included in a data binder that is maintained at the Technikon facility.

1.4 Specific Test Plan and Objectives

Table 1-1 provides a summary of the test plan. The detail of the approved test plan is included in Appendix A.

Table 1-1 Test Plan Summary

	Test Plan	
Type of Process Tested	Pattern Spray Greensand Baseline	
Test Plan Number	1410 121 FI	
Parting Spray System	H & G Y-250	
Metal Poured	Iron	
Casting Type	4-on Star	
Number of Molds Poured	9	
Test Dates	7/14/03 > 7/17/03	
Emissions Measured	TGOC as Propane, HC as Hexane, 66 Organic HAPs and VOCs	
Process Parameters Measured	Total Casting, Mold, and Parting Spray Weights; Metallurgical data, % LOI; Stack Temperature, Moisture Content, Sand Temperature, Pressure, and Volumetric Flow Rate	

2.0 Test Methodology

2.1 Description of Process and Testing Equipment

Figure 2-1 is a diagram of the Pre-Production Foundry process equipment.

Stack Stack Sampling Train Mold Pouring, Cooling Casting Production Mold Assembly and Shakeout Inspection (enclosed) Casting Sand Re-melt Muller Induction Furnace Return Sand Make-up Sand Scrap Metal

Figure 2-1 Pre-Production Foundry Layout Diagram

2.2 Description of Testing Program

The specific steps used in this sampling program are summarized below:

1. <u>Test Plan Review and Approval:</u> The proposed test plan was reviewed and approved by the Technikon staff.

2. Mold and Metal Preparation: The 4-on star greensand (without seacoal) molds are prepared to a standard composition by the Technikon production team. The parting spray is applied to the mold pattern at approximately forty (40) grams per mold. Iron is melted in a 1000 lb. Ajax induction furnace. The amount of metal melted is determined from the poured weight of the casting and the number of molds to be poured. The metal composition is prescribed by a metal composition worksheet. The weight of metal poured into each mold is ecorded on the process data summary sheet.



4-On Star Pattern



Total Enclosure Test Stand

3. Individual Sampling Events: Replicate tests are performed on nine (9) mold packages. The mold packages are placed into an enclosed test stand heated to approximately 85°F. Iron is poured through an opening in the top of the emission enclosure.

Continuous air samples are collected during the forty-five minute pouring and cooling process, during the fifteen minute shakeout of the mold, and for an additional fifteen minute period following shakeout. The total sampling time is seventy-five minutes.



Method 25A (TGOC) and Method 18 Sampling Train

4. <u>Process Parameter Measurements:</u> Table 2-1 lists the process parameters that are monitored during each test. The analytical equipment and methods used are also listed.

Table 2-1 Process Parameters Measured

Parameter	Analytical Equipment and Methods
Mold Weight	Acme 4260 Crane Scale (Gravimetric)
Casting Weight	Westweigh PP2847 Platform Scale (Gravimetric)
Binder Weight	Mettler PJ8000 Digital Scale (Gravimetric)
Volatiles	Mettler PB302 Scale (AFS Procedure 2213-00-S)
LOI, % at Mold and Shakeout	Denver Instruments XE-100 Analytical Scale (AFS procedure 5100-00-S)
Metallurgical Parameters	
Pouring Temperature	Electro-Nite DT 260 (T/C Immersion Pyrometer)
Carbon/Silicon and Fusion Temperature	Electro-Nite DataCast 2000 (Thermal Arrest)
Alloy Weights	Ohaus MP2 Scale
Mold Compactability	Dietert 319A Sand Squeezer (AFS Procedure 2221-00-S)

5. <u>Air Emissions Analysis:</u> The specific sampling and analytical methods used in the Pre-Production Foundry tests are based on the US EPA reference methods shown in Table 2-2. The details of the specific testing procedures and their variance from the reference methods are included in the Technikon Standard Operating Procedures.

Table 2-2 Emission Sampling and Analytical Methods

Measurement Parameter	Test Method
Port Location	EPA Method 1
Number of Traverse Points	EPA Method 1
Gas Velocity and Temperature	EPA Method 2
Gas Density and Molecular Weight	EPA Method 3a
Gas Moisture	EPA Method 4, gravimetric
HAPs Concentration	EPA Method 18, TO11, NIOSH 2002
VOCs Concentration	EPA Method 18, 25A, TO11, NIOSH 2002

^{*}These methods were specifically modified to meet the testing objectives of the CERP Program.

6. Data Reduction, Tabulation and Preliminary Report Preparation: The analytical results of the emissions tests provide the mass of each analyte in the sample. The total mass of the analyte emitted is calculated by multiplying the mass of analyte in the sample times the ratio of total stack gas volume to sample volume. The total stack gas volume is calculated from the measured stack gas velocity and duct diameter, and corrected to dry standard conditions using the measured stack pressures, temperatures, gas molecular weight and moisture content. The total mass of analyte is then divided by the weight of the parting spray and/or the weight of the casting used to provide emissions data in pounds of analyte per pound of parting spray and pounds of analyte per ton of metal.

The results of each of the sampling events are included in Appendix B of this report. The results of each test are also averaged and are shown in Tables 3-1 and 3-2.

7. Report Preparation and Review: The Preliminary Draft Report is reviewed by the Process Team and Emissions Team to ensure its completeness, consistency with the test plan, and adherence to the prescribed QA/QC procedures. Appropriate observations, conclusions and recommendations are added to the report to produce a Draft Report. The Draft Report is reviewed by the Vice President-Measurement Technologies, the Vice President-Operations, the Manager-Process Engineering, and the Technikon President. Comments are incorporated into a draft Final Report prior to final signature approval and distribution.

2.3 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures

Detailed QA/QC and data validation procedures for the process parameters, stack measurements, and laboratory analytical procedures are included in the <u>Technikon Emissions Testing and Analytical Testing Standard Operating Procedures</u>. In order to ensure the timely review of critical quality control parameters, the following procedures are followed:

- Immediately following the individual sampling events performed for each test, specific process parameters are reviewed by the Manager Process Engineering to ensure that the parameters are maintained within the prescribed control ranges. Where data are not within the prescribed ranges, the Manager Process Engineering and the Vice President Operations determine whether the individual test samples should be invalidated or flagged for further analysis following review of the laboratory data.
- The source (stack) and sampling parameters, analytical results and corresponding laboratory QA/QC data are reviewed by the Emissions Measurement Team to confirm the validity of the data. The VP-Measurement Technologies reviews and approves the recommendation, if any, that individual sample data should be invalidated. Invalidated data are not used in subsequent calculations.

3.0 Test Results

The average emission results, in pounds per ton of metal poured and pounds per pound of parting spray, are presented in Tables 3-1 and 3-2 respectively. The tables include the individual target compounds that comprise at least 95% of the total VOCs measured, along with the corresponding Sum of VOCs, Sum of HAPs, and Sum of POMs. The tables also include the TGOC as propane, HC as hexane, methane, and carbon dioxide.

Figures 3-1 to 3-3 present the five emissions indicators and selected individual HAP and VOC emissions data from Table 3-1 in graphical form. Figures 3-4 to 3-6 present the five emission indicators and selected individual HAP and VOC emissions data from Table 3-2.

Appendix B contains the detailed emission data including the results for all analytes measured.

Table 3-2 includes the averages of the key process parameters. Detailed process data are presented in Appendix C.

Method 25A charts for the tests are included in Appendix D of this report. The charts are presented to show the VOC profile of emissions for each pour.

Table 3-1 Summary of Test Plan FI Average Emission Results – Lb/Tn Metal

Analytes	Average	STDEV	
TGOC as Propane	0.8651	0.0476	
HC as Hexane	0.1680	0.0102	
Sum of VOCs	0.0819	0.0079	
Sum of HAPs	0.0643	0.0070	
Sum of POMs	0.0030	0.0004	
Individual Org	anic HAPs		
Benzene	0.0304	0.0036	
Toluene	0.0106	0.0010	
o,m,p-Xylene	0.0095	0.0010	
Acetaldehyde	0.0030	0.0003	
Naphthalene	0.0023	0.0003	
Hexane	0.0023	0.0006	
Formaldehyde	0.0018	0.0003	
Ethylbenzene	0.0015	0.0002	
Styrene	0.0010	0.0001	
Methylnaphthalenes	0.0008	0.0001	
Other V	OCs		
Trimethylbenzenes	0.0044	0.0004	
Heptane	0.0044	0.0003	
Tetradecane	0.0029	0.0003	
Octane	0.0022	0.0001	
Ethyltoluenes	0.0018	0.0002	
Other Analytes			
Carbon Dioxide	18.72	0.8599	
Methane	0.0306	0.0051	

Individual results constitute >95% of mass of all detected VOCs.

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the sum of HAPs or VOCs.

Background Methane and Carbon Dioxide were found at 0.0550 and 20.59 Lb/Tn Metal respectively.

Table 3-2 Summary of Test Plan FI Average Emission Results – Lb/Lb Parting Spray

Analytes	Average	STDEV
TGOC as Propane	0.4717	0.0211
HC as Hexane	0.0895	0.0043
Sum of VOCs	0.0455	0.0036
Sum of HAPs	0.0358	0.0031
Sum of POMs	0.0018	0.0004
Individual Orga	nic HAPs	
Benzene	0.0167	0.0017
Toluene	0.0058	0.0005
o,m,p-Xylene	0.0052	0.0005
Acetaldehyde	0.0016	0.0001
Hexane	0.0014	0.0006
Naphthalene	0.0013	0.0003
Formaldehyde	0.0010	0.0001
Ethylbenzene	0.0008	0.0001
Styrene	0.0005	0.0000
Other VO	Cs	
Trimethylbenzenes	0.0024	0.0002
Heptane	0.0024	0.0002
Tetradecane	0.0017	0.0004
Octane	0.0012	0.0000
Ethyltoluene	0.0010	0.0001
Other Anal	ytes	
Carbon Dioxide	10.29	0.4792
Methane	0.0168	0.0028

Individual results constitute >95% of mass of all detected VOCs.

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the sum of HAPs or VOCs.

Background Methane and Carbon Dioxide were found at 0.0174 and 11.58 Lb/Lb Parting Spray respectively.

Table 3-3 Summary of Test Plan FI Average Process Parameters

No Coal Greensand PCS Pattern Spray Tests	Test FI
Cast Weight- All Metal Inside Mold (lbs.)	97.9
Pouring Time (sec.)	17
Pouring Temp (°F)	2682
Pour Hood Process Air Temp at Start of Pour (°F)	88
Muller Batch Weight (lbs.)	902
GS Mold Sand Weight (lbs.)	658
Mold Compactability (%)	48
Mold Temperature (°F)	89
Average Green Compression (psi)	13.58
GS Compactability (%)	44
GS Moisture Content (%)	1.92
GS Clay Content (%)	6.94
1800°F LOI - Mold Sand (%)	1.11
900°F Volatiles (%)	0.39
Liquid Parting Spray (grams)	40.6





Figure 3-1 Emission Indicators from Test Series FI – Lb/Tn Metal

Figure 3-2 Selected HAP Emissions from Test Series FI – Lb/Tn Metal






Figure 3-3 Selected VOC Emissions from Test Series FI – Lb/Tn Metal

Figure 3-4 Emission Indicators from Test Series FI – Lb/Lb Parting Spray




Figure 3-5 Selected HAP Emissions from Test Series FI – Lb/Lb Parting Spray





Figure 3-6 Selected VOC Emissions from Test Series FI – Lb/Lb Parting Spray





this page intentionally left blank

4.0 Discussion of Results


Fifteen (15) of the measured compounds comprised greater than 95% of the mass of all VOCs measured in the Greensand (without seacoal) Parting Spray baseline test series. Benzene comprised approximately 47%, toluene 17%, and o,m,p-xylene 15% of the total HAPs. Trimethylbenzenes, Ethyltoluenes, and other lighter hydrocarbons together comprised approximately 20% of the total VOCs.

Carbon dioxide and methane were detected in the ambient sample for Test FI. See Tables 3-1 and 3-2.

Two methods were employed to measure undifferentiated hydrocarbon emissions, TGOC (THC) as propane, performed in accordance with EPA Method 25A, and HC as hexane. EPA Method 25A, TGOC (as propane), is weighted to the detection of more volatile hydrocarbon species, beginning at C1 (methane), with results calibrated against a three-carbon alkane (propane). HC as hexane is weighted to the detection of relatively less volatile compounds. This method detects hydrocarbon compounds in the alkane range between C6 and C16, with results calibrated against a six-carbon alkane (hexane).


Target analyte reporting limits expressed in both pounds per ton of metal and pounds per pound of parting spray are shown in Appendix B.

Observation of measured process parameters indicates that the tests were run within an acceptable range.



this page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX A APPROVED TEST PLAN AND SAMPLE PLAN FOR TEST SERIES FI



this page intentionally left blank

TECHNIKON TEST PLAN

> CONTRACT NUMBER: 1410 TASK NUMBER: 1.2.1 Series: FI

> **SAMPLE EVENTS:** Estimated 9

> SITE: X PRE-PRODUCTION ___ FOUNDRY

> **TEST TYPE:** Pattern spray greensand baseline

> METAL TYPE: Class 30 gray iron

> MOLD TYPE: 4-on coreless star greensand with no seacoal and petroleum oil liquid parting

> **NUMBER OF MOLDS**: 9 + pattern spray conditioning.

> CORE TYPE: None

> TEST DATE: START: 07 July 2003

FINISHED: 18 July 2003

TEST OBJECTIVES:

Create Pouring, Cooling, Shakeout emissions baseline for liquid parting with coal-less green-sand mix. Results shall be reported as pounds of emission/pound of liquid parting and pounds of emission /ton of metal poured.

VARIABLES:

The pattern will be the 4-on star. The mold will be made with Wexford W450 sand, 7 % western and southern bentonite in a 5:2 ratio, no seacoal, tempered to 40-45% compactability, mechanically compacted. An oil based liquid parting H&G Y-250 will be used. The molds will be maintained at 80-90°F prior to pouring. The sand heap will be maintained at 900 pounds. Molds will be poured with iron at 2680 +/- 10°F. Mold cooling will be 45minutes follow by 15 minutes of shakeout, or until no more material remains to be shaken out. The initial process air temperature will be maintained at 85-90°F. Emission testing will be 75 minutes.

BRIEF OVERVIEW:

The 4 on star pattern is a new test pattern that will be used as a baseline for pattern release agent product comparisons. The new Osborn Jolt squeeze machine will be an improvement over previously hand rammed molds, which are inherently inconsistent. This test is to establish baseline line emissions for this pattern and the Osborn machine combination with parting oil and no seacoal.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

The process will include rigorous maintenance of the size of sand heap and maintenance of the material and testing environmental temperatures to reduce seasonal and daily temperature dependent influence on the emissions

Process Engineering Manager (Technikon)	Date
V.P. Measurement Technology (Technikon)	Date
V.P. Operations (Technikon)	Date
Test Design Committee Representative	Date
Emission Committee Representative	Date

Series FI

PCS Pattern Spray Baseline with No-coal Greensand & Mechanized Molding

Process Instructions

A. Experiment:

- **1.** Create an emission baseline for pattern spray.
- 2. The molds shall be started with all virgin Wexford W450 sand, bonded with 7% Western & Southern Bentonite in the ratio of 5:2.
- **3.** The molds shall be tempered with potable water to 40-45% compactability, poured at constant weight, temperature, surface area, & shape factor.
- **4.** This test will recycle the same mold material, replacing burned clay with new materials after each casting cycle.

B. Materials:

- 1. Mold sand: Virgin mix of Wexford W450 lake sand, western and southern bentonites in ratio of 5:2, and potable water per recipe. No seacoal.
- 2. Core: None
- 3. Metal: Class 30-35 gray cast iron poured at 2680°F.
- **4.** Pattern Spray: H&G Y-250 petroleum based.

Caution: Observe all safety precautions attendant to these operations as delineated in the Preproduction operating and safety instruction manual.

- **5.** The following test shall be conducted:
 - **a.** Sand batch: Single sand batch to be used for all FI molds.
 - **b.** The recycled sand heap shall be maintained at 900+-10 pounds
 - **c.** FI001: Virgin mix as described above, un-vented mold.
 - **d.** FI002-FI0XX: Re-mulled, reconstituted greensand, potable water, un-vented molds
 - e. 20 grams of H&G Y-250 parting spray shall be brushed on each mold half.
 - **f.** Emission absorption tube sampling will begin after THC determination of stability of parting spray content.

C. Sand preparation

1. Start up batch: make 1, FI001.

- **a.** Thoroughly clean the pre-production muller elevator and molding hoppers.
- **b.** Weigh and add 1225 +/- 10 pounds of new Wexford W450 Lakesand, per the recipe, to the running pre-production muller.
- **c.** Add 5 pounds of potable to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
- d. Add the clays slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass in proportion to the sand weight per the recipe for this test.
- **e.** Dry mull for about 3 minutes to allow distribution and some grinding of the clays to occur.
- **f.** Temper the sand-clay mixture slowly, with potable water, to allow for distribution.
- **g.** After about 2 gallons of water have been added allow 30 seconds of mixing then start taking compactability test samples.
- **h.** Based on each test add water incrementally to adjust the temper. Allow 1 minute of mixing. Retest. Repeat until the compactability is in the range 40-45%.
- **i.** Discharge the sand into the mold station elevator.
- **j.** Grab sufficient sample after the final compactability test to fill a quart zip-lock bag. Label bag with the test series and sequence number, date, and time of day and deliver it immediately to the sand lab for analysis
- **k.** Record the total sand mixed in the batch, the total of each type of clay added to the batch, the amount of water added, the total mix time, the final compactability and sand temperature at charge and discharge.
- 1. The sand will be immediately characterized for Methylene Blue Clay, Moisture content, Compactability, Green Compression strength, 1800°F loss on ignition (LOI), and 900°F volatiles. Each volatile and LOI test requires a separate 50 gram sample from the collected sand.
- **m.** Empty the extra greensand from the mold hopper into a clean empty dump hopper whose tare weight is known. Set this sand aside to be used to maintain the recycled batch at 900+/-10 pounds

2. Re-mulling: FI002

- **a.** Add to the sand recovered from poured mold FI001sufficient pre-blended sand so that the sand batch weight is 900 +/- 10 pounds. Record the sand weight.
- **b.** Return the sand to the muller and dry blend for about one minute.
- **c.** Add the clays, as directed by the process engineering staff, slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass.
- **d.** Add 5 pounds of water to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
- **e.** Follow the above procedure beginning at B.1.f.

3. Re-mulling: FI003-FI0XX

a. Add to the sand recovered from the previous poured mold, mold machine spill sand, the residual mold hopper sand and sufficient pre-blended sand to total 900 +/- 10 pounds.

- **b.** Return the sand to the muller and dry blend for about one minute.
- **c.** Add the clays, as directed by the process engineering staff, slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass.
- **d.** Add 5 pounds of water to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
- **e.** Follow the above procedure beginning at B.1.f.

D. Molding: 4- on star pattern.

1. Pattern preparation:

- **a.** Inspect and tighten all loose pattern and gating pieces.
- **b.** Repair any damaged pattern or gating parts.
- 2. Mount the drag 4-on star pattern with gating into the mold machine bolster and bolt it down tightly.
 - **a.** Weigh a 500 ml beaker, 200 ml of H&G Y-250 parting spray and a 1-inch brush immersed in the parting spray.
 - b. Incrementally brush parting spray evenly over each side of the entire pattern until the gross weight of the beaker, residual parting spray, and the immersed brush are twenty (20) grams lighter that in C.2.a.
 - **c.** Repeat for the other side of the pattern in turn.
 - **d.** Repeat C.2.b-c for each mold cycle.
- **3.** Mount a cope follower board containing a pour cup pattern to the underside of the squeeze head plate.
- 4. Check the alignment of the pour cup by manually raising the table using the squeeze bypass valve at the bottom rear of the machine until the sprue pierces the pour cup pattern. Move the pour cup pattern as necessary.
- 5. Remove the sprue if making a mold drag half. Leave it attached if making a cope half.
- **6.** Use the overhead crane to place the pre-weighed drag/cope flask on the mold machine table, parting line surface down.
- 7. Locate a 24 x 24 x4 inch deep wood upset on top of the flask.
- **8.** Make the green sand mold on the Osborn Whisper Ram Jolt-Squeeze mold machine

WARNING: Only properly trained personnel may operate this machine. Proper personal protective equipment must be worn at all times while operating this equipment, including safety glasses with side shields and a properly fitting hard hat. Industrial type boots are highly recommended.

WARNING: Stand clear of the mold machine table and swinging head during the following operation or serious injury or death could result.

a. Open the air supply to the mold machine.

WARNING: The squeeze head may suddenly swing to the outboard side or forward. Do not stand in the outer corners of the molding enclosure.

- **b.** On the operator's panel turn the POWER switch to ON.
- **c.** Turn the RAM-JOLT-SQUEEZE switch to ON.
- **d.** Turn the DRAW UP switch to AUTO
- **e.** Set the PRE-JOLT timer to 4-5 seconds.
- **f.** Set the squeeze timer to 8 seconds.
- g. Manually riddle a half to one inch or so of sand on the pattern using a ¼ inch mesh riddle. Source the sand from the overhead mold sand hopper by actuating the CHATTER GATE valve located under the operators panel.
- **h.** Fill the 24 x 24 x 10 inch flask and the upset with greensand from the overhead molding hopper.
- i. Manually level sand in the upset. By experience manually adjust the sand depth so that the resulting compacted mold is fractionally above the flask only height.

WARNING: Failure to stand clear of the molding table and flasks in the following operations could result in serious injury as this equipment is about to move up and down with great force.

j. Initiate the settling of the sand in the flask by pressing the PRE-JOLT push button. Allow this cycle to stop before proceeding.

WARNING: Stand clear of the entire mold machine during the following operations. Several of the machine parts will be moving. Failure to stand clear could result in severe injury even death.

k. Using both hands initiate the automatic machine sequence by simultaneously pressing and releasing the green push buttons on either side of the operators panel. The machine will squeeze and jolt the sand in the flask and then move the squeeze head to the side.

WARNING: Do no re-approach the machine until the squeeze head has stopped at the side of the machine.

- **l.** Remove the upset and set it aside.
- **m.** Screed the bottom of the mold flat if required.
- **n.** Press and release the LOWER DRAW/STOP push button to separate the flask and mold from the pattern.
- **o.** Use the overhead crane to lift the mold half and remove it from the machine.
- **p.** Finally, press and release the draw down pushbutton to cause the draw frame to return to the start position.
- **q.** If the mold half is a drag, roll it parting line side up, set it on the floor, blow it out, and cover it to keep it clean.
- **r.** Close the cope over the drag being careful not to crush anything.
- **s.** Clamp the flask halves together.
- **t.** Weigh and record the weight of the closed un-poured mold, the pre-weighed flask, and the sand weight by difference

u. Deliver the mold to the previously cleaned shakeout to be poured. Do not cover the mold with the emission hood.

E. Shakeout.

- 1. After the cooling time prescribed in the test plan turn on the shakeout unit and run it for until the greensand has passed into the hopper below.
- **2.** Turn off the shakeout, remove the flask with casting, and recover the sand from the hopper and surrounding floor.
- **3.** Weigh and record the metal poured and the total sand weight recovered and rejoined with the left over mold sand from the molding hopper.

F. Melting:

1. Initial charge:

- **a.** Charge the furnace according to the heat recipe.
- **b.** Place part of the steel scrap on the bottom, followed by carbon alloys, and the balance of the steel.
- **c.** Place a pig on top on top.
- **d.** Bring the furnace contents to the point of beginning to melt over a period of 1 hour at reduced power.
- e. Add the balance of the metallics under full power until all is melted and the temperature has reached 2600 to 2700°F.
- **f.** Slag the furnace and add the balance of the alloys.
- **g.** Raise the temperature of the melt to 2700°F and take a DataCast 2000 sample. The temperature of the primary liquidus (TPL) must be in the range of 2200-2350°F.
- **h.** Hold the furnace at 2500-2550°F until near ready to tap.
- i. When ready to tap raise the temperature to 2700°F and slag the furnace.
- **j.** Record all metallic and alloy additions to the furnace, tap temperature, and pour temperature. Record all furnace activities with an associated time.

2. Back charging.

- **a.** Back charge the furnace according to the heat recipe,
- **b.** Charge a few pieces of steel first to make a splash barrier, followed by the carbon alloys.
- **c.** Follow the above steps beginning with E.1.e.

3. Emptying the furnace.

- **a.** Pig the extra metal only after the last emission measurement is complete to avoid contaminating the air sample.
- **b.** Cover the empty furnace with ceramic blanket to cool.

G. Pouring:

1. Preheat the ladle.

- **a.** Tap 400 pounds more or less of 2700°F metal into the cold ladle.
- **b.** Casually pour the metal back to the furnace.
- **c.** Cover the ladle.
- **d.** Reheat the metal to $2780 + -20^{\circ}$ F.
- **e.** Tap 450 pounds of iron into the ladle while pouring inoculating alloys onto the metal stream near its base.
- **f.** Cover the ladle to conserve heat.
- **g.** Move the ladle to the pour position, and wait until the metal temperature reaches $2680 + 10^{\circ}$ F.
- **h.** Commence pouring keeping the sprue full.
- i. Upon completion return the extra metal to the furnace, and cover the ladle.

Steven Knight

Mgr. Process Engineering

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/9/2003											FI CONDITIONING - RUN 1
FI CR-1											
7	HC	Х									

PRE-PRODUCTION FI - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments		
7/10/2003											FI CONDITIONING - RUN 2		
FI CR-2													
Т	НС	Х											

PRE-PRODUCTION FI - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

TIVE TIVEDOGI		•=::::							•			
Method		Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/10/2003												FI CONDITIONING - RUN 3
FI CR-3												
	THC		Х									

PRE-PRODUCTION FI - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/10/2003											FI CONDITIONING - RUN 4
FI CR-4											
TH	С	Х									

PRE-PRODUCTION FI - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

TRETRODUCTION	I I OLIVII		<u> </u>								
Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/14/2003											
RUN 1											
THC	FI001	Х									TOTAL
M-18	FI00101		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18	FI00102				1				0		Carbopak charcoal
M-18 MS	FI00103		1						60	2	Carbopak charcoal
M-18 MS	FI00104			1					60	3	Carbopak charcoal
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00105		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00106				1				0		Tedlar Bag
	Excess								500	5	Excess
	Excess								500	6	Excess
NIOSH 1500	FI00107		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 1500	FI00108				1				0		100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FI00109		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
TO11	FI00110				1				0		DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

PRE-PRODUCTION FI - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN

										_	
Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/14/2003											
RUN 2											
THC	FI002	Х									TOTAL
M-18	FI00201		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18	FI00202			1					60	2	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00203		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
	Excess								500	5	Excess
	Excess								500	6	Excess
NIOSH 1500	FI00204		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 1500	FI00205			1					1000	8	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
TO11	FI00206		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
TO11	FI00207			1					1000	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

Method 7/45/0000	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/15/2003											
RUN 3 THC	FI003	Х									TOTAL
M-18	FI003	^	1						60	1	TOTAL Carbonal shareasi
M-18	F100301		-			1			60	1	Carbopak charcoal Carbopak charcoal
IVI-10	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2			1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
	Excess		1						500	5	Excess
	Excess								500	6	Excess
NIOSH 1500	FI00304		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FI00305		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000		Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments		
7/15/2003 RUN 4													
THC	FI004	Х									TOTAL		
M-18	FI00401		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal		
	Excess		-						60	2	Excess		
	Excess								60		Excess		
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00402		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag		
	Excess		1						500	5	Excess		
	Excess								500	6	Excess		
NIOSH 1500	FI00403		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
	Excess								1000	8	Excess		
TO11	FI00404		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
	Excess								1000	10	Excess		
	Excess								1000	11	Excess		
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL		
	Excess								5000	13	Excess		

Method 7/15/2003	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments		
RUN 5													
THC	FI005	Х									TOTAL		
M-18	FI00501		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal		
	Excess								60	2	Excess		
	Excess								60	3	Excess		
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00502		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag		
	Excess		1						500	5	Excess		
	Excess								500	6	Excess		
NIOSH 1500	FI00503		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
	Excess								1000	8	Excess		
TO11	FI00504		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
	Excess								1000	10	Excess		
	Excess								1000		Excess		
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL		
	Excess								5000	13	Excess		


TILLITIODOGITOR											
Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/16/2003											
RUN 6											
THC	FI006	Х									TOTAL
M-18	FI00601		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60		Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00602		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
	Excess		1						500	5	Excess
	Excess								500	6	Excess
NIOSH 1500	FI00603		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FI00604		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

1							_				
Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/16/2003											
RUN 7											
THC	FI007	Х									TOTAL
M-18	FI00701		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00702		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
	Excess		1						500	5	Excess
	Excess								500	6	Excess
NIOSH 1500	FI00703		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FI00704		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000		Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

FIXE-FIXODOCTION			<u> </u>				,				
Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
7/16/2003											
RUN 8											
THC	FI008	Х									TOTAL
M-18	FI00801		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00802		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
	Excess		1						500	5	Excess
	Excess								500	6	Excess
NIOSH 1500	FI00803		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FI00804		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

Method 7/17/2003	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments		
RUN 9													
THC	FI009	Χ									TOTAL		
M-18	FI00901		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal		
	Excess								60	2	Excess		
	Excess								60	3	Excess		
Gas, CO, CO2	FI00902		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag		
	Excess		1						500	5	Excess		
	Excess								500	6	Excess		
NIOSH 1500	FI00903		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)		
	Excess								1000	8	Excess		
TO11	FI00904		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)		
	Excess								1000	10	Excess		
	Excess								1000	11	Excess		
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL		
	Excess								5000	13	Excess		

APPENDIX B TEST SERIES FI DETAILED EMISSION RESULTS



Test Plan FI Individual Emission Test Results - Lb/Tn Metal

					ai viadai			1000110					
HAPs	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{s}$	COMPOUND / SAMPLE											
HA	PO	NUMBER	FI001	FI002	FI003	FI004	FI005	FI006	FI007	FI008	FI009	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	7/14/03	7/14/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/17/03		
		TGOC as Propane	7.96E-01	9.11E-01	9.08E-01	8.37E-01	I	8.60E-01	9.29E-01	8.63E-01	8.18E-01	8.65E-01	4.76E-02
		HC as Hexane	1.53E-01	1.80E-01	1.84E-01	1.67E-01	1.67E-01	1.55E-01	1.64E-01	1.67E-01	1.74E-01	1.68E-01	1.02E-02
		Sum of VOCs	8.40E-02	9.46E-02	8.69E-02	8.74E-02	7.27E-02	7.74E-02	7.99E-02	I	7.19E-02	8.19E-02	7.85E-03
		Sum of HAPs	6.78E-02	7.56E-02	6.87E-02	6.92E-02	5.61E-02	6.02E-02	6.12E-02	I	5.59E-02	6.43E-02	7.04E-03
		Sum of POMs	2.57E-03	3.38E-03	3.25E-03	3.36E-03	3.41E-03	2.81E-03	2.99E-03	I	2.49E-03	3.03E-03	3.74E-04
						Individual	Organic HA	Ps					
x		Benzene	3.18E-02	3.58E-02	3.31E-02	3.31E-02	2.49E-02	2.81E-02	2.91E-02	I	2.73E-02	3.04E-02	3.64E-03
x		Toluene	1.13E-02	1.22E-02	1.14E-02	1.09E-02	9.59E-03	1.04E-02	9.99E-03	I	9.20E-03	1.06E-02	1.00E-03
x		m,p-Xylene	7.89E-03	8.08E-03	6.87E-03	7.83E-03	6.93E-03	6.47E-03	6.38E-03	I	5.51E-03	7.00E-03	8.92E-04
x		Acetaldehyde	3.02E-03	3.49E-03	3.08E-03	2.85E-03	3.08E-03	2.86E-03	2.61E-03	3.18E-03	3.19E-03	3.04E-03	2.51E-04
x		o-Xylene	2.57E-03	2.87E-03	2.63E-03	2.60E-03	2.29E-03	2.44E-03	2.47E-03	I	2.30E-03	2.52E-03	1.90E-04
x	Z	Naphthalene	1.91E-03	2.56E-03	2.44E-03	2.55E-03	2.57E-03	2.10E-03	2.24E-03	I	1.87E-03	2.28E-03	2.92E-04
x		Hexane	2.72E-03	2.57E-03	2.50E-03	2.52E-03	I	2.31E-03	2.36E-03	I	8.20E-04	2.26E-03	6.48E-04
x		Formaldehyde	1.84E-03	2.38E-03	1.82E-03	1.70E-03	2.06E-03	1.51E-03	1.40E-03	1.70E-03	1.77E-03	1.80E-03	2.89E-04
X		Ethylbenzene	1.65E-03	1.75E-03	1.54E-03	1.69E-03	1.47E-03	1.40E-03	1.45E-03	I	1.30E-03	1.53E-03	1.57E-04
X		Styrene	9.22E-04	1.21E-03	1.01E-03	1.07E-03	9.22E-04	9.45E-04	9.47E-04	I	9.52E-04	9.98E-04	1.00E-04
X		Propionaldehyde	6.19E-04	7.50E-04	5.84E-04	6.17E-04	6.26E-04	6.14E-04	5.71E-04	7.19E-04	7.08E-04	6.45E-04	6.36E-05
X		Phenol	6.43E-04	7.33E-04	6.02E-04	6.20E-04	5.86E-04	ND	6.44E-04	I	0.00E+00	4.79E-04	2.99E-04
X	Z	2-Methylnaphthalene	3.66E-04	4.64E-04	4.59E-04	4.56E-04	4.65E-04	3.91E-04	4.12E-04	I	3.51E-04	4.20E-04	4.68E-05
X	Z	1-Methylnaphthalene	2.91E-04	3.54E-04	3.57E-04	3.53E-04	3.81E-04	3.13E-04	3.36E-04	I	2.74E-04	3.32E-04	3.66E-05
X		2-Butanone	2.80E-04	3.37E-04	3.24E-04	2.89E-04	2.85E-04	2.67E-04	2.70E-04	3.07E-04	3.18E-04	2.98E-04	2.51E-05
X	Z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X	Z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X		Biphenyl	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X		m,p-Cresol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
X		o-Cresol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	I	ND	NA
X		Acrolein	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA

Test Plan FI Individual Emission Test Results - Lb/Tn Metal

S	COMPOUND / SAMPLE											
HAPs POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FI001	F1002	F1003	FI004	F1005	F1006	F1007	F1008	F1009	Average	STDEV
11	Test Dates	7/14/03	7/14/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/17/03	Average	SIDEV
	Test Dates	7/14/03	7/17/03	7/15/05		er VOCs	7/10/03	7/10/03	7/10/03	7/17/03		
	Heptane	3.97E-03	4.10E-03	4.39E-03	4.62E-03	4.00E-03	4.41E-03	4.99E-03	Ţ	4.48E-03	4.37E-03	3.44E-04
	Tetradecane	2.28E-03	2.85E-03	3.06E-03	3.09E-03	3.29E-03	2.59E-03	3.13E-03	Ī	2.64E-03	2.87E-03	3.39E-04
	1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	2.51E-03	3.29E-03	3.05E-03	2.99E-03	2.59E-03	2.98E-03	2.84E-03	I	2.65E-03	2.86E-03	2.64E-04
	Octane	2.11E-03	2.21E-03	2.18E-03	2.28E-03	2.04E-03	2.16E-03	2.31E-03	I	2.11E-03	2.18E-03	9.25E-05
	3-Ethyltoluene	1.51E-03	1.71E-03	1.41E-03	1.45E-03	1.37E-03	1.29E-03	1.50E-03	I	1.17E-03	1.43E-03	1.62E-04
	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	7.95E-04	9.69E-04	8.78E-04	8.49E-04	7.73E-04	8.35E-04	8.76E-04	I	7.14E-04	8.36E-04	7.73E-05
	1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	6.36E-04	8.45E-04	7.08E-04	7.18E-04	6.86E-04	6.92E-04	7.09E-04	I	6.17E-04	7.01E-04	6.83E-05
	Indene	7.32E-04	9.34E-04	7.96E-04	7.57E-04	7.42E-04	7.05E-04	6.77E-04	I	0.00E+00	6.68E-04	2.81E-04
	Benzaldehyde	3.66E-04	4.26E-04	3.28E-04	3.64E-04	3.69E-04	3.36E-04	3.66E-04	3.61E-04	4.13E-04	3.70E-04	3.16E-05
	Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	3.43E-04	4.26E-04	3.43E-04	3.52E-04	3.54E-04	3.34E-04	3.19E-04	3.80E-04	3.98E-04	3.61E-04	3.39E-05
	2-Ethyltoluene	3.54E-04	4.29E-04	3.76E-04	3.74E-04	ND	3.58E-04	3.87E-04	I	3.37E-04	3.27E-04	1.35E-04
	Pentanal	1.90E-04	2.37E-04	1.87E-04	1.91E-04	1.86E-04	1.69E-04	1.68E-04	2.01E-04	1.92E-04	1.91E-04	2.04E-05
	Hexaldehyde	1.68E-04	2.09E-04	1.35E-04	1.63E-04	1.53E-04	1.40E-04	1.61E-04	1.67E-04	1.59E-04	1.62E-04	2.11E-05
	Undecane	2.59E-04	1.36E-04	ND	ND	ND	2.34E-04	2.36E-04	I	1.95E-04	1.32E-04	1.16E-04
	o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	3.17E-04	3.62E-04	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	7.55E-05	1.50E-04
	1,3-Diethylbenzene	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	2,6-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	Cyclohexane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	Decane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	Dodecane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	Indan	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	Nonane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	n-Propylbenzene	ND	I	ND	ND	NA						
	Crotonaldehyde	ND	NA									

Test Plan FI Individual Emission Test Results - Lb/Tn Metal

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FI001	F1002	F1003	F1004	F1005	F1006	F1007	F1008	F1009	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	7/14/03	7/14/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/17/03	Trycruge	SIBLY
						Other	Analytes						
		Acetone	1.79E-03	1.94E-03	1.79E-03	1.78E-03	1.69E-03	1.61E-03	1.72E-03	1.72E-03	1.84E-03	1.76E-03	9.56E-05
		Carbon Dioxide	1.92E+01	1.83E+01	1.99E+01	1.89E+01	1.73E+01	1.91E+01	1.95E+01	1.89E+01	1.75E+01	1.87E+01	8.60E-01
		Methane	1.74E-02	3.16E-02	3.16E-02	3.07E-02	3.40E-02	3.02E-02	3.36E-02	3.16E-02	3.45E-02	3.06E-02	5.15E-03
		Carbon Monoxide	ND	NA									
		Ethane	ND	NA									
		Propane	ND	NA									
		Isobutane	ND	NA									
		Butane	ND	NA									
		Neopentane	ND	NA									
		Isopentane	ND	NA									
		Pentane	ND	NA									

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.

Test Plan FI Individual Emission Test Results - Lb/Lb Parting Spray

	COMPOUND / SAMPLE F1001 F1002 F1003 F1004 F1005 F1006 F1007 F1008 F1009 Average ST													
HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FI001	FI002	FI003	FI004	FI005	FI006	FI007	F1008	F1009	Average	STDEV	
		Test Dates	7/14/03	7/14/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/17/03			
		TGOC as Propane	4.53E-01	4.84E-01	4.89E-01	4.44E-01	I	4.85E-01	5.04E-01	4.61E-01	4.54E-01	4.72E-01	2.11E-02	
		HC as Hexane	8.67E-02	9.26E-02	8.27E-02	8.50E-02	8.74E-02	9.13E-02	9.14E-02	9.57E-02	9.32E-02	8.95E-02	4.28E-03	
		Sum of VOCs	4.80E-02	5.19E-02	4.68E-02	4.66E-02	4.35E-02	4.38E-02	4.35E-02	I	4.02E-02	4.55E-02	3.58E-03	
		Sum of HAPs	3.87E-02	4.07E-02	3.70E-02	3.69E-02	3.42E-02	3.40E-02	3.34E-02	I	3.12E-02	3.58E-02	3.12E-03	
		Sum of POMs	1.47E-03	2.62E-03	1.76E-03	1.79E-03	1.93E-03	1.59E-03	1.63E-03	I	1.39E-03	1.77E-03	3.84E-04	
						Individual	Organic H	APs						
X		Benzene	1.82E-02	1.91E-02	1.79E-02	1.77E-02	1.41E-02	1.59E-02	1.59E-02	I	1.52E-02	1.67E-02	1.72E-03	
X		Toluene	6.43E-03	6.50E-03	6.13E-03	5.83E-03	5.42E-03	5.89E-03	5.44E-03	I	5.14E-03	5.85E-03	4.94E-04	
X		m,p-Xylene	4.50E-03	4.31E-03	3.71E-03	4.18E-03	3.92E-03	3.66E-03	3.47E-03	I	3.08E-03	3.85E-03	4.71E-04	
X		Acetaldehyde	1.72E-03	1.72E-03	1.63E-03	1.52E-03	1.65E-03	1.61E-03	1.44E-03	1.70E-03	1.78E-03	1.64E-03	1.08E-04	
X		Hexane	1.55E-03	1.37E-03	1.35E-03	1.35E-03	2.66E-03	1.31E-03	1.28E-03	I	4.58E-04	1.42E-03	6.00E-04	
X		o-Xylene	1.47E-03	1.53E-03	1.42E-03	1.39E-03	1.30E-03	1.38E-03	1.34E-03	I	1.29E-03	1.39E-03	8.36E-05	
X	Z	Naphthalene	1.09E-03	1.87E-03	1.32E-03	1.36E-03	1.45E-03	1.19E-03	1.22E-03	I	1.04E-03	1.32E-03	2.60E-04	
X		Formaldehyde	1.05E-03	1.05E-03	9.61E-04	9.04E-04	1.10E-03	8.51E-04	7.70E-04	9.14E-04	9.90E-04	9.55E-04	1.06E-04	
X		Ethylbenzene	9.44E-04	9.35E-04	8.30E-04	9.03E-04	8.29E-04	7.94E-04	7.90E-04	I	7.24E-04	8.44E-04	7.76E-05	
X		Styrene	5.27E-04	6.47E-04	5.47E-04	5.69E-04	5.21E-04	5.34E-04	5.16E-04	I	5.31E-04	5.49E-04	4.28E-05	
X		Propionaldehyde	3.54E-04	3.54E-04	3.08E-04	3.29E-04	3.35E-04	3.47E-04	3.14E-04	3.85E-04	3.95E-04	3.47E-04	2.94E-05	
X		Phenol	3.67E-04	3.91E-04	3.25E-04	3.31E-04	3.31E-04	ND	3.51E-04	I	ND	2.62E-04	1.63E-04	
X	Z	2-Methylnaphthalene	2.09E-04	4.46E-04	2.48E-04	2.43E-04	2.63E-04	2.21E-04	2.24E-04	I	1.96E-04	2.56E-04	7.96E-05	
X	Z	1-Methylnaphthalene	1.66E-04	3.07E-04	1.93E-04	1.88E-04	2.15E-04	1.77E-04	1.83E-04	I	1.53E-04	1.98E-04	4.80E-05	
X		2-Butanone	1.60E-04	1.60E-04	1.71E-04	1.54E-04	1.53E-04	1.51E-04	1.49E-04	1.64E-04	1.78E-04	1.60E-04	9.69E-06	
X		Acrolein	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	
X	Z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X		Biphenyl	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X		Cresol, mp-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X		Cresol, o-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X	Z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X	Z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X	Z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X	Z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X	Z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	
X	Z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA	

Test Plan FI Individual Emission Test Results - Lb/Lb Parting Spray

		COMPOUND / SAMPLE FI001 FI002 FI003 FI004 FI005 FI006 FI007 FI008 FI009 Average S													
HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FI001	FI002	FI003	FI004	FI005	FI006	F1007	F1008	FI009	Average	STDEV		
		Test Dates	7/14/03	7/14/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/17/03				
X	Z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
X	Z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
X	Z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
						Othe	er VOCs								
		Heptane	2.27E-03	2.18E-03	2.37E-03	2.47E-03	2.26E-03	2.49E-03	2.72E-03	I	2.50E-03	2.41E-03	1.72E-04		
		Tetradecane	1.30E-03	2.64E-03	1.65E-03	1.65E-03	1.86E-03	1.46E-03	1.70E-03	I	1.47E-03	1.72E-03	4.10E-04		
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.43E-03	1.75E-03	1.65E-03	1.59E-03	1.46E-03	1.68E-03	1.55E-03	I	1.48E-03	1.58E-03	1.14E-04		
		Octane	1.20E-03	1.18E-03	1.18E-03	1.22E-03	1.15E-03	1.22E-03	1.26E-03	I	1.18E-03	1.20E-03	3.35E-05		
		3-Ethyltoluene	8.61E-04	9.15E-04	7.60E-04	7.73E-04	7.73E-04	7.28E-04	8.18E-04	I	6.54E-04	7.85E-04	8.02E-05		
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	4.54E-04	5.17E-04	4.74E-04	4.53E-04	4.37E-04	4.72E-04	4.77E-04	I	3.99E-04	4.60E-04	3.44E-05		
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	3.63E-04	4.51E-04	3.82E-04	3.83E-04	3.88E-04	3.91E-04	3.86E-04	I	3.44E-04	3.86E-04	3.04E-05		
		Indene	4.18E-04	4.98E-04	4.30E-04	4.04E-04	4.20E-04	3.99E-04	3.68E-04	I	ND	3.67E-04	1.53E-04		
		Benzaldehyde	2.09E-04	2.09E-04	1.73E-04	1.94E-04	1.97E-04	1.90E-04	2.01E-04	1.94E-04	2.31E-04	2.00E-04	1.58E-05		
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	1.96E-04	1.96E-04	1.81E-04	1.88E-04	1.90E-04	1.89E-04	1.76E-04	2.04E-04	2.22E-04	1.93E-04	1.36E-05		
		2-Ethyltoluene	2.02E-04	2.29E-04	2.03E-04	1.99E-04	ND	2.02E-04	2.11E-04	I	1.88E-04	1.79E-04	7.34E-05		
		Pentanal	1.08E-04	1.08E-04	9.86E-05	1.02E-04	9.93E-05	9.58E-05	9.22E-05	1.08E-04	1.07E-04	1.02E-04	6.13E-06		
		Hexaldehyde	9.61E-05	9.61E-05	7.15E-05	8.69E-05	8.16E-05	7.93E-05	8.87E-05	8.94E-05	8.89E-05	8.65E-05	7.95E-06		
		Undecane	1.48E-04	7.24E-05	ND	ND	ND	1.32E-04	1.28E-04	I	1.09E-04	7.37E-05	6.48E-05		
		Indan	ND	1.88E-04	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	2.35E-05	6.64E-05		
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	ND	1.91E-04	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.13E-05	6.38E-05		
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	NA											
		Cyclohexane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
		Decane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
		Dodecane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
		Nonane	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								
		Propylbenzene	ND	I	ND	ND	NA								

Test Plan FI Individual Emission Test Results - Lb/Lb Parting Spray

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FI001	FI002	FI003	FI004	FI005	FI006	FI007	FI008	FI009	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	7/14/03	7/14/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/15/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/16/03	7/17/03		
	Other Analytes												
		Acetone	1.02E-03	1.02E-03	9.44E-04	9.47E-04	9.02E-04	9.09E-04	9.45E-04	9.20E-04	1.03E-03	9.60E-04	5.05E-05
		Carbon Dioxide	1.09E+01	9.78E+00	1.07E+01	1.01E+01	9.77E+00	1.08E+01	1.06E+01	1.01E+01	9.80E+00	1.03E+01	4.79E-01
		Methane	9.95E-03	1.68E-02	1.70E-02	1.64E-02	1.92E-02	1.71E-02	1.83E-02	1.70E-02	1.93E-02	1.68E-02	2.77E-03
		Carbon Monoxide	ND	NA									
		Ethane	ND	NA									
		Propane	ND	NA									
		Isobutane	ND	NA									
		Butane	ND	NA									
		Neopentane	ND	NA									
		Isopentane	ND	NA									
		Pentane	ND	NA									

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detec; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of HAPs or VOCs.

Test Plan FI Quantitation Limits – Lb/Tn Metal

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal				
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.31E-04				
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.31E-04				
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.31E-04				
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.31E-04				
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.31E-04				
2-Ethyltoluene	1.31E-04				
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.31E-04				
Benzene	1.31E-04				
Ethylbenzene	1.31E-04				
Hexane	1.31E-04				
m,p-Xylene	1.31E-04				
Naphthalene	1.31E-04				
o-Xylene	1.31E-04				
Styrene	1.31E-04				
Toluene	1.31E-04				
Undecane	1.31E-04				
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
1,3-Diethylbenzene	6.55E-04				
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
2,4-Dimethylphenol	6.55E-04				
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
2,6-Dimethylphenol	6.55E-04				
2,7- Dimethylnaphthalene	6.55E-04				
3-Ethyltoluene	6.55E-04				
Acenaphthalene	6.55E-04				

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal
Biphenyl	6.55E-04
Cyclohexane	6.55E-04
Decane	6.55E-04
Dodecane	6.55E-04
Heptane	6.55E-04
Indan	6.55E-04
Indene	6.55E-04
m,p-Cresol	6.55E-04
Nonane	6.55E-04
o-Cresol	6.55E-04
Octane	6.55E-04
Phenol	6.55E-04
Propylbenzene	6.55E-04
Tetradecane	6.55E-04
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.14E-04
Acetaldehyde	1.14E-04
Acetone	1.14E-04
Acrolein	1.14E-04
Benzaldehyde	1.14E-04
Butyraldehyde	1.14E-04
Crotonaldehyde	1.14E-04
Formaldehyde	1.14E-04
Hexaldehyde	1.14E-04
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	1.90E-04
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	3.04E-04
Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	1.14E-04
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	1.14E-04
HC as Hexane	3.67E-03

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal				
Carbon Monoxide	3.09E-01				
Methane	1.76E-02				
Carbon Dioxide	4.85E-01				
Ethane	3.31E-01				
Propane	4.85E-01				
Isobutane	6.39E-01				
Butane	6.39E-01				
Neopentane	7.94E-01				
Isopentane	7.94E-01				
Pentane	7.94E-01				


Test Plan FI Quantitation Limits – Lb/Lb Parting Spray

Analytes	Lb/Lb Parting Spray				
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	7.13E-05				
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	7.13E-05				
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	7.13E-05				
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	7.13E-05				
1-Methylnaphthalene	7.13E-05				
2-Ethyltoluene	7.13E-05				
2-Methylnaphthalene	7.13E-05				
Benzene	7.13E-05				
Ethylbenzene	7.13E-05				
Hexane	7.13E-05				
m,p-Xylene	7.13E-05				
Naphthalene	7.13E-05				
o-Xylene	7.13E-05				
Styrene	7.13E-05				
Toluene	7.13E-05				
Undecane	7.13E-05				
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
1,3-Diethylbenzene	3.56E-04				
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
2,4-Dimethylphenol	3.56E-04				
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
2,6-Dimethylphenol	3.56E-04				
2,7- Dimethylnaphthalene	3.56E-04				
3-Ethyltoluene	3.56E-04				
Acenaphthalene	3.56E-04				

Analytes	Lb/Lb Parting Spray
Biphenyl	3.56E-04
Cyclohexane	3.56E-04
Decane	3.56E-04
Dodecane	3.56E-04
Heptane	3.56E-04
Indan	3.56E-04
Indene	3.56E-04
m,p-Cresol	3.56E-04
Nonane	3.56E-04
o-Cresol	3.56E-04
Octane	3.56E-04
Phenol	3.56E-04
Propylbenzene	3.56E-04
Tetradecane	3.56E-04
2-Butanone (MEK)	6.19E-05
Acetaldehyde	6.19E-05
Acetone	6.19E-05
Acrolein	6.19E-05
Benzaldehyde	6.19E-05
Butyraldehyde	6.19E-05
Crotonaldehyde	6.19E-05
Formaldehyde	6.19E-05
Hexaldehyde	6.19E-05
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	1.03E-04
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	1.65E-04
Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	6.19E-05
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	6.19E-05
HC as Hexane	2.00E-03


Analytes	Lb/Lb Parting Spray					
Carbon Monoxide	1.68E-01					
Methane	9.59E-03					
Carbon Dioxide	2.64E-01					
Ethane	1.80E-01					
Propane	2.64E-01					
Isobutane	3.48E-01					
Butane	3.48E-01					
Neopentane	4.32E-01					
Isopentane	4.32E-01					
Pentane	4.32E-01					

APPENDIX C TEST SERIES FI DETAILED PROCESS DATA





Test FI Detailed Process Data


Greensand PCS										
Pour Date	7/14/2003	7/14/2003	7/15/2003	7/15/2003	7/15/2003	7/16/2003	7/16/2003	7/16/2003	7/17/2003	
Emissions Sample #	FI 001	FI 002	FI 003	FI 004	FI 005	FI 006	FI 007	FI 008	FI 009	Average
Production Sample #	FI 001	FI 002	FI 003	FI 004	FI 005	FI 006	FI 007	FI 008	FI 009	
Cast Weight - All Metal Inside Mold (lbs.)	100.5	96.0	95.0	96.0	99.5	99.5	98.0	96.5	100.5	97.9
Pouring Time (sec.)	19	21	21	20	16	16	13	14	16	17
Pouring Temp (°F)	2679	2688	2673	2679	2690	2689	2680	2675	2689	2682
Pour Hood Process Air Temp at Start of Pour (°F)	87	92	87	87	90	86	87	90	85	88
Muller Batch Weight (lbs.)	970	900	900	900	910	900	900	840	900	902
GS Mold Sand Weight, (lbs.)	656	650	660	656	660	660	656	660	660	658
Mold Compactability, %	49	51	49	48	48	45	48	45	45	48
Mold Temperature (°F)	87	91	86	90	89	83	93	96	83	89
Average Green Compression (psi)	13.50	12.53	12.77	13.69	13.97	13.95	13.40	13.46	14.99	13.58
GS Compactability (%)	43	51	47	45	45	42	44	42	41	44
GS Moisture Content (%)	1.78	1.98	1.88	1.95	2.01	2.00	1.96	1.88	1.80	1.92
GS Clay Content (%)	6.87	6.62	6.87	6.87	7.25	7.64	6.87	6.62	6.87	6.94
1800°F LOI - Mold Sand (%)	1.12	1.19	1.12	1.10	1.13	1.11	1.14	1.04	1.05	1.11
900°F Volatiles (%)	0.53	0.42	0.40	0.38	0.32	0.42	0.36	0.30	0.34	0.39
Liquid Parting Spray (grams)	40	41	40	41	40	40	41	41	41	41





APPENDIX D METHOD 25A CHARTS
























APPENDIX E GLOSSARY



Glossary

BO Based on ().

BOS Based on Sand.

HAP Hazardous Air Pollutant defined by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment

HC as Calculated by the summation of all area between elution of Hexane through the

Hexane elution of Hexadecane. The quantity of HC is performed against a five-point

calibration curve of Hexane by dividing the total area count from C6 through

C16 to the area of Hexane from the initial calibration curve.

I Data rejected based on data validation considerations

NA Not Applicable

ND Non-Detect

NT Lab testing was not done

POM Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM) including Naphthalene and other compounds

that contain more than one benzene ring and have a boiling point greater than or

equal to 100 degrees Celsius.

TGOC as Weighted to the detection of more volatile hydrocarbon species, beginning at

Propane C1 (methane), with results calibrated against a three-carbon alkane (propane).

VOC Volatile Organic Compound