



Casting Emission Reduction Program

Prepared by:

**TECHNIKON LLC**

5301 Price Avenue ▼ McClellan, CA, 95652 ▼ (916) 929-8001

[www.technikonllc.com](http://www.technikonllc.com)


*US Army Contract DAAE30-02-C-1095  
FY 2003 Tasks*

## **Product Test: Phenolic Urethane Cores with Anti-Vein Additives in Greensand, Iron, PCS**

**Technikon #1410-115 FT**

**June 2004**

*(revised for public distribution)*



*this page intentionally left blank*

## **Product Test: Phenolic Urethane Cores with Anti-Vein Additives in Greensand, Iron, PCS**

### **1410-115 FT**

This report has been reviewed for completeness and accuracy and approved for release by the following:

Research Chemist	<u>// Original Signed //</u> Carmen Hornsby	<u>                    </u> Date
Process Engineering Manager	<u>// Original Signed //</u> Steven M. Knight	<u>                    </u> Date
V.P. Measurement Technology	<u>// Original Signed //</u> Clifford R. Glowacki, CIH	<u>                    </u> Date
V.P. Operations	<u>// Original Signed //</u> George Crandell	<u>                    </u> Date
President	<u>// Original Signed //</u> William Walden	<u>                    </u> Date

The data contained in this report were developed to assess the relative emissions profile of the product or process being evaluated. You may not obtain the same results in your facility. Data was not collected to assess casting quality, cost, or producability.

*this page intentionally left blank*

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	1
1.0 Introduction.....	5
1.1 Background .....	5
1.2 Technikon Objectives .....	5
1.3 Report Organization.....	5
1.4 Specific Test Plan and Objectives.....	6
2.0 Test Methodology .....	7
2.1 Description of Process and Testing Equipment .....	7
2.2 Description of Testing Program.....	7
2.3 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures .....	10
3.0 Test Results.....	11
4.0 Discussion of Results .....	19

## List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Pre-Production Foundry Layout Diagram .....	7
Figure 3-1 Emission Indicators from Test Series FT – Lb/Lb Binder.....	15
Figure 3-2 Selected HAP Emissions from Test Series FT– Lb/Lb Binder.....	15
Figure 3-3 Selected VOC Emissions from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Lb Binder .....	16
Figure 3-4 Emissions Indicators from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Tn Metal.....	16
Figure 3-5 Selected HAP Emissions from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Tn Metal .....	17
Figure 3-6 Selected VOC Emissions from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Tn Metal.....	17

## **List of Tables**

Table 1-1	Test Plan Summary .....	6
Table 2-1	Process Parameters Measured .....	9
Table 2-2	Sampling and Analytical Methods .....	9
Table 3-1	Summary of Test Plan FR and FT Average Results – Lb/Lb Binder .....	12
Table 3-2	Summary of Test Plan FT Average Results – Lb/Tn Metal .....	13
Table 3-3	% of the Available VOCs for Test FT .....	14
Table 3-4	Summary of Test Plan for FR and FT Average Process Parameters .....	14

## **Appendices**

Appendix A	Approved Test Plan and Sample Plan for Test Series FT.....	21
Appendix B	Test Series FT Detailed Emission Results .....	41
Appendix C	Test Series FT Detailed Process Data .....	59
Appendix D	Method 25A Charts .....	67
Appendix E	Glossary .....	73

## Executive Summary

This report contains the results of emission testing to evaluate the pouring, cooling, and shakeout emissions from Test FT, a phenolic urethane cored greensand system without seacoal. The testing was conducted to evaluate two different anti-veining additives, an aluminum silicate (Veino®) at 5% and a mixed material (Macor®) at 1.5%, and compare them to the results from Test FR. The anti-veining additives evaluated in Test FR were red iron oxide and black iron oxide. For the convenience of the reader, results from Test FR are included in this report. All testing was conducted by Technikon, LLC in its research foundry. The emissions results are reported in both pounds of analyte per pound of binder and pounds of analyte per ton of metal poured. These tests were conducted at 1.4% binder ((BOS). Use of some of these additives in a working foundry may require increased binder levels to obtain a useable core with a corresponding emissions increase.

The testing performed involved the collection of continuous air samples over a seventy-five minute period, including the mold pouring, cooling, shakeout, and post shakeout periods. Process and stack parameters were measured and include: the weights of the casting, mold, core, anti-veining compounds, and binder; Loss on Ignition (LOI) values for the cores and mold prior to the test; metallurgical data; and stack temperature, pressure, volumetric flow rate, and moisture content. The process parameters were maintained within prescribed ranges in order to ensure the reproducibility of the test runs. Samples were collected and analyzed for sixty-eight target compounds using procedures based on US EPA Method 18. Continuous monitoring of the Total Gaseous Organic Concentration (TGOC) of the emissions was conducted according to US EPA Method 25A.

The mass emission rate of each parameter or target compound was calculated using the Method 25A data or the laboratory analytical results, the measured source data and the weight of each casting and/or the binder weight. Results for structural isomers have been grouped and reported as a single entity. For example, ortho-, meta-, and para-xylene are the three (3) structural isomers of dimethyl benzene. The separate isomer results are available in Appendix B of this report. Other “emissions indicators,” in addition to the TGOC as Propane, were also calculated. The HC as Hexane results represent the sum of all organic compounds detected and expressed as Hexane. All of the following sums are sub-groups of this measure. The “Sum of VOCs” is based on the sum of the individual target VOCs measured and includes the selected HAPs and selected Polycyclic Organic Material (POMs) listed in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The “Sum of HAPs” is the sum of the individual target HAPs measured and includes the selected POMs. Finally, the “Sum of POMs” is the sum of all of the polycyclic organic material measured.

Results for the emission indicators are shown in the following tables reported in both lbs/lb of binder and lbs/tn of metal.

### Test Plan FT Emissions Indicators – Lb/Lb Binder

Analytes	Baseline W/O Additives (Lb/Lb Binder)	Red Iron Oxide (Lb/Lb Binder)	Black Iron Oxide (Lb/Lb Binder)	Aluminum Silicate (Lb/Lb Binder)	Mixed Materials (Lb/Lb Binder)
TGOC as Propane	0.1480	0.1366	0.1510	0.1117	0.1466
HC as Hexane	0.0482	0.0421	0.0498	0.0328	0.0416
Sum of VOCs	0.0591	0.0536	0.0645	0.0452	0.0497
Sum of HAPs	0.0554	0.0500	0.0602	0.0425	0.0453
Sum of POMs	0.0169	0.0159	0.0192	0.0119	0.0148

### Test Plan FT Emissions Indicators – Lb/Tn Metal

Analytes	Baseline W/O Additives (Lb/Tn Metal)	Red Iron Oxide (Lb/Tn Metal)	Black Iron Oxide (Lb/Tn Metal)	Aluminum Silicate (Lb/Tn Metal)	Mixed Materials (Lb/Tn Metal)
TGOC as Propane	0.9259	0.8300	0.9706	0.7116	0.9177
HC as Hexane	0.3016	0.2555	0.3198	0.2088	0.2606
Sum of VOCs	0.3705	0.3264	0.4162	0.2909	0.3111
Sum of HAPs	0.3474	0.3047	0.3886	0.2732	0.2838
Sum of POMs	0.1059	0.0966	0.1241	0.0763	0.0928

A pictorial casting record was made of the NE (northeast) cavity from each mold. The pictures are shown in rank-order in Appendix C. The mixed material was clearly the most effective in reducing veins and giving a smooth surface texture. Red iron oxide was second best for vein reduction at the expense of surface appearance. The aluminum silicate was second best at surface appearance but slightly inferior to red iron oxide in vein reduction. Black iron oxide was superior only to the no additive reference.

### Casting Ratings

	Smoothness	Veining
<b>Best</b>	Mixed Material	Mixed Material
	Aluminum Silicate	Red Iron Oxide
	Red Iron Oxide	Aluminum Silicate
	Black Iron Oxide	Black Iron Oxide
<b>Worst</b>	Reference	Reference



It must be noted that the reference and product testing performed is not suitable for use as emission factors or for purposes other than evaluating the relative emission reductions associated with the use of alternative materials, equipment, or processes. The emissions measurements are unique to the specific castings produced, materials used, and testing methodology associated with these tests, and should not be used as the basis for estimating emissions from actual commercial foundry applications.

*this page intentionally left blank*

## **1.0 Introduction**

### **1.1 Background**

Technikon LLC is a privately held contract research organization located in McClellan, California, a suburb of Sacramento. Technikon offers emissions research services to industrial and government clients specializing in the metal casting and mobile emissions areas. Technikon operates the Casting Emission Reduction Program (CERP). CERP is a cooperative initiative between the Department of Defense (US Army) and the United States Council for Automotive Research (USCAR). Its purpose is to evaluate alternative casting materials and processes that are designed to reduce air emissions and/or produce more efficient casting processes. Other technical partners directly supporting the project include: the American Foundry Society (AFS); the Casting Industry Suppliers Association (CISA); the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA); and the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

### **1.2 Technikon Objectives**

The primary objective of Technikon is to evaluate materials, equipment, and processes used in the production of metal castings. Technikon's facility was designed to evaluate alternate materials and production processes designed to achieve significant air emission reductions, especially for the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment. The facility's principal testing arena is designed to measure airborne emissions from individually poured molds. This testing arena has been specially designed to facilitate the repeatable collection and evaluation of airborne emissions and associated process data.

It must be noted that the results from the reference and product testing performed are not suitable for use as emission factors or for other purposes other than evaluating the relative emission reductions associated with the use of alternative materials, equipment, or manufacturing processes. The emissions measurements are unique to the specific castings produced, materials used, and testing methodology associated with these tests. These measurements should not be used as the basis for estimating emissions from actual commercial foundry applications

### **1.3 Report Organization**

This report has been designed to document the methodology and results of a specific test plan that was used to evaluate VOC emissions from a cored greensand system. Section 2 of this report includes a summary of the methodologies used for data collection and analysis, emission calculations, QA/QC procedures, and data management and reduction methods. Specific data collected during this test are summarized in Section 3 of this report, with detailed data included in the appendices of this report. Section 4 of this report contains a discussion of the results.

The raw data for this test series are included in a data binder that is maintained at the Technikon facility.

## 1.4 Specific Test Plan and Objectives

Table 1-1 provides a summary of the test plan. The details of the approved test plan are included in Appendix A.

**Table 1-1 Test Plan Summary**


	<b>Test Plan</b>
<b>Type of Process tested</b>	Phenolic Urethane Core with Anti-Veining Compounds, Greensand without Seacoal, Iron PCS
<b>Test Plan Number</b>	1410 115 FT
<b>Greensand System</b>	Wexford W450 Lakesand, Western and Southern Bentonite in a 5:2 ratio, No Seacoal
<b>Metal Poured</b>	Iron
<b>Casting Type</b>	4-on Step Core
<b>Core Binder</b>	1.4% Ashland ISOCURE <sup>®</sup> 305/904
<b>Core Sand</b>	Amador A-70 Silica Sand
<b>Anti-Veining Materials</b>	a) 5% (BOS) Ashland 050360 Veino <sup>®</sup> b) 1.5 % (BOS) J.S. McCormick Co. Macor <sup>®</sup> 1032
<b>Number of Molds Poured</b>	For each Configuration: 3 Conditioning and 4 Sampling (Total of 14 Molds)
<b>Test Dates</b>	3/30/04 > 4/8/04
<b>Emissions Measured</b>	TGOC as Propane, HC as Hexane, 68 Organic HAPs and VOCs
<b>Process Parameters Measured</b>	Total Casting, Mold, Binder Weights; Metallurgical data, % LOI; Stack Temperature, Moisture Content, Sand Temperature, Pressure, and Volumetric Flow Rate

## 2.0 Test Methodology

### 2.1 Description of Process and Testing Equipment

Figure 2-1 is a diagram of the Pre-Production Foundry process equipment.

**Figure 2-1 Pre-Production Foundry Layout Diagram**



### 2.2 Description of Testing Program


The specific steps used in this sampling program are summarized below:

1. **Test Plan Review and Approval:** The proposed test plan was reviewed and approved by the Technikon staff.

2. **Mold, Core and Metal Preparation:** The molds and cores were prepared to a standard composition by the Technikon production team. The cores were blown in a Redford/Carver core blower. Relevant process data was collected and recorded. Iron was melted in a 1000 lb. Ajax induction furnace. The amount of metal melted was determined from the poured weight of the casting and the number of molds to be poured. The metal composition was Class-30 Gray Iron as prescribed by a metal composition worksheet. The weight of metal poured into each mold was recorded on the process data summary sheet.

3. **Individual Sampling Events:** Replicate tests were performed on eight mold packages after conditioning (in two sets of four). The mold packages were placed into an enclosed test stand heated to approximately 85°F. Iron was poured through an opening in the top of the emission enclosure, after which the opening was closed.


Continuous air samples were collected during the forty-five minute pouring and cooling process, during the fifteen minute shakeout of the mold, and for an additional fifteen minute period following shakeout. The total sampling time was seventy-five minutes.



*Step Core Machine*



*Total Enclosure Test Stand*



*Method 25A (TGOC) and  
Method 18 Sampling Train*

4. **Process Parameter Measurements:** Table 2-1 lists the process parameters that are monitored during each test. The analytical equipment and methods used are also listed.

**Table 2-1 Process Parameters Measured**

Parameter	Analytical Equipment and Methods
Mold Weight	Cardinal 748E platform scale (Gravimetric)
Casting Weight	Cardinal 748E platform scale (Gravimetric)
Muller water weight	Ohaus MP2 Scale (Gravimetric)
Binder Weight, Core Weight	Mettler SB12001 Digital Scale (Gravimetric)
Volatiles	Mettler PB302 Scale (AFS Procedure 2213-00-S)
LOI, % at Mold and Shakeout	Denver Instruments XE-100 Analytical Scale (AFS procedure 5100-00-S)
<b>Metallurgical Parameters</b>	
Pouring Temperature	Electro-Nite DT 260 (T/C Immersion Pyrometer)
Carbon/Silicon Fusion Temperature	Electro-Nite DataCast 2000 (Thermal Arrest)
Alloy Weights	Ohaus MP2 Scale (Gravimetric)
Mold Compactability	Dietert 319A Sand Squeezer (AFS Procedure 2221-00-S)
Carbon/Silicon	Electro-Nite DataCast 2000 (thermal arrest)

5. **Air Emissions Analysis:** The specific sampling and analytical methods used in the Pre-Production Foundry tests are based on the US EPA reference methods shown in Table 2-2. The details of the specific testing procedures and their variance from the reference methods are included in the Technikon Standard Operating Procedures.

**Table 2-2 Sampling and Analytical Methods**

Measurement Parameter	Test Method
Port Location	EPA Method 1
Number of Traverse Points	EPA Method 1
Gas Velocity and Temperature	EPA Method 2
Gas Density and Molecular Weight	EPA Method 3A
Gas Moisture	EPA Method 4, gravimetric
HAPs Concentration	EPA Method 18, TO11, NIOSH 1500, 2002
VOCs Concentration	EPA Method 18, 25A, TO11, NIOSH 1500, 2002

\*These methods were specifically modified to meet the testing objectives of the CERP Program.

6. **Data Reduction, Tabulation and Preliminary Report Preparation:** The analytical results of the emissions tests provide the mass of each analyte in the sample. The total mass of the analyte emitted is calculated by multiplying the mass of analyte in the sample times the ratio of total stack gas volume to sample volume. The total stack gas volume is calculated from the measured stack gas velocity and duct diameter, and corrected to dry standard conditions us-

ing the measured stack pressures, temperatures, gas molecular weight and moisture content. The total mass of analyte is then divided by the weight of the binder and the weight of the casting poured to provide emissions data in both pounds of analyte per pound of binder and pounds of analyte per ton of metal.

The results of each of the sampling events are included in the appendices of this report. The emissions results are also averaged and are shown in Tables 3-1 and 3-2.

7. **Report Preparation and Review:** The Preliminary Draft Report is reviewed by the Process Team and Emissions Team to ensure its completeness, consistency with the test plan, and adherence to the prescribed QA/QC procedures. Appropriate observations, conclusions and recommendations are added to the report to produce a Draft Report. The Draft Report is reviewed by the Vice President-Measurement Technologies, the Vice President-Operations, the Manager-Process Engineering, and the Technikon President. Comments are incorporated into a draft Final Report prior to final signature approval and distribution.

### 2.3 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures

Detailed QA/QC and data validation procedures for the process parameters, stack measurements, and laboratory analytical procedures are included in the Technikon Emissions Testing and Analytical Testing Standard Operating Procedures. In order to ensure the timely review of critical quality control parameters, the following procedures are followed:

- Immediately following the individual sampling events performed for each test, specific process parameters are reviewed by the Manager - Process Engineering to ensure that the parameters are maintained within the prescribed control ranges. Where data are not within the prescribed ranges, the Manager - Process Engineering and the Vice President - Operations determine whether the individual test samples should be invalidated or flagged for further analysis following review of the laboratory data.
- The source (stack) and sampling parameters, analytical results and corresponding laboratory QA/QC data are reviewed by the Emissions Measurement Team to confirm the validity of the data. The VP-Measurement Technologies reviews and approves the recommendation, if any, that individual sample data should be invalidated. Invalidated data are not used in subsequent calculations.



### **3.0 Test Results**

The average emission results for Test FT and Test FR anti-veining additives in pounds per pound of binder and pounds per ton of metal are presented in Tables 3-1 and 3-2 respectively. The tables include the individual target compounds that comprise at least 95% of the total VOCs measured, along with the corresponding Sum of VOCs, Sum of HAPs, and Sum of POMs. The tables also include TGOc as propane, and HC as hexane.

The amount of available VOCs for the binder systems was determined using a method based on US EPA Method 24 and found to be 0.29 pounds per pound of binder or 29% of the binder weight. The average emissions results as a percentage of available VOCs is presented in Table 3-3 for each of the test parts.

Table 3-4 includes the averages of the key process parameters. Detailed process data for Test FT are presented in Appendix C. Corresponding data for Test FR may be found in the Test FR report.

Figures 3-1 to 3-3 present the five emissions indicators and selected individual HAP and VOC emissions data from Table 3-1 in graphical form based on binder weight.

Figures 3-4 to 3-6 present the five emissions indicators and selected HAP and VOC emissions data from Table 3-2 in graphical form based on metal weight.

Appendix B contains the detailed emissions data including the results for all analytes measured for Test FT. Corresponding data for Test FR may be found in the Test FR report.

Method 25A charts for the test is included in Appendix D of this report. The charts are presented to show the VOC profile of emissions for each pour.

The best, median, and worst NE Cavity castings for all test runs are shown in Appendix C.

**Table 3-1 Summary of Test Plan FR and FT Average Results – Lb/Lb Binder**

Analytes	Baseline W/O Additives (Lb/Lb Binder)	Red Iron Oxide (Lb/Lb Binder)	Red Iron Oxide % Change from Baseline	Black Iron Oxide (Lb/Lb Binder)	Black Iron Oxide % Change from Baseline	Aluminum Silicate (Lb/Lb Binder)	Aluminum Silicate % Change from Baseline	Mixed Materials (Lb/Lb Binder)	Mixed Materials % Change from Baseline
<b>TGOC as Propane</b>	0.1480	0.1366	-8	0.1510	2	0.1117	-25	0.1466	-1
<b>HC as Hexane</b>	0.0482	0.0421	-13	0.0498	3	0.0328	-32	0.0416	-14
<b>Sum of VOCs</b>	0.0591	0.0536	-9	0.0645	9	0.0452	-23	0.0497	-16
<b>Sum of HAPs</b>	0.0554	0.0500	-10	0.0602	9	0.0425	-23	0.0453	-18
<b>Sum of POMs</b>	0.0169	0.0159	-6	0.0192	14	0.0119	-29	0.0148	-12
<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>									
<b>Benzene</b>	0.0174	0.0132	-24	0.0156	-10	0.0138	-21	0.0127	-27
<b>Phenol</b>	0.0113	0.0118	5	0.0142	26	0.0083	-27	0.0081	-28
<b>Methylnaphthalenes</b>	0.0085	0.0086	2	0.0106	24	0.0063	-26	0.0080	-6
<b>Naphthalene</b>	0.0062	0.0051	-18	0.0061	-2	0.0039	-37	0.0047	-24
<b>o,m,p-Cresol</b>	0.0030	0.0024	-19	0.0034	15	0.0024	-19	0.0021	-28
<b>Toluene</b>	0.0026	0.0024	-7	0.0029	12	0.0024	-6	0.0032	25
<b>Dimethylnaphthalenes</b>	0.0022	0.0022	0	0.0026	19	0.0018	-18	0.0022	-1
<b>Aniline</b>	0.0021	0.0022	4	0.0023	10	0.0012	-44	ND	NA
<b>o,m,p-Xylene</b>	0.0010	0.0010	0	0.0012	17	0.0011	8	0.0013	29
<b>Acetaldehyde</b>	0.0005	0.0006	7	0.0006	7	0.0006	12	0.0018	228
<b>Hexane</b>	0.0001	0.0001	0	0.0001	0	0.0001	0	0.0004	309
<b>Other VOCs</b>									
<b>Trimethylbenzenes</b>	0.0013	0.0013	0	0.0016	28	0.0006	-49	0.0012	-2
<b>Ethyltoluenes</b>	0.0005	0.0005	0	0.0006	28	0.0003	-37	0.0004	-12
<b>Octane</b>	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	0.0011	NA	0.0012	NA

Individual results constitute >95% of mass of all detected VOCs.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.

**Table 3-2 Summary of Test Plan FT Average Results – Lb/Tn Metal**

Analytes	Baseline W/O Additives (Lb/Tn Metal)	Red Iron Oxide (Lb/Tn Metal)	Red Iron Oxide % Change from Baseline	Black Iron Oxide (Lb/Tn Metal)	Black Iron Oxide % Change from Baseline	Aluminum Silicate (Lb/Tn Metal)	Aluminum Silicate % Change from Baseline	Mixed Materials (Lb/Tn Metal)	Mixed Materials % Change from Baseline
<b>TGOC as Propane</b>	0.9259	0.8300	-10	0.9706	5	0.7116	-23	0.9177	-1
<b>HC as Hexane</b>	0.3016	0.2555	-15	0.3198	6	0.2088	-31	0.2606	-14
<b>Sum of VOCs</b>	0.3705	0.3264	-12	0.4162	12	0.2909	-21	0.3111	-16
<b>Sum of HAPs</b>	0.3474	0.3074	-12	0.3886	12	0.2732	-21	0.2838	-18
<b>Sum of POMs</b>	0.1059	0.0966	-9	0.1241	17	0.0763	-28	0.0928	-12
<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>									
<b>Benzene</b>	0.1088	0.0800	-26	0.1010	-7	0.0884	-19	0.0793	-27
<b>Phenol</b>	0.0707	0.0719	2	0.0920	30	0.0527	-25	0.0506	-28
<b>Methylnaphthalenes</b>	0.0533	0.0525	-2	0.0681	28	0.0401	-25	0.0498	-6
<b>Naphthalene</b>	0.0389	0.0310	-20	0.0392	1	0.0248	-36	0.0294	-24
<b>o,m,p-Cresol</b>	0.0187	0.0154	-18	0.0222	19	0.0154	-17	0.0134	-28
<b>Toluene</b>	0.0161	0.0144	-10	0.0186	16	0.0153	-4	0.0200	25
<b>Dimethylnaphthalenes</b>	0.0185	0.0188	2	0.0169	-9	0.0114	-38	0.0136	-26
<b>Aniline</b>	0.0130	0.0132	2	0.0147	13	0.0073	-43	ND	NA
<b>o,m,p-Xylene</b>	0.0064	0.0059	-7	0.0077	20	0.0070	10	0.0082	29
<b>Acetaldehyde</b>	0.0034	0.0035	4	0.0039	14	0.0049	44	0.0112	228
<b>Hexane</b>	0.0006	0.0005	-16	0.0008	33	0.0009	56	0.0024	309
<b>Other VOCs</b>									
<b>Trimethylbenzenes</b>	0.0079	0.0077	-3	0.0104	32	0.0041	-48	0.0077	-2
<b>Ethyltoluenes</b>	0.0028	0.0028	0	0.0037	31	0.0018	-36	0.0025	-12
<b>Octane</b>	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	0.0069	NA	0.0072	NA

Individual results constitute >95% of mass of all detected VOCs.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.


**Table 3-3 % of the Available VOCs for Test FT and FR**

Analyte	Baseline W/O Additives	Red Iron Oxide	Black Iron Oxide	Aluminum Silicate	Mixed Materials
HC as Hexane	16	14	17	11	14


**Table 3-4 Summary of Test Plan for FR and FT Average Process Parameters**

Greensand PCS with Anti-Veining Core Additive					
Test FT	Reference No additions	Red Iron Oxide	Black Iron Oxide	Aluminum Silicate	Mixed Material
Test Dates	1/15-16/04	1/21-21/04	1/28-29/04	3/30-31/04	4/5-7/04
Cast weight (all metal inside mold) Lbs	111.1	111.5	109.3	109.8	110.5
Pouring time, sec	28	24	21	19	21
Pouring temp, °F	2632	2630	2630	2629	2634
Pour hood process air temp at start of pour, °F	87	87	87	89	88
Mixer auto dispensed batch weight, Lbs	45.35	42.90	45.67	44.90	44.91
Calibrated auto dispensed binder weight, Lbs	0.633	0.600	0.634	0.625	0.627
Core binder calibrated weight, %BOS	1.39	1.40	1.39	1.39	1.40
Core binder calibrated weight, %	1.38	1.38	1.37	1.37	1.38
Total uncoated core weight in mold, Lbs	25.25	24.55	25.66	25.46	25.15
Total binder weight in mold, Lbs	0.347	0.339	0.351	0.350	0.346
Core LOI, %	1.26	1.34	1.38	1.27	2.22
Core dogbone 2 hour tensile, psi	40	NA	50.2	30	31
Core age, hours	61	61	62	107	60
Muller batch weight, Lbs	900	900	900	900	900
GS mold sand weight, Lbs	620	612	597	609	615
Mold compactability, %	56	55	57	51	52
Mold temperature, °F	70	67	67	73	71
Average green compression, psi	11.0	14.0	17.3	15.13	17.4
GS compactability, %	53	51	50	38	42
GS moisture content, %	2.27	2.30	2.41	1.84	2.09
GS MB clay content, %	6.02	6.02	7.34	8.10	7.63
MB clay reagent, ml	26.0	25.8	31.4	32.4	30.5
1800°F LOI - mold sand, %	0.71	0.81	0.86	1.03	0.99
900°F volatiles, %	0.24	0.28	0.25	0.44	0.47
Overall casting appearance 1: Best, 6: Median, 11: Worst					
1		Best			Best
2			Best		Med Best
3		Median			Med Worst
4	Best			Best	
5		Worst		Med Best	
6	Median			Med Worst	
7			Median	Worst	
8			Worst		
9	Worst				Worst


**Figure 3-1 Emission Indicators from Test Series FT – Lb/Lb Binder**




**Figure 3-2 Selected HAP Emissions from Test Series FT– Lb/Lb Binder**




**Figure 3-3 Selected VOC Emissions from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Lb Binder**




**Figure 3-4 Emissions Indicators from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Tn Metal**



**Figure 3-5 Selected HAP Emissions from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Tn Metal**



**Figure 3-6 Selected VOC Emissions from Test Series FR and FT – Lb/Tn Metal**



*this page intentionally left blank*



## 4.0 Discussion of Results

Test FT consisted of two segments to compare the effects of anti-veining additives in the core sand on the emissions and casting appearance. The aluminum silicate additive segment contained test runs FT001 to FT004, and the mixed material additive segment contained test runs FT005 to FT008. The results from the two anti-veining additives sets were compared to a baseline without additives set previously collected under Test FR. (See Tables 3-1 and 3-2). For the convenience of the reader, results from a previous anti-vein additive test, Test FR, have been incorporated into Tables 3-1 and 3-2 of this report. These tests were conducted at 1.4% binder ((BOS). Use of some of these additives in a working foundry may require increased binder levels to obtain a useable core with a corresponding emissions increase.

Two methods were employed to measure undifferentiated hydrocarbon emissions, TGOC as propane, performed in accordance with EPA Method 25A, and HC as hexane. EPA Method 25A, TGOC (as propane), is weighted to the detection of more volatile hydrocarbon species, beginning at C1 (methane), with results calibrated against a three-carbon alkane (propane). HC as hexane is weighted to the detection of relatively less volatile compounds. This method detects hydrocarbon compounds in the alkane range between C6 and C16, with results calibrated against a six-carbon alkane (hexane).

An independent test for volatile matter content based on EPA Method 24 was performed to determine the amount of available VOCs in the binder system used for this test. Certain analytes such as formaldehyde, phenol, and the cresols selected for analysis in Test FT may not be represented in the HC as Hexane determination but may be represented in the Method 24 results. The HC as Hexane determination for this test was found to be 16%, 11%, and 14% of the total VOCs available as represented by Method 24 for the three test segments respectively. See Table 3-3.

HC as hexane was found at lower amounts than the total Sum of VOCs. This is possibly due to the relatively high amount of phenol and cresols detected that may not be represented in the HC as hexane results.

For all test segments, benzene was found to be the largest contributor to the Sum of HAPs (26-32%). Phenol was found to be approximately 18-24%, methylnaphthalenes 15-18%, and naphthalene 9-11%. Aniline was detected in the baseline, iron oxide and aluminum silicate test sets at approximately 3% of the total HAPs, but was not found with the mixed material test set.

Target analyte reporting limits expressed in both pounds per pound of binder and pounds per ton of metal are shown in Appendix B.

Observation of measured process parameters indicates that the tests were run within an acceptable range.

Mixed material produced the smoothest core surface with the fewest veins. The red iron oxide was second best in reducing veins but it, as well as the black iron oxide, gave rougher casting surface textures. The aluminum silicate was second best in casting surface texture.

*this page intentionally left blank*

<p><b>APPENDIX A    APPROVED TEST PLAN AND SAMPLE PLAN FOR TEST SERIES FT</b></p>
---

*this page intentionally left blank*

---

## TECHNIKON TEST PLAN

---

> <b>CONTRACT NUMBER:</b>	1410	<b>TASK NUMBER</b>	1.1.5	<b>SERIES</b>	FT
> <b>SITE:</b>	Pre-production				
> <b>TEST TYPE:</b>	Product Test: Pouring, cooling, & shakeout of uncoated Phenolic urethane step cores with anti-veining compounds.				
> <b>METAL TYPE:</b>	Class 30 gray iron				
> <b>MOLD TYPE:</b>	Virgin, no seacoal, greensand with 4-on PU stup cores recycled after each pour.				
> <b>NUMBER OF MOLDS:</b>	Three engineering & conditioning runs + 4 sampling runs each using two (2) anti-veining compounds, fourteen (14) runs total.				
> <b>CORE TYPE:</b>	Step: 1.4% Ashland ISOCURE® Phenolic Urethane LF305 part I (55%), 904GR part II (45%), amine cured, 50-120 hrs old. Test cores shall contain 5% (BOS) Ashland 050360 An aluminum silicate or 1.5% (BOS) J.S. McCormick Co. Macor® 1032.				
> <b>CORE COATING:</b>	Cores shall be uncoated				
> <b>SAMPLE EVENTS:</b>	4 runs each on two core sets containing different anti-veining materials, total eight (8) sample runs.				
> <b>TEST DATE(S):</b>	<b>START:</b>	23 Feb 2004			
	<b>FINISH:</b>	12 MAR 2004			

### TEST OBJECTIVES:

Measure the airborne pouring, cooling & shakeout emission for orgainc step cores containing anti-veining compoiunds in a mechanically-produced clay, water, coal-less greensand mold.

### VARIABLES:

The pattern will be the 4-on step core. The mold will be made with Wexford W450 Lakesand, western and southern bentonite in a 5:2 ratio to yield 7.0 +/- 0.5% MB Clay, no seacoal, and tempered to 40-45% compactability, mechanically compacted. The molds will be maintained at 80-90°F prior to pouring. The sand heap will be maintained at 900 pounds. Molds will be poured with iron at 2630 +/- 10 oF. Mold cooling will be 45 minutes followed by 15 minutes of shake-out, or until no more material remains to be shaken out, followed by 15 minutes additional sampling for a total of 75 minutes. Cores will be made with Amador-70 silica sand heated to 85-90°F and made in an 80-90°F heated enclosed core machine. No emission sampling will be done

during core manufacture.

**BRIEF OVERVIEW:**

This is the second test in a series to include materials contained in the core in addition to the binder. The purpose of the included core additives is to reduce the veining defect common to silica sand cores poured with iron. This test and Test FR, using different anti-veining materials, are intended to determine if the anti-veining compounds impact the emissions from the base binder in an uncoated core poured with iron.

The greensand molds will be produced on the mechanically assisted Osborne 716 molding machines.

The emission results will be compared to an internal baseline of uncoated step cores of the same binder and binder content but without anti-veining compounds. In addition to a suite of selected emission analytes TGOC, CO, & CO<sub>2</sub> content of the runs will be monitored using instruments specific to those gasses.

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS:**

The process will include rigorous maintenance of the size of sand heap and maintenance of the material and environmental testing temperatures to reduce seasonal and daily temperature dependent influence on the emissions. Initially for each subtest a 1300 pound greensand heap will be created from a single muller batch. Nine hundred pounds will become the re-circulating heap. The balance will be used to makeup for attrition. Cores will be produced with Amador A-70 silica sand at 85-90°F. The core shall be maintained at 80-90°F awaiting insertion in the mold. The cores shall be stabilized for 50-120 hours when tested.

## Series FT

---

# PCS Core Product Test in Greensand with Ashland 305/904 Core Binder, Anti-veining compound, & Mechanized Molding Process Instructions

### A. Experiment:

1. Measure pouring, cooling, & shakeout emissions from uncoated organic cores, containing an anti-veining compound, in a greensand mold made with all virgin Wexford W450 sand, bonded with Western & Southern Bentonite in the ratio of 5:2 to yield 7.0 +/- 0.5 % MB Clay, & no seacoal. The molds shall be tempered with potable water to 40-45% compactability, poured at constant weight, temperature, surface area, & shape factor. This test will recycle the same mold material, replacing burned clay with new materials after each casting cycle and providing clay for the retained core sand. Emissions will be compared to those from the same mold configuration from Test FR containing cores with no anti-veining compound.

### B. Materials:

1. Mold sand: Virgin mix of Wexford W450 lake sand, western and southern bentonites in the ratio of 5:2, and potable water per recipe.
2. Core: Step cores made with virgin Amador A-70 sand and 1.4% Ashland ISOCURE® LF305/52-904GR regular phenolic urethane binder in a 55/45 ratio, TEA catalyzed. The test cores shall include an anti-veining compound.
3. Anti-vein Compounds:
  - a. To the test core sand mixes shall be added:

(1) 5% (BOS) Ashland (050360) Veino 2003® or 1.5% (BOS) of J.S. McCormick Macor 1032®.
4. Metal: Class-30 gray cast iron poured at 2630 +/- 10°F.
5. Pattern Spray: Black Diamond, hand wiped.

### C. Briefing:

1. The Process Engineer, Emissions Engineer, and the area Supervisor will brief the operating personnel on the requirements of the test at least one (1) day prior to the test.

**Caution:** Observe all safety precautions attendant to these operations as delineated in the Pre-production operating and safety instruction manual.

**D. ISOCURE® regular Step Cores:**

- 1. Premix anti-veining compound in blue R/C mixer.**
  - a.** Make 2 batches of sand. The first containing 5% (BOS) Ashland Veino 2003® anti-veining compound, and the other 1.5% (BOS) J.S. McCormick Macor 1032® anti-veining compound.
  - b.** For each batch add 400 pounds of dry A-70 sand to the R/C mixer.
  - c.** Distribute 20.00 pounds of Ashland Veino 2003® to one batch and 6.00 pounds of J.S. McCormick Macor 1032® to the remaining batch. Clean the mixer with 50 pounds of clean sand between batches.
  - d.** Mix each batch for 10 minutes.
  - e.** Place the respective batches in clean containers to be heated to 85-90°F degrees prior to mixing with the core binder.
- 2. Klein vibratory core sand mixer.**
  - a.** Attach the day tanks with the intended part I and part II binder components via respective binder shut-off valves so that they gravity feed to the respective pumps. The binder components should be 80-85°F.
  - b.** On the main control panel turn the AUTO/MAN switch to MANUAL. Turn on the main disconnect and MASTER START push buttons.
  - c.** Fill the Part I and Part II pumps and de-air the lines.
  - d.** Calibrate the Klein mixer.
    - (1)** Remove the mixing bowl skirt to gain access to the binder injection tubes and the bottom side of the batch hopper outlet gate.
    - (2)** Calibrate sand. Recalibrate for each sand mixture used.
      - (a)** Turn the AUTO/MAN switch to MANUAL on main control panel.
      - (b)** Place one bucket of preheated sand, raw, or containing one of the iron oxides, of at least fifty-two (52) pounds net weight, into the sand hopper and manually fill batch hopper using max. and min. proximity switches.
      - (c)** Discharge the sand from the batch hopper using the single cycle push button. Catch the sand as it leaves the batch hopper and record the net weight and the dispensing time.
      - (d)** Repeat 3 times to determine the weight variation. The sand should be 80-85°F.
    - (3)** Calibrate the binder pumps.
      - (a)** Adjust the part I dispensing rate by adjusting the part I pump stroke to be 55% of 1.4% (0.77% BOS) of the average sand batch weight dispensed in D.2.e.2).
      - (b)** Adjust the machine's inlet air pressure to dispense the binder in about the same time as the sand is dispensed, about 10-15 seconds.
      - (c)** Record the pressure and dispensing time, and net weight.
      - (d)** Repeat 3 times to determine the variation in dispensing rate.



- (e) Adjust the part II dispensing rate by adjusting the part II pump stroke to be 45% of 1.4% (.63% BOS) of the average sand rate dispensed in D.2.e.2).
- (f) Repeat D.2.d.3).c), & d) for Part II pump.

(4) Turn off the mixer and replace the mixing bowl skirt.

- e. Turn on the mixer and turn the AUTO/MAN switch to AUTO.
- f. Press the SINGLE CYCLE push button on the operators station to make a batch of sand. Make three (3) batches to start the Redford Carver core machine.
- g. Make a batch of sand for every 7 core machine cycles when using the step core. About two (2) batches will be retained in the core machine sand magazine.
- h. Clean the mixer after each material.
- i. Approximately 7 batches will be needed for each core material type.
- j. Mix the sand without anti-veining compound first, then the material containing the 1% red iron oxide, and finally the material containing the 2 % black iron oxide.

**Caution:** Do not make more sand than sand magazine will hold plus one (1) batch. If too much sand is made the sand will be exposed to captured TEA and significantly shorten the sand bench life

### 3. Redford/Carver core machine.

- a. Mount the Step-Core core box on the Carver/Redford core machine.
- b. Start the core machine auxiliary equipment per the Production Foundry OSI for that equipment.
- c. Set up the core machine in the cold box mode with gassing and working pressures and gas and purge time according to the core recipe sheet.
- d. Core process setup
  - (1) Set the TEA to a nominal 5 grams per blow (gas time 0.75 sec (R/C), flow .019 lbs/sec (Luber).
  - (2) Set the blow pressure to 30+/-2 psi for 3 seconds (R/C).
  - (3) Set the max purge pressure to 45 psi on the Luber gas generator.
  - (4) Purge for 20 seconds(R/C) with a 10 second rise time (Luber).
  - (5) Total cycle time approximately 1 minute.
- e. Run the core machine for three (3) cycles and discard the cores. When the cores appear good begin test core manufacture. Five (5) good cores are required for each mold. Make five (5) additional 50 pound sand batches and run the sand out making core. A minimum of 35 cores are required.
- f. One half hour to 1 hour after manufacture randomly perform a scratch hardness test on the outer edge of the blow surface on 10% of the cores and record the results on the Core Production Log. Values less than 25 shall be marked with a HOLD TAG until they can be 100% scratch hardness tested to re-qualify. Contact the Process Engineer for disposition on all cores with values less than 15 after 1 hour. Weigh each core and log the results.

- g.** The sand lab will sample, at the time of manufacture, one (1) core from each row of each shelf (1 of 11) on each core rack. Those cores will be tested for LOI using the standard 1800°F core LOI test method and reported out associated with the core rack shelf it represents. Qualified cores receiving the green Quality Checked tag must have LOI values between 1.25-1.50%. Individual rows that qualify may have the Quality Checked tag affixed. Only cores with the green Quality Tag bearing the current test series and dates and signature of the lab technician and core rack/shelf/position on shelf may be taken to the mold assembly area.

**Note:** The core rack position from the Quality Checked tag shall be transferred to the mold assembly check list with the core weights.

- h.** The sand lab will sample one (1) core from the core rack for each mold produced just prior to the emission test to represent the four (4) cores placed in that mold. Those cores will be tested for LOI using the standard 1800 oF core LOI test method and reported out associated with the test mold it is to represent.

#### **E. Sand preparation**

- 1.** Start up batch: make 2, FTCD1, & FTCD4. One batch is for each type of core material.

  - a.** Thoroughly clean the pre-production muller, elevator, and molding hoppers.
  - b.** Weigh and add 1225 +/-10 pounds of new Wexford W450 Lakesand, per the recipe, to the running pre-production muller to make a 1300 batch.
  - c.** Add 5 pounds of potable to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
  - d.** Add the clays slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass in proportion to the sand weight per the recipe for this test.
  - e.** Dry mull for about 3 minutes to allow distribution and some grinding of the clays to occur.
  - f.** Temper the sand-clay mixture slowly, with potable water, to allow for distribution.
  - g.** After about 2 gallons of water have been added allow 30 seconds of mixing then start taking compactability test samples.
  - h.** Based on each test add water incrementally to adjust the temper. Allow 1 minute of mixing. Retest. Repeat until the compactability is in the range 40-45%.
  - i.** Discharge the sand into the mold station elevator.
  - j.** Grab sufficient sample after the final compactability test to fill a quart zip-lock bag. Label bag with the test series and sequence number, date, and time of day and deliver it immediately to the sand lab for analysis
  - k.** Record the total sand mixed in the batch, the total of each type of clay added to the batch, the amount of water added, the total mix time, the final compactability and sand temperature at discharge.
  - l.** The sand will be characterized for Methylene Blue Clay, Moisture content, Compactability, Green Compression strength, 1800°F loss on ignition (LOI), and 900°F volatiles. Each volatile and LOI test requires a separate 50 gram sample from the collected sand.

- m.** Empty the extra greensand from the mold hopper into a clean empty dump hopper whose tare weight is known. Set this sand aside to be used to maintain the recycled batch at 900+/- 10 pounds

**2. Re-mulling: FTCD2, & FTCD5**

- a.** Add to the sand recovered from poured mold FTCD1, or FTCD4 sufficient pre-blended sand so that the sand batch weight is 900 +/- 10 pounds. Record the sand weight.
- b.** Return the sand to the muller and dry blend for about one minute.
- c.** Add the clays, as directed by the process engineering staff, slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass.
- d.** Add 5 pounds of water to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
- e.** Follow the above procedure beginning at E.1.f.

**3. Re-mulling: FTCD3, FTCD6, & FR001-FR0XX**

- a.** Add to the sand recovered from the previous poured mold, mold machine spill sand, the residual mold hopper sand and sufficient pre-blended sand to total 900 +/- 10 pounds.
- b.** Return the sand to the muller and dry blend for about one minute.
- c.** Add the clays, as directed by the process engineering staff, slowly to the muller to allow them to be distributed throughout the sand mass.
- d.** Add 5 pounds of water to the muller to suppress dust distributing it across the sand. Allow to mix for 1 minute.
- e.** Follow the above procedure beginning at E.1.f.

**F. Molding: Step core pattern.**

**1. Pattern preparation:**

- a.** Inspect and tighten all loose pattern and gating pieces.
- b.** Repair any damaged pattern or gating parts.

**2. Making the green sand mold.**

- a.** Mount the drag pattern on one Osborne Whisper Ram molding machine and mount the cope pattern on the other Osborne machine.
- b.** Lightly rub parting oil from a damp oil rag on the pattern particularly in the corners and recesses.

**Caution:** Do not pour gross amounts of parting oil on the pattern to be blown off with air. This practice will leave sufficient oil at the parting line to be adsorbed by the sand weakening it and the burning oil will be detected by the emission samplers.

3. Use the overhead crane to place the pre-weighed drag/cope flask on the mold machine table, parting line surface down.
4. Locate a 24 x 24 x 4 inch deep wood upset on top of the flask.
5. Make the green sand mold cope or drag on the Osborn Whisper Ram Jolt-Squeeze mold machine

**WARNING:** Only properly trained personnel may operate this machine. Proper personal protective equipment must be worn at all times while operating this equipment, including safety glasses with side shields and a properly fitting hard hat. Industrial type boots are highly recommended.

**WARNING:** Stand clear of the mold machine table and swinging head during the following operation or serious injury or death could result.

- a. Open the air supply to the mold machine.

**WARNING:** The squeeze head may suddenly swing to the outboard side or forward. Do not stand in the outer corners of the molding enclosure.

- b. On the operator's panel turn the POWER switch to ON.
- c. Turn the RAM-JOLT-SQUEEZE switch to ON.
- d. Turn the DRAW UP switch to AUTO
- e. Set the PRE-JOLT timer to 4-5 seconds.
- f. Set the squeeze timer to 8 seconds.
- g. Set the crow-footed gagger on the support bar. Verify that it is at least ½ inch away from any pattern parts.
- h. Manually riddle a half to one inch or so of sand on the pattern using a ¼ inch mesh riddle. Source the sand from the overhead mold sand hopper by actuating the CHATTER GATE valve located under the operators panel.
- i. Fill the center portion of the flask.
- j. Manually move sand from the center portion to the outboard areas and hand tuck the sand.
- k. Finish filling the 24 x 24 x 10 inch flask and the upset with greensand from the overhead molding hopper.
- l. Manually level the sand in the upset. By experience manually adjust the sand depth so that the resulting compacted mold is fractionally above the flask only height.
- m. Initiate the settling of the sand in the flask by pressing the PRE-JOLT push button. Allow this cycle to stop before proceeding.
- n. Remove the upset and set it aside.

**WARNING:** Failure to stand clear of the molding table and flasks in the following operations could result in serious injury as this equipment is about to move up and down with great force.

**WARNING:** Stand clear of the entire mold machine during the following operations. Several of the machine parts will be moving. Failure to stand clear could result in severe injury even death.

- o.** Using both hands initiate the automatic machine sequence by simultaneously pressing, holding for 2-3 seconds, and releasing the green push buttons on either side of the operators panel. The machine will squeeze and jolt the sand in the flask and then move the squeeze head to the side.

**WARNING:** Do not re-approach the machine until the squeeze head has stopped at the side of the machine.

- p.** Screed the bottom of the drag mold flat to the bottom of the flask if required.
  - q.** Press and release the LOWER DRAW/STOP push button to separate the flask and mold from the pattern.
  - r.** Use the overhead crane to lift the mold half and remove it from the machine. If the mold half is a drag, roll it parting line side up, set it on the floor, blow it out.
  - s.** Finally, press and release the DRAW DOWN pushbutton to cause the draw frame to return to the start position.
- 6.** Set four (4) step cores that have been weighed and logged into the drag. Verify that the cores are fully set and flush with the parting line.
- 7.** Close the cope over the drag being careful not to crush anything.
- 8.** Clamp the flask halves together.
- 9.** Weigh and record the weight of the closed un-poured mold, the pre-weighed flask, the coated cores, and the sand weight by difference.
- 10.** Measure and record the sand temperature.
- 11.** Deliver the mold to the previously cleaned shakeout to be poured.
- 12.** Cover the mold with the emission hood.

#### **G.** Pig molds

- 1.** Each day make a 900 pound capacity pig mold for the following day's use.

#### **H.** Emission hood:

- 1.** Loading.
  - a.** Hoist the mold onto the shakeout deck within the emission hood.
  - b.** Close, seal, and lock the emission hood
  - c.** Adjust the ambient air heater control so that the measured temperature of the blended air within the hood is 85-90 oF at the start of the test run.
- 2.** Shakeout.
  - a.** After the 45 minute cooling time prescribed in the emission sample plan has elapsed turn on the shakeout unit and run for it the 15 minutes prescribed in the emission sample plan or until the sand has all fallen through the grating.
  - b.** Turn off the shakeout.
  - c.** Sample the emissions for 30 minutes after the start of shakeout, a total of 75 minutes.

3. When the emission sampling is completed remove the flask with casting, and recover the sand from the hopper and surrounding floor.
  - a. Weigh and record the metal poured and the total sand weight recovered and rejoined with the left over mold sand from the molding hopper, spilled molding sand, and sand loosely adhered to the casting.
  - b. Add sufficient unused premixed sand to the recycled sand to return the sand heap to 900 +/- 10 pounds.

**I. Melting:**

1. Initial iron charge:
  - a. Charge the furnace according to the heat recipe.
  - b. Place part of the steel scrap on the bottom, followed by carbon alloys, and the balance of the steel.
  - c. Place a pig on top on top.
  - d. Bring the furnace contents to the point of beginning to melt over a period of 1 hour at reduced power.
  - e. Add the balance of the metallics under full power until all is melted and the temperature has reached 2600 to 2700°F.
  - f. Slag the furnace and add the balance of the alloys.
  - g. Raise the temperature of the melt to 2700°F and take a DataCast 2000 sample. The temperature of the primary liquidus (TPL) must be in the range of 2200-2350°F.
  - h. Hold the furnace at 2500-2550°F until near ready to tap.
  - i. When ready to tap raise the temperature to 2700°F and slag the furnace.
  - j. Record all metallic and alloy additions to the furnace, tap temperature, and pour temperature. Record all furnace activities with an associated time.
2. Back charging.
  - a. Back charge the furnace according to the heat recipe,
  - b. Charge a few pieces of steel first to make a splash barrier, followed by the carbon alloys.
  - c. Follow the above steps beginning with I.1.e
3. Emptying the furnace.
  - a. Pig the extra metal only after the last emission measurement is complete to avoid contaminating the air sample.
  - b. Cover the empty furnace with ceramic blanket to cool.

**J. Pouring:**

1. Preheat the ladle.

- a. Tap 400 pounds more or less of 2700°F iron into the cold ladle.
- b. Carefully pour the metal back to the furnace.
- c. Cover the ladle.
- d. Reheat the metal to 2780 +/- 20°F.
- e. Tap 450 pounds of iron into the ladle while pouring inoculating alloys onto the metal stream near its base.
- f. Cover the ladle to conserve heat.
- g. Move the ladle to the pour position and wait until the metal temperature reaches 2630 +/- 10°F.
- h. Commence pouring keeping the sprue full.
- i. Upon completion return the extra metal to the furnace, and cover the ladle.
- j. Record the pour temperature and pour time on the heat log

**K. Rank order evaluation.**

1. The supervisor shall select a group of five persons to make a collective subjective judgment of the casting relative surface appearance.
2. Review the general appearance of the castings and select specific casting features to compare.
3. For cavity 3 only:
  - a. Place each casting initially in sequential mold number order.
  - b. Beginning with casting from mold FT001 compare it to castings from mold FT002.
  - c. Place the better appearing casting in the first position and the lesser appearing casting in the second position.
  - d. Repeat this procedure with FT001 to its nearest neighbors until all castings closer to the beginning of the line are better appearing than FT001 and the next casting farther down the line is inferior.
  - e. Repeat this comparison to next neighbors for each casting number.
  - f. When all casting numbers have been compared go to the beginning of the line and begin again comparing each casting to its nearest neighbor. Move the castings so that each casting is inferior to the next one closer to the beginning of the line and superior to the one next toward the tail of the line.
  - g. Repeat this comparison until all concur with the ranking order.
4. Record mold number by rank-order series for this cavity.

Steven M. Knight  
Mgr. Process Engineering

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
3/29/2004											FT CONDITIONING - RUN 1
FT CR-1											
THC		X									

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
3/29/2004											FT CONDITIONING - RUN 2
FT CR-2											
THC		X									

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
3/30/2004											FT CONDITIONING - RUN 3
FT CR-3											
THC		X									



**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
<b>3/30/2004</b>											
RUN 1											
THC	FT001	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00101		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18 MS	FT00102		1						60	2	Carbopak charcoal
M-18 MS	FT00103			1					60	3	Carbopak charcoal
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00104		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00105				1				0		Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00106		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 1500	FT00107				1				0		100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	6	Excess
NIOSH 2002	FT00108		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 2002	FT00109				1				0		100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FT00110		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
TO11	FT00111				1				0		DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
<b>3/30/2004</b>											
RUN 2											
THC	FT002	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00201		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18	FT00202			1					60	2	Carbopak charcoal
M-18	FT00203				1				0		Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00204		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00205		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 1500	FT00206			1					1000	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 2002	FT00207		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 2002	FT00208			1					1000	8	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
TO11	FT00209		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
TO11	FT00210			1					1000	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
3/31/2004											
RUN 3											
THC	FT003	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00301		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18	FT00302					1			60	1	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00303		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00304		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	6	Excess
NIOSH 2002	FT00305		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FT00306		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
3/31/2004											
RUN 4											
THC	FT004	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00401		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00402		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00403		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	6	Excess
NIOSH 2002	FT00404		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FT00405		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/6/2004											FT CONDITIONING - RUN 4
FT CR-4											
THC		X									

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/6/2004											FT CONDITIONING - RUN 5
FT CR-5											
THC		X									

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/6/2004											FT CONDITIONING - RUN 6
FT CR-6											
THC		X									

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/7/2004											
RUN 5											
THC	FT005	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00501		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18	FT00502			1					60	2	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00503		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00504		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 1500	FT00505			1					1000	6	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
NIOSH 2002	FT00506		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
NIOSH 2002	FT00507			1					1000	8	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
TO11	FT00508		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
TO11	FT00509			1					1000	10	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/7/2004											
RUN 6											
THC	FT006	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00601		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18	FT00602					1			60	1	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00603		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00604		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	6	Excess
NIOSH 2002	FT00605		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FT00606		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/8/2004											
RUN 7											
THC	FT007	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00701		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
M-18 MS	FT00702		1						60	2	Carbopak charcoal
M-18 MS	FT00703			1					60	3	Carbopak charcoal
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00704		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00705		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	6	Excess
NIOSH 2002	FT00706		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FT00707		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

**PRE-PRODUCTION FT - SERIES SAMPLE PLAN**

Method	Sample #	Data	Sample	Duplicate	Blank	Breakthrough	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Flow (ml/min)	Train Channel	Comments
4/8/2004											
RUN 8											
THC	FT008	X									TOTAL
M-18	FT00801		1						60	1	Carbopak charcoal
	Excess								60	2	Excess
	Excess								60	3	Excess
Gas, CO, CO2	FT00802		1						60	4	Tedlar Bag
NIOSH 1500	FT00803		1						1000	5	100/50 mg Charcoal (SKC 226-01)
	Excess								1000	6	Excess
NIOSH 2002	FT00804		1						1000	7	100/50 mg Silica Gel (SKC 226-10)
	Excess								1000	8	Excess
TO11	FT00805		1						1000	9	DNPH Silica Gel (SKC 226-119)
	Excess								1000	10	Excess
	Excess								1000	11	Excess
	Moisture		1						500	12	TOTAL
	Excess								5000	13	Excess

*this page intentionally left blank*

<b>APPENDIX B    TEST SERIES FT DETAILED EMISSION RESULTS</b>
---

*this page intentionally left blank*



**Test Plan FR Individual Baseline Emission Test Results – Lb/Lb Binder**

HAPs	POMs	Compound/Sample Number	FR001	FR002	FR003	FR004	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	1/15/04	1/15/04	1/16/04	1/16/04		
		TGOC as Propane	1.55E-01	1.41E-01	1.57E-01	1.39E-01	1.48E-01	9.38E-03
		HC as Hexane	4.88E-02	5.06E-02	5.04E-02	4.30E-02	4.82E-02	3.54E-03
		Sum of VOCs	5.77E-02	5.84E-02	6.13E-02	I	5.91E-02	1.92E-03
		Sum of HAPs	5.37E-02	5.44E-02	5.82E-02	I	5.54E-02	2.44E-03
		Sum of POMs	1.47E-02	1.71E-02	1.88E-02	I	1.69E-02	2.06E-03
		<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>						
x		Benzene	1.93E-02	1.45E-02	1.85E-02	I	1.74E-02	2.60E-03
x		Phenol	1.02E-02	1.25E-02	1.11E-02	I	1.13E-02	1.18E-03
x	z	Naphthalene	5.89E-03	6.21E-03	6.50E-03	I	6.20E-03	3.04E-04
x	z	2-Methylnaphthalene	4.72E-03	5.70E-03	6.26E-03	I	5.56E-03	7.77E-04
x	z	1-Methylnaphthalene	2.48E-03	3.02E-03	3.30E-03	I	2.94E-03	4.17E-04
x		Toluene	2.75E-03	2.29E-03	2.66E-03	I	2.57E-03	2.48E-04
x		o-Cresol	2.18E-03	2.67E-03	2.56E-03	I	2.47E-03	2.52E-04
x		Aniline	1.80E-03	2.26E-03	1.88E-03	2.34E-03	2.07E-03	2.73E-04
x	z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	7.16E-04	9.11E-04	1.02E-03	I	8.84E-04	1.56E-04
x		m,p-Xylene	8.81E-04	7.83E-04	8.23E-04	I	8.29E-04	4.93E-05
x		Acetaldehyde	4.97E-04	5.86E-04	5.20E-04	5.81E-04	5.46E-04	4.43E-05
x		m,p-Cresol	4.20E-04	5.58E-04	5.33E-04	I	5.04E-04	7.35E-05
x	z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.88E-04	3.65E-04	4.14E-04	I	3.55E-04	6.35E-05
x	z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.56E-04	3.27E-04	3.72E-04	I	3.18E-04	5.86E-05
x	z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.56E-04	3.27E-04	3.72E-04	I	3.18E-04	5.86E-05
x		Styrene	1.64E-04	4.94E-04	1.56E-04	I	2.71E-04	1.93E-04
x		o-Xylene	1.97E-04	1.83E-04	1.81E-04	I	1.87E-04	8.82E-06
x	z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.24E-04	1.65E-04	1.84E-04	I	1.58E-04	3.04E-05
x		Formaldehyde	1.61E-04	1.12E-04	1.15E-04	1.10E-04	1.24E-04	2.46E-05
x	z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	5.29E-05	3.15E-04	I	1.23E-04	1.69E-04
x		Biphenyl	9.52E-05	1.20E-04	1.43E-04	I	1.19E-04	2.39E-05
x		Ethylbenzene	1.11E-04	1.27E-04	1.08E-04	I	1.15E-04	9.96E-06
x		Hexane	8.21E-05	9.70E-05	9.69E-05	I	9.20E-05	8.58E-06
x		Propionaldehyde	3.85E-05	3.63E-05	3.51E-05	3.85E-05	3.71E-05	1.73E-06
x		2-Butanone	3.94E-05	4.46E-05	3.45E-05	2.61E-05	3.61E-05	7.85E-06
x	z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	9.79E-05	I	3.26E-05	5.65E-05
x	z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x	z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x	z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x		Dimethylaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		Acrolein	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.04E-03	8.72E-04	7.74E-04	I	8.94E-04	1.33E-04
		Indene	4.72E-04	4.18E-04	4.23E-04	I	4.38E-04	2.95E-05
		Dodecane	4.12E-04	4.40E-04	2.68E-04	I	3.73E-04	9.24E-05
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	4.16E-04	3.56E-04	3.17E-04	I	3.63E-04	5.03E-05
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	2.19E-04	2.95E-04	2.76E-04	I	2.64E-04	3.94E-05
		3-Ethyltoluene	2.86E-04	2.58E-04	2.22E-04	I	2.55E-04	3.22E-05

**Test Plan FR Individual Baseline Emission Test Results – Lb/Lb Binder**

HAPs	POMs	Compound/Sample Number	FR001	FR002	FR003	FR004	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	1/15/04	1/15/04	1/16/04	1/16/04		
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	3.95E-04	3.25E-04	ND	I	2.40E-04	2.11E-04
		Undecane	1.89E-04	2.54E-04	2.08E-04	I	2.17E-04	3.34E-05
		2-Ethyltoluene	1.99E-04	1.98E-04	1.97E-04	I	1.98E-04	1.13E-06
		Propylbenzene	1.44E-04	1.85E-04	1.42E-04	I	1.57E-04	2.40E-05
		Tetradecane	1.19E-04	1.47E-04	1.74E-04	I	1.47E-04	2.79E-05
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	9.17E-05	6.72E-05	5.53E-05	6.35E-05	6.94E-05	1.56E-05
		Benzaldehyde	4.86E-05	4.04E-05	3.04E-05	3.34E-05	3.82E-05	8.13E-06
		Heptane	ND	1.06E-04	ND	I	3.54E-05	6.14E-05
		Cyclohexane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Decane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Indan	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Nonane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Octane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Hexaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Pentanal	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other Analytes</b>						
		Acetone	2.78E-04	3.43E-04	3.00E-04	2.87E-04	3.02E-04	2.87E-05
		Carbon Dioxide	2.97E+00	NA	3.13E+00	2.89E+00	3.00E+00	1.22E-01
		Methane	3.52E-03	NA	6.18E-03	5.62E-03	5.11E-03	1.40E-03
		Carbon Monoxide	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Ethane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Propane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Isobutane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Butane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Neopentane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Isopentane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Pentane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.

**Test Plan FT Individual Veino Emission Test Results – Lb/Lb Binder**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT001	FT002	FT003	FT004	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	3/30/04	3/30/04	3/31/04	3/31/04		
		TGOC as Propane	1.07E-01	1.18E-01	1.08E-01	1.14E-01	1.12E-01	4.92E-03
		HC as Hexane	3.02E-02	3.69E-02	2.99E-02	3.41E-02	3.28E-02	3.36E-03
		Sum of VOCs	4.38E-02	5.20E-02	I	3.99E-02	4.52E-02	6.17E-03
		Sum of HAPs	4.12E-02	4.88E-02	I	3.75E-02	4.25E-02	5.74E-03
		Sum of POMs	1.12E-02	1.44E-02	I	1.02E-02	1.19E-02	2.21E-03
		<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>						
x		Benzene	1.24E-02	1.57E-02	I	1.34E-02	1.38E-02	1.71E-03
x		Phenol	8.97E-03	9.04E-03	I	6.76E-03	8.26E-03	1.30E-03
x	z	2-Methylnaphthalene	3.81E-03	4.90E-03	I	3.45E-03	4.05E-03	7.54E-04
x	z	Naphthalene	3.74E-03	4.64E-03	I	3.25E-03	3.88E-03	7.02E-04
x		Toluene	2.27E-03	2.77E-03	I	2.17E-03	2.40E-03	3.24E-04
x	z	1-Methylnaphthalene	2.07E-03	2.70E-03	I	1.92E-03	2.23E-03	4.12E-04
x		o-Cresol	2.01E-03	2.19E-03	I	1.71E-03	1.97E-03	2.42E-04
x		Aniline	1.54E-03	1.23E-03	9.20E-04	9.18E-04	1.15E-03	2.98E-04
x		m,p-Xylene	8.46E-04	1.06E-03	I	7.27E-04	8.77E-04	1.67E-04
x	z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	6.38E-04	8.34E-04	I	5.97E-04	6.90E-04	1.26E-04
x		Acetaldehyde	5.99E-04	6.83E-04	5.89E-04	5.84E-04	6.14E-04	4.66E-05
x		m,p-Cresol	4.79E-04	4.79E-04	I	3.80E-04	4.46E-04	5.70E-05
x	z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.45E-04	3.45E-04	I	2.54E-04	2.81E-04	5.53E-05
x	z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.20E-04	2.94E-04	I	2.07E-04	2.40E-04	4.70E-05
x	z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.20E-04	2.94E-04	I	2.07E-04	2.40E-04	4.70E-05
x		o-Xylene	2.17E-04	2.71E-04	I	1.77E-04	2.22E-04	4.71E-05
x	z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.88E-04	2.60E-04	I	1.72E-04	2.06E-04	4.73E-05
x		Formaldehyde	1.56E-04	2.07E-04	1.42E-04	1.41E-04	1.61E-04	3.09E-05
x		Ethylbenzene	1.42E-04	1.82E-04	I	1.15E-04	1.46E-04	3.38E-05
x		Hexane	1.35E-04	1.85E-04	I	1.03E-04	1.41E-04	4.16E-05
x	z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.18E-04	1.56E-04	I	1.08E-04	1.27E-04	2.50E-05
x		Styrene	9.70E-05	1.34E-04	I	8.76E-05	1.06E-04	2.47E-05
x		2-Butanone	5.00E-05	6.45E-05	5.98E-05	5.70E-05	5.79E-05	6.07E-06
x		Propionaldehyde	3.95E-05	4.89E-05	4.05E-05	4.14E-05	4.26E-05	4.32E-06
x		Biphenyl	ND	1.04E-04	I	ND	3.47E-05	6.01E-05
x	z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x	z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x	z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x	z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x		Dimethylaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		Acrolein	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		Octane	1.06E-03	1.24E-03	I	9.62E-04	1.09E-03	1.39E-04
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	4.77E-04	5.97E-04	I	3.97E-04	4.90E-04	1.01E-04
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	2.39E-04	2.22E-04	I	2.03E-04	2.21E-04	1.81E-05
		3-Ethyltoluene	1.69E-04	2.11E-04	I	1.36E-04	1.72E-04	3.76E-05
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.61E-04	1.76E-04	I	1.16E-04	1.51E-04	3.14E-05
		Dodecane	1.54E-04	1.69E-04	I	1.13E-04	1.45E-04	2.90E-05

**Test Plan FT Individual Veino Emission Test Results – Lb/Lb Binder**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT001	FT002	FT003	FT004	Average	STDEV
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		Tetradecane	1.03E-04	1.34E-04	I	I	1.18E-04	2.23E-05
		2-Ethyltoluene	1.11E-04	1.33E-04	I	9.31E-05	1.12E-04	1.99E-05
		Indene	ND	9.91E-05	I	1.57E-04	8.52E-05	7.92E-05
		Heptane	ND	1.09E-04	I	1.40E-04	8.28E-05	7.33E-05
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	5.71E-05	6.54E-05	5.77E-05	5.84E-05	5.96E-05	3.89E-06
		Undecane	2.62E-05	2.56E-05	I	I	2.59E-05	4.04E-07
		Benzaldehyde	2.14E-05	2.49E-05	2.35E-05	2.18E-05	2.29E-05	1.62E-06
		Pentanal	ND	1.89E-05	ND	ND	4.73E-06	9.45E-06
		Cyclohexane	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Decane	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Indan	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Nonane	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Propylbenzene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Hexaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other Analytes</b>						
		Acetone	2.88E-04	3.41E-04	3.23E-04	3.01E-04	3.13E-04	2.36E-05
		Carbon Dioxide	7.95E-01	8.03E-01	8.84E-01	6.82E-01	7.91E-01	8.28E-02
		Carbon Monoxide	2.81E-01	2.85E-01	3.02E-01	3.23E-01	2.98E-01	1.94E-02

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.

**Test Plan FT Individual Macor Emission Test Results – Lb/Lb Binder**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT005	FT006	FT007	FT008	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	4/7/04	4/7/04	4/8/04	4/8/04		
		TGOC as Propane	1.48E-01	1.53E-01	1.27E-01	1.58E-01	1.47E-01	1.35E-02
		HC as Hexane	4.68E-02	4.12E-02	3.47E-02	4.38E-02	4.16E-02	5.15E-03
		Sum of VOCs	4.54E-02	5.10E-02	4.42E-02	5.82E-02	4.97E-02	6.42E-03
		Sum of HAPs	4.11E-02	4.62E-02	4.02E-02	5.38E-02	4.53E-02	6.24E-03
		Sum of POMs	1.42E-02	1.52E-02	1.28E-02	1.72E-02	1.48E-02	1.85E-03
		<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>						
x		Benzene	1.14E-02	1.26E-02	1.17E-02	1.49E-02	1.27E-02	1.56E-03
x		Phenol	6.60E-03	8.47E-03	6.95E-03	1.03E-02	8.09E-03	1.71E-03
x	z	2-Methylnaphthalene	4.88E-03	5.17E-03	4.35E-03	5.93E-03	5.08E-03	6.62E-04
x	z	Naphthalene	4.59E-03	4.89E-03	4.03E-03	5.27E-03	4.69E-03	5.23E-04
x		Toluene	2.95E-03	3.19E-03	2.95E-03	3.70E-03	3.20E-03	3.56E-04
x	z	1-Methylnaphthalene	2.77E-03	2.90E-03	2.50E-03	3.35E-03	2.88E-03	3.57E-04
x		o-Cresol	1.27E-03	1.96E-03	1.59E-03	2.52E-03	1.84E-03	5.39E-04
x		Acetaldehyde	1.92E-03	1.75E-03	1.60E-03	1.88E-03	1.79E-03	1.43E-04
x		m,p-Xylene	1.02E-03	1.11E-03	9.88E-04	1.21E-03	1.08E-03	9.93E-05
x	z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	8.18E-04	8.73E-04	7.57E-04	1.03E-03	8.68E-04	1.15E-04
x		Hexane	3.48E-04	3.02E-04	3.94E-04	4.59E-04	3.76E-04	6.72E-05
x	z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	3.49E-04	3.62E-04	3.15E-04	4.01E-04	3.57E-04	3.55E-05
x		m,p-Cresol	2.46E-04	3.52E-04	2.47E-04	4.05E-04	3.12E-04	7.89E-05
x	z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.92E-04	2.99E-04	2.51E-04	3.53E-04	2.74E-04	6.86E-05
x	z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.92E-04	2.99E-04	2.51E-04	3.53E-04	2.74E-04	6.86E-05
x		Formaldehyde	2.68E-04	2.89E-04	2.37E-04	2.77E-04	2.68E-04	2.24E-05
x	z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.35E-04	2.62E-04	2.11E-04	3.02E-04	2.52E-04	3.91E-05
x		o-Xylene	2.12E-04	2.42E-04	2.14E-04	2.57E-04	2.31E-04	2.18E-05
x		Ethylbenzene	1.57E-04	1.91E-04	1.58E-04	2.02E-04	1.77E-04	2.33E-05
x		Styrene	1.39E-04	1.73E-04	1.47E-04	2.01E-04	1.65E-04	2.82E-05
x		Propionaldehyde	1.67E-04	1.83E-04	1.40E-04	1.68E-04	1.64E-04	1.78E-05
x	z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.46E-04	1.50E-04	1.27E-04	1.81E-04	1.51E-04	2.25E-05
x		2-Butanone	2.19E-04	2.04E-04	ND	ND	1.06E-04	1.22E-04
x		Biphenyl	ND	ND	ND	1.16E-04	2.91E-05	5.82E-05
x		Aniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x	z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x	z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x	z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x	z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		Dimethylaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		Acrolein	ND	I	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		Octane	1.09E-03	1.14E-03	1.07E-03	1.32E-03	1.15E-03	1.15E-04
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	9.46E-04	1.01E-03	8.12E-04	9.70E-04	9.34E-04	8.47E-05
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	2.57E-04	3.49E-04	2.97E-04	I	3.01E-04	4.60E-05
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	3.53E-04	3.36E-04	2.39E-04	2.69E-04	2.99E-04	5.44E-05
		Dodecane	2.58E-04	2.68E-04	2.03E-04	2.53E-04	2.46E-04	2.89E-05
		3-Ethyltoluene	2.37E-04	2.70E-04	2.14E-04	2.53E-04	2.44E-04	2.38E-05

**Test Plan FT Individual Macor Emission Test Results – Lb/Lb Binder**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT005	FT006	FT007	FT008	Average	STDEV
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		Heptane	1.67E-04	1.25E-04	2.03E-04	2.66E-04	1.90E-04	5.99E-05
		Indene	ND	ND	2.84E-04	3.54E-04	1.60E-04	1.86E-04
		2-Ethyltoluene	1.65E-04	1.73E-04	1.30E-04	1.60E-04	1.57E-04	1.91E-05
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	3.06E-04	3.14E-04	ND	ND	1.55E-04	1.79E-04
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	2.15E-04	1.67E-04	2.06E-04	1.47E-04	1.00E-04
		Tetradecane	1.21E-04	1.34E-04	1.17E-04	1.50E-04	1.30E-04	1.49E-05
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	1.76E-04	1.86E-04	1.37E-04	ND	1.25E-04	8.59E-05
		Benzaldehyde	1.11E-04	1.11E-04	9.07E-05	1.35E-04	1.12E-04	1.81E-05
		Undecane	5.75E-05	5.16E-05	3.45E-05	3.77E-05	4.53E-05	1.10E-05
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	6.64E-05	ND	7.30E-05	3.48E-05	4.03E-05
		Pentanal	2.56E-05	2.45E-05	2.02E-05	ND	1.76E-05	1.20E-05
		Cyclohexane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Decane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Indan	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Nonane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Propylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Hexaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		<b>Other Analytes</b>						
		Acetone	9.13E-04	8.47E-04	7.56E-04	8.45E-04	8.40E-04	6.45E-05
		Carbon Dioxide	5.77E-01	5.47E-01	5.58E-01	5.02E-01	5.46E-01	3.17E-02
		Carbon Monoxide	4.28E-01	3.76E-01	3.70E-01	3.77E-01	3.88E-01	2.68E-02

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.

**Test Plan FR Individual Baseline Emission Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FR001	FR002	FR003	FR004	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	1/15/04	1/15/04	1/16/04	1/16/04		
		TGOC as Propane	9.52E-01	9.14E-01	9.71E-01	8.66E-01	9.26E-01	4.67E-02
		HC as Hexane	2.99E-01	3.28E-01	3.12E-01	2.68E-01	3.02E-01	2.53E-02
		Sum of VOCs	3.54E-01	3.78E-01	3.80E-01	I	3.71E-01	1.47E-02
		Sum of HAPs	3.29E-01	3.52E-01	3.61E-01	I	3.47E-01	1.65E-02
		Sum of POMs	9.03E-02	1.11E-01	1.17E-01	I	1.06E-01	1.38E-02
		<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>						
x		Benzene	1.18E-01	9.36E-02	1.14E-01	I	1.09E-01	1.33E-02
x		Phenol	6.24E-02	8.11E-02	6.86E-02	I	7.07E-02	9.53E-03
x	z	Naphthalene	3.61E-02	4.02E-02	4.03E-02	I	3.89E-02	2.39E-03
x	z	2-Methylnaphthalene	2.90E-02	3.69E-02	3.88E-02	I	3.49E-02	5.22E-03
x	z	1-Methylnaphthalene	1.52E-02	1.95E-02	2.05E-02	I	1.84E-02	2.80E-03
x		Toluene	1.69E-02	1.48E-02	1.65E-02	I	1.61E-02	1.10E-03
x		o-Cresol	1.34E-02	1.73E-02	1.58E-02	I	1.55E-02	1.96E-03
x		Aniline	1.10E-02	1.47E-02	1.16E-02	1.46E-02	1.30E-02	1.93E-03
x	z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	4.39E-03	5.90E-03	6.35E-03	I	5.55E-03	1.03E-03
x		m,p-Xylene	5.40E-03	5.07E-03	5.10E-03	I	5.19E-03	1.82E-04
x		Acetaldehyde	3.04E-03	3.79E-03	3.22E-03	3.62E-03	3.42E-03	3.46E-04
x		m,p-Cresol	2.58E-03	3.62E-03	3.30E-03	I	3.16E-03	5.34E-04
x	z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.76E-03	2.36E-03	2.56E-03	I	2.23E-03	4.16E-04
x	z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.57E-03	2.12E-03	2.30E-03	I	2.00E-03	3.83E-04
x	z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.57E-03	2.12E-03	2.30E-03	I	2.00E-03	3.83E-04
x		Styrene	1.01E-03	3.20E-03	9.67E-04	I	1.72E-03	1.28E-03
x		o-Xylene	1.21E-03	1.18E-03	1.12E-03	I	1.17E-03	4.46E-05
x	z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	7.61E-04	1.07E-03	1.14E-03	I	9.89E-04	2.01E-04
x		Formaldehyde	9.87E-04	7.23E-04	7.13E-04	6.84E-04	7.77E-04	1.41E-04
x	z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	3.43E-04	1.95E-03	I	7.64E-04	1.04E-03
x		Biphenyl	5.84E-04	7.78E-04	8.87E-04	I	7.50E-04	1.53E-04
x		Ethylbenzene	6.81E-04	8.20E-04	6.69E-04	I	7.23E-04	8.39E-05
x		Hexane	5.03E-04	6.28E-04	6.01E-04	I	5.77E-04	6.57E-05
x		Propionaldehyde	2.36E-04	2.35E-04	2.17E-04	2.40E-04	2.32E-04	1.01E-05
x		2-Butanone	2.41E-04	2.89E-04	2.13E-04	1.63E-04	2.27E-04	5.27E-05
x	z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	6.06E-04	I	2.02E-04	3.50E-04
x	z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x	z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x	z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x		Acrolein	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		N,N-Dimethylaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	6.35E-03	5.65E-03	4.79E-03	I	5.60E-03	7.81E-04
		Indene	2.89E-03	2.71E-03	2.62E-03	I	2.74E-03	1.38E-04
		Dodecane	2.52E-03	2.85E-03	1.66E-03	I	2.34E-03	6.16E-04
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	2.55E-03	2.31E-03	1.96E-03	I	2.27E-03	2.97E-04
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	1.34E-03	1.91E-03	1.71E-03	I	1.66E-03	2.87E-04
		3-Ethyltoluene	1.75E-03	1.67E-03	1.37E-03	I	1.60E-03	1.99E-04

**Test Plan FR Individual Baseline Emission Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FR001	FR002	FR003	FR004	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	1/15/04	1/15/04	1/16/04	1/16/04		
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	2.42E-03	2.11E-03	ND	I	1.51E-03	1.32E-03
		Undecane	1.16E-03	1.64E-03	1.29E-03	I	1.36E-03	2.52E-04
		2-Ethyltoluene	1.22E-03	1.28E-03	1.22E-03	I	1.24E-03	3.66E-05
		n-Propylbenzene	8.81E-04	1.20E-03	8.81E-04	I	9.86E-04	1.81E-04
		Tetradecane	7.27E-04	9.50E-04	1.08E-03	I	9.19E-04	1.79E-04
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	5.61E-04	4.35E-04	3.43E-04	3.95E-04	4.34E-04	9.31E-05
		Benzaldehyde	2.98E-04	2.62E-04	1.88E-04	2.08E-04	2.39E-04	5.01E-05
		Heptane	ND	6.89E-04	ND	I	2.30E-04	3.98E-04
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Cyclohexane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Decane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Indan	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Nonane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Octane	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Hexaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Pentanal	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other Analytes</b>						
		Acetone	1.70E-03	2.22E-03	1.86E-03	1.79E-03	1.89E-03	2.27E-04
		Carbon Dioxide	1.82E+01	NA	1.94E+01	1.80E+01	1.85E+01	7.38E-01
		Methane	2.16E-02	NA	3.83E-02	3.50E-02	3.16E-02	8.87E-03
		Carbon Monoxide	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Ethane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Propane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Isobutane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Butane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Neopentane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Isopentane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Pentane	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.



**Test Plan FT Individual Veino Emission Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT001	FT002	FT003	FT004	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	3/30/04	3/30/04	3/31/04	3/31/04		
		TGOC as Propane	6.81E-01	7.58E-01	6.86E-01	7.21E-01	7.12E-01	3.54E-02
		HC as Hexane	1.92E-01	2.38E-01	1.90E-01	2.15E-01	2.09E-01	2.27E-02
		Sum of VOCs	2.79E-01	3.35E-01	I	2.59E-01	2.91E-01	3.96E-02
		Sum of HAPs	2.62E-01	3.14E-01	I	2.43E-01	2.73E-01	3.70E-02
		Sum of POMs	7.16E-02	9.29E-02	I	6.43E-02	7.63E-02	1.48E-02
		<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>						
x		Benzene	7.90E-02	1.01E-01	I	8.47E-02	8.84E-02	1.16E-02
x		Phenol	5.72E-02	5.83E-02	I	4.28E-02	5.27E-02	8.65E-03
x	z	2-Methylnaphthalene	2.42E-02	3.16E-02	I	2.18E-02	2.59E-02	5.07E-03
x	z	Naphthalene	2.38E-02	2.99E-02	I	2.06E-02	2.48E-02	4.72E-03
x		Toluene	1.44E-02	1.79E-02	I	1.37E-02	1.53E-02	2.21E-03
x	z	1-Methylnaphthalene	1.32E-02	1.74E-02	I	1.22E-02	1.43E-02	2.77E-03
x		o-Cresol	1.29E-02	1.41E-02	I	1.08E-02	1.26E-02	1.66E-03
x		Acetaldehyde	3.82E-03	4.40E-03	3.73E-03	7.82E-03	4.94E-03	1.94E-03
x		Aniline	9.82E-03	7.91E-03	5.83E-03	5.81E-03	7.34E-03	1.93E-03
x		m,p-Xylene	5.39E-03	6.81E-03	I	4.60E-03	5.60E-03	1.12E-03
x	z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	4.06E-03	5.37E-03	I	3.78E-03	4.40E-03	8.50E-04
x		m,p-Cresol	3.05E-03	3.09E-03	I	2.40E-03	2.85E-03	3.83E-04
x	z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.56E-03	2.22E-03	I	1.60E-03	1.80E-03	3.70E-04
x	z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.40E-03	1.89E-03	I	1.31E-03	1.53E-03	3.15E-04
x	z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.40E-03	1.89E-03	I	1.31E-03	1.53E-03	3.15E-04
x		Formaldehyde	9.94E-04	1.33E-03	8.99E-04	1.28E-03	1.13E-03	2.12E-04
x		o-Xylene	1.38E-03	1.75E-03	I	1.12E-03	1.42E-03	3.14E-04
x	z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.19E-03	1.68E-03	I	1.09E-03	1.32E-03	3.15E-04
x		2-Butanone	3.19E-04	4.16E-04	3.79E-04	8.55E-04	4.92E-04	2.45E-04
x		Ethylbenzene	9.03E-04	1.17E-03	I	7.28E-04	9.35E-04	2.25E-04
x	z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	7.49E-04	1.00E-03	I	6.86E-04	8.12E-04	1.68E-04
x		Hexane	8.61E-04	1.19E-03	I	6.50E-04	9.02E-04	2.75E-04
x		Propionaldehyde	2.51E-04	3.15E-04	2.56E-04	6.47E-04	3.68E-04	1.89E-04
x		Styrene	6.18E-04	8.65E-04	I	5.54E-04	6.79E-04	1.64E-04
x		Biphenyl	ND	6.71E-04	I	ND	2.24E-04	3.87E-04
x	z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x	z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x	z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x	z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
x		Acrolein	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		N,N-Dimethylaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		Tetradecane	6.54E-04	8.64E-04	I	I	7.59E-04	1.49E-04
		Undecane	1.67E-04	1.65E-04	I	I	1.66E-04	1.21E-06
		Octane	6.77E-03	7.97E-03	I	6.08E-03	6.94E-03	9.52E-04
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	3.04E-03	3.84E-03	I	2.51E-03	3.13E-03	6.72E-04
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	1.52E-03	1.43E-03	I	1.28E-03	1.41E-03	1.20E-04
		Indene	ND	6.39E-04	I	9.90E-04	5.43E-04	5.02E-04

**Test Plan FT Individual Veino Emission Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT001	FT002	FT003	FT004	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	3/30/04	3/30/04	3/31/04	3/31/04		
		Other VOCs						
		Heptane	ND	7.02E-04	I	8.83E-04	5.28E-04	4.66E-04
		3-Ethyltoluene	1.08E-03	1.36E-03	I	8.59E-04	1.10E-03	2.50E-04
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.03E-03	1.14E-03	I	7.34E-04	9.66E-04	2.08E-04
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolen	3.64E-04	4.21E-04	3.65E-04	7.33E-04	4.71E-04	1.77E-04
		Dodecane	9.80E-04	1.09E-03	I	7.16E-04	9.29E-04	1.92E-04
		2-Ethyltoluene	7.07E-04	8.56E-04	I	5.89E-04	7.17E-04	1.34E-04
		Benzaldehyde	1.36E-04	1.60E-04	1.49E-04	4.19E-04	2.16E-04	1.36E-04
		Pentanal	ND	1.22E-04	ND	8.08E-05	5.06E-05	6.08E-05
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Cyclohexane	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Decane	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Indan	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Nonane	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		n-Propylbenzene	ND	ND	I	ND	ND	NA
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Hexaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Other Analytes						
		Acetone	1.83E-03	2.20E-03	2.04E-03	3.77E-03	2.46E-03	8.86E-04
		Carbon Dioxide	5.07E+00	5.17E+00	5.59E+00	4.32E+00	5.04E+00	5.32E-01
		Carbon Monoxide	1.79E+00	1.83E+00	1.91E+00	2.04E+00	1.89E+00	1.12E-01

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.

**Test Plan FT Individual Macor Emission Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT005	FT006	FT007	FT008	Average	STDEV
		<b>Test Dates</b>	<b>4/7/04</b>	<b>4/7/04</b>	<b>4/8/04</b>	<b>4/8/04</b>		
		TGOC as Propane	9.27E-01	9.28E-01	8.26E-01	9.90E-01	9.18E-01	6.82E-02
		HC as Hexane	2.93E-01	2.49E-01	2.25E-01	2.75E-01	2.61E-01	2.97E-02
		Sum of VOCs	2.84E-01	3.08E-01	2.87E-01	3.65E-01	3.11E-01	3.77E-02
		Sum of HAPs	2.58E-01	2.79E-01	2.60E-01	3.37E-01	2.84E-01	3.70E-02
		Sum of POMs	8.87E-02	9.20E-02	8.29E-02	1.08E-01	9.28E-02	1.06E-02
		<b>Individual Organic HAPs</b>						
x		Benzene	7.16E-02	7.60E-02	7.62E-02	9.33E-02	7.93E-02	9.59E-03
x		Phenol	4.14E-02	5.13E-02	4.51E-02	6.49E-02	5.06E-02	1.03E-02
x	z	2-Methylnaphthalene	3.05E-02	3.13E-02	2.82E-02	3.72E-02	3.18E-02	3.83E-03
x	z	Naphthalene	2.87E-02	2.96E-02	2.61E-02	3.30E-02	2.94E-02	2.85E-03
x		Toluene	1.85E-02	1.93E-02	1.91E-02	2.32E-02	2.00E-02	2.16E-03
x	z	1-Methylnaphthalene	1.74E-02	1.76E-02	1.62E-02	2.10E-02	1.80E-02	2.08E-03
x		o-Cresol	7.93E-03	1.19E-02	1.03E-02	1.58E-02	1.15E-02	3.31E-03
x		Acetaldehyde	1.21E-02	1.06E-02	1.04E-02	1.18E-02	1.12E-02	8.51E-04
x		m,p-Xylene	6.41E-03	6.73E-03	6.41E-03	7.59E-03	6.78E-03	5.58E-04
x	z	1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.13E-03	5.28E-03	4.91E-03	6.43E-03	5.44E-03	6.80E-04
x		Hexane	2.18E-03	1.83E-03	2.56E-03	2.88E-03	2.36E-03	4.57E-04
x	z	1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	2.18E-03	2.19E-03	2.04E-03	2.51E-03	2.23E-03	1.99E-04
x		m,p-Cresol	1.54E-03	2.13E-03	1.60E-03	2.54E-03	1.95E-03	4.70E-04
x	z	2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.20E-03	1.81E-03	1.63E-03	2.21E-03	1.71E-03	4.19E-04
x	z	2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.20E-03	1.81E-03	1.63E-03	2.21E-03	1.71E-03	4.19E-04
x		Formaldehyde	1.68E-03	1.75E-03	1.53E-03	1.74E-03	1.68E-03	9.85E-05
x	z	2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.47E-03	1.58E-03	1.37E-03	1.89E-03	1.58E-03	2.28E-04
x		o-Xylene	1.33E-03	1.47E-03	1.39E-03	1.61E-03	1.45E-03	1.21E-04
x		Ethylbenzene	9.80E-04	1.15E-03	1.02E-03	1.27E-03	1.11E-03	1.31E-04
x		Styrene	8.69E-04	1.04E-03	9.56E-04	1.26E-03	1.03E-03	1.69E-04
x		Propionaldehyde	1.06E-03	1.11E-03	9.09E-04	1.05E-03	1.03E-03	8.54E-05
x	z	1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.16E-04	9.05E-04	8.23E-04	1.14E-03	9.45E-04	1.34E-04
x		2-Butanone	1.39E-03	1.24E-03	ND	ND	6.57E-04	7.62E-04
x		Biphenyl	ND	ND	ND	7.30E-04	1.83E-04	3.65E-04
x		Aniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x	z	1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
x	z	1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x	z	2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x	z	Acenaphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		Acrolein	ND	I	ND	ND	ND	NA
x		N,N-Dimethylaniline	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		<b>Other VOCs</b>						
		Octane	6.80E-03	6.90E-03	6.96E-03	8.29E-03	7.24E-03	7.03E-04
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	5.92E-03	6.09E-03	5.27E-03	6.08E-03	5.84E-03	3.90E-04
		2,6-Dimethylphenol	1.61E-03	2.11E-03	1.92E-03	I	1.88E-03	2.53E-04
		1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	2.21E-03	2.04E-03	1.55E-03	1.69E-03	1.87E-03	3.07E-04
		Dodecane	1.61E-03	1.62E-03	1.32E-03	1.59E-03	1.54E-03	1.46E-04
		3-Ethyltoluene	1.48E-03	1.64E-03	1.39E-03	1.59E-03	1.52E-03	1.09E-04

**Test Plan FT Individual Macor Emission Test Results – Lb/Tn Metal**

HAPs	POMs	COMPOUND / SAMPLE NUMBER	FT005	FT006	FT007	FT008	Average	STDEV
		Test Dates	4/7/04	4/7/04	4/8/04	4/8/04		
		Other VOCs						
		Heptane	1.05E-03	7.54E-04	1.32E-03	1.67E-03	1.20E-03	3.90E-04
		Indene	ND	ND	1.84E-03	2.22E-03	1.02E-03	1.18E-03
		2-Ethyltoluene	1.03E-03	1.05E-03	8.41E-04	1.00E-03	9.81E-04	9.56E-05
		1,3-Diethylbenzene	1.92E-03	1.90E-03	ND	ND	9.54E-04	1.10E-03
		Crotonaldehyde	ND	1.30E-03	1.08E-03	1.29E-03	9.19E-04	6.21E-04
		Tetradecane	7.57E-04	8.12E-04	7.56E-04	9.39E-04	8.16E-04	8.58E-05
		Butyraldehyde/Methacrolen	1.11E-03	1.13E-03	8.90E-04	ND	7.82E-04	5.32E-04
		Benzaldehyde	6.96E-04	6.74E-04	5.88E-04	8.47E-04	7.01E-04	1.08E-04
		Undecane	3.60E-04	3.12E-04	2.24E-04	2.36E-04	2.83E-04	6.46E-05
		o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	ND	4.01E-04	ND	4.58E-04	2.15E-04	2.49E-04
		Pentanal	1.59E-04	1.48E-04	1.31E-04	ND	1.10E-04	7.40E-05
		1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Cyclohexane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Decane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Indan	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Nonane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		n-Propylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
		Hexaldehyde	ND	ND	ND	I	ND	NA
		Other Analytes						
		Acetone	5.80E-03	5.13E-03	4.90E-03	5.30E-03	5.28E-03	3.82E-04
		Carbon Dioxide	5.68E+00	5.20E+00	5.68E+00	4.95E+00	5.38E+00	3.65E-01
		Carbon Monoxide	2.68E+00	2.28E+00	2.40E+00	2.37E+00	2.43E+00	1.74E-01

I: Data rejected based on data validation considerations.

ND: Non Detect; NA: Not Applicable

All "Other Analytes" are not included in the Sum of VOCs or HAPs.

**Test FR Quantitation Limits – Lb/Lb Binder**

Analytes	Lb/Lb Binder
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.87E-05
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.87E-05
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.87E-05
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.87E-05
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.87E-05
2-Ethyltoluene	1.87E-05
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.87E-05
Benzene	1.87E-05
Ethylbenzene	1.87E-05
Hexane	1.87E-05
m,p-Xylene	1.87E-05
Naphthalene	1.87E-05
o-Xylene	1.87E-05
Styrene	1.87E-05
Toluene	1.87E-05
Undecane	1.87E-05
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
1,3-Diethylbenzene	9.33E-05
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
2,4-Dimethylphenol	9.33E-05

Analytes	Lb/Lb Binder
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
2,6-Dimethylphenol	9.33E-05
2,7- Dimethylnaphthalene	9.33E-05
3-Ethyltoluene	9.33E-05
Acenaphthalene	9.33E-05
Biphenyl	9.33E-05
Cyclohexane	9.33E-05
Decane	9.33E-05
Dodecane	9.33E-05
Heptane	9.33E-05
Indan	9.33E-05
Indene	9.33E-05
m,p-Cresol	9.33E-05
Nonane	9.33E-05
o-Cresol	9.33E-05
Octane	9.33E-05
Phenol	9.33E-05
Propylbenzene	9.33E-05
Tetradecane	9.33E-05
HC as Hexane	5.65E-04
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.67E-05
Acetaldehyde	1.67E-05
Acetone	1.67E-05
Acrolein	1.67E-05

Analytes	Lb/Lb Binder
Benzaldehyde	1.67E-05
Butyraldehyde	1.67E-05
Crotonaldehyde	1.67E-05
Formaldehyde	1.67E-05
Hexaldehyde	1.67E-05
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	2.78E-05
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	4.45E-05
Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	1.67E-05
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	1.67E-05
Aniline	1.12E-04
Dimethylaniline	1.12E-04
Carbon Monoxide	4.40E-02
Methane	2.51E-03
Carbon Dioxide	6.91E-02
Ethane	4.71E-02
Propane	6.91E-02
Isobutane	9.11E-02
Butane	9.11E-02
Neopentane	1.13E-01
Isopentane	1.13E-01
Pentane	1.13E-01

**Test FR Quantitation Limits – Lb/Tn Metal**

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.17E-04
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.17E-04
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.17E-04
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.17E-04
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.17E-04
2-Ethyltoluene	1.17E-04
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.17E-04
Benzene	1.17E-04
Ethylbenzene	1.17E-04
Hexane	1.17E-04
m,p-Xylene	1.17E-04
Naphthalene	1.17E-04
o-Xylene	1.17E-04
Styrene	1.17E-04
Toluene	1.17E-04
Undecane	1.17E-04
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
1,3-Diethylbenzene	5.85E-04
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.85E-04

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
2,6-Dimethylphenol	5.85E-04
2,7- Dimethylnaphthalene	5.85E-04
3-Ethyltoluene	5.85E-04
Acenaphthalene	5.85E-04
Biphenyl	5.85E-04
Cyclohexane	5.85E-04
Decane	5.85E-04
Dodecane	5.85E-04
Heptane	5.85E-04
Indan	5.85E-04
Indene	5.85E-04
m,p-Cresol	5.85E-04
Nonane	5.85E-04
o-Cresol	5.85E-04
Octane	5.85E-04
Phenol	5.85E-04
Propylbenzene	5.85E-04
Tetradecane	5.85E-04
HC as Hexane	3.54E-03
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.05E-04
Acetaldehyde	1.05E-04
Acetone	1.05E-04
Acrolein	1.05E-04

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal
Benzaldehyde	1.05E-04
Butyraldehyde	1.05E-04
Crotonaldehyde	1.05E-04
Formaldehyde	1.05E-04
Hexaldehyde	1.05E-04
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	1.74E-04
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	2.79E-04
Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	1.05E-04
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	1.05E-04
Aniline	7.04E-04
Dimethylaniline	7.04E-04
Carbon Monoxide	2.76E-01
Methane	1.58E-02
Carbon Dioxide	4.33E-01
Ethane	4.18E-02
Propane	4.33E-01
Isobutane	5.71E-01
Butane	5.71E-01
Neopentane	7.09E-01
Isopentane	7.09E-01
Pentane	7.09E-01

Test FT Quantitation Limits – Lb/Lb Binder

Analytes	Lb/Lb Binder
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.88E-05
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.88E-05
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.88E-05
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.88E-05
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.88E-05
2-Ethyltoluene	1.88E-05
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.88E-05
Benzene	1.88E-05
Ethylbenzene	1.88E-05
Hexane	1.88E-05
m,p-Xylene	1.88E-05
Naphthalene	1.88E-05
o-Xylene	1.88E-05
Styrene	1.88E-05
Toluene	1.88E-05
Undecane	1.88E-05
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
1,3-Diethylbenzene	9.39E-05
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
2,4-Dimethylphenol	9.39E-05

Analytes	Lb/Lb Binder
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
2,6-Dimethylphenol	9.39E-05
2,7-Dimethylnaphthalene	9.39E-05
3-Ethyltoluene	9.39E-05
Acenaphthalene	9.39E-05
Biphenyl	9.39E-05
Cyclohexane	9.39E-05
Decane	9.39E-05
Dodecane	9.39E-05
Heptane	9.39E-05
Indan	9.39E-05
Indene	9.39E-05
m,p-Cresol	9.39E-05
Nonane	9.39E-05
o-Cresol	9.39E-05
Octane	9.39E-05
Phenol	9.39E-05
Propylbenzene	9.39E-05
Tetradecane	9.39E-05
HC as Hexane	4.74E-04
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.75E-05
Acetaldehyde	1.75E-05
Acetone	1.75E-05
Acrolein	1.75E-05

Analytes	Lb/Lb Binder
Benzaldehyde	1.75E-05
Butyraldehyde	1.75E-05
Crotonaldehyde	1.75E-05
Formaldehyde	1.75E-05
Hexaldehyde	1.75E-05
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	2.91E-05
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	4.65E-05
Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	1.75E-05
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	1.75E-05
Aniline	1.13E-04
Dimethylaniline	1.13E-04
Carbon Monoxide	4.45E-03
Carbon Dioxide	6.99E-03

### Test FT Quantitation Limits – Lb/Tn Metal

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	1.19E-04
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.19E-04
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.19E-04
1,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	1.19E-04
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.19E-04
2-Ethyltoluene	1.19E-04
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.19E-04
Benzene	1.19E-04
Ethylbenzene	1.19E-04
Hexane	1.19E-04
m,p-Xylene	1.19E-04
Naphthalene	1.19E-04
o-Xylene	1.19E-04
Styrene	1.19E-04
Toluene	1.19E-04
Undecane	1.19E-04
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04
1,3-Diethylbenzene	5.97E-04
1,5-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04
1,8-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04
2,3-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.97E-04
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04
2,6-Dimethylphenol	5.97E-04
2,7- Dimethylnaphthalene	5.97E-04
3-Ethyltoluene	5.97E-04
Acenaphthalene	5.97E-04
Biphenyl	5.97E-04
Cyclohexane	5.97E-04
Decane	5.97E-04
Dodecane	5.97E-04
Heptane	5.97E-04
Indan	5.97E-04
Indene	5.97E-04
m,p-Cresol	5.97E-04
Nonane	5.97E-04
o-Cresol	5.97E-04
Octane	5.97E-04
Phenol	5.97E-04
Propylbenzene	5.97E-04

Analytes	Lb/Tn Metal
Tetradecane	5.97E-04
HC as Hexane	3.01E-03
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.11E-04
Acetaldehyde	1.11E-04
Acetone	1.11E-04
Acrolein	1.11E-04
Benzaldehyde	1.11E-04
Butyraldehyde	1.11E-04
Crotonaldehyde	1.11E-04
Formaldehyde	1.11E-04
Hexaldehyde	1.11E-04
Butyraldehyde/Methacrolein	1.85E-04
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	2.96E-04
Pentanal (Valeraldehyde)	1.11E-04
Propionaldehyde (Propanal)	1.11E-04
Aniline	7.19E-04
Dimethylaniline	7.19E-04
Carbon Monoxide	2.83E-02
Carbon Dioxide	4.44E-02



<b>APPENDIX C    TEST SERIES FT DETAILED PROCESS DATA</b>
---

*this page intentionally left blank*

### Test FT Detailed Process Data


Greensand PCS										
Test Dates	3/30/2004	3/30/2004	3/31/2004	3/31/2004	Averages	4/7/2004	4/7/2004	4/8/2004	4/8/2004	Averages
Emissions Sample #	FT01	FT02	FT03	FT04		FT05	FT06	FT07	FT08	
Production Sample #	FT04	FT05	FT06	FT07		FT011	FT012	FT013	FT014	
Core Additive	Veino					Macor				
Cast Weight (all metal inside mold), Lbs.	110.55	108.95	109.30	110.35	109.8	110.15	113.70	107.60	110.65	110.5
Pouring Time, sec.	17	17	20	22	19	22	16	22	25	21
Pouring Temp ,°F	2634	2627	2627	2628	2629	2636	2636	2627	2635	2634
Pour Hood Process Air Temp at Start of Pour, °F	88	91	90	86	89	87	87	86	90	88
Mixer auto dispensed batch weight, Lbs	44.90	44.90	44.90	44.90	44.90	44.91	44.91	44.91	44.91	44.91
Calibrated auto dispensed binder weight, Lbs	0.625	0.625	0.625	0.625	0.625	0.627	0.627	0.627	0.627	0.627
Core binder calibrated weight, %BOS	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
Core binder calibrated weight, %	1.37	1.37	1.37	1.37	1.37	1.38	1.38	1.38	1.38	1.38
Total uncoated core weight in mold, Lbs.	25.62	25.55	25.23	25.43	25.46	25.07	25.01	25.33	25.20	25.15
Total binder weight in mold, Lbs.	0.352	0.351	0.346	0.349	0.350	0.345	0.344	0.349	0.347	0.346
Core LOI, %	1.22	1.26	1.31	1.30	1.27	2.21	2.22	2.22	2.22	2.22
Core dogbone tensile, psi	30	30	30	30	30	31	31	31	31	31
Core age, hrs.	85	100	118	124	107	46	49	71	74	60
Muller Batch Weight, Lbs.	900	900	900	900	900	900	900	900	900	900
GS Mold Sand Weight, Lbs.	603	607	615	611	609	614	602	621	624	615
Mold compactability, %	50	51	52	51	51	54	55	48	51	52
Mold Temperature, °F	73	74	68	76	73	65	72	71	77	71
Average Green Compression , psi	18.69	14.20	16.52	11.09	15.13	16.76	17.19	17.58	18.18	17.43
GS Compactability, %	44	36	35	38	38	45	43	39	39	42
GS Moisture Content, %	1.93	1.55	1.78	2.10	1.84	2.22	2.14	2.15	1.86	2.09
GS MB Clay Content, %	8.00	8.00	8.13	8.25	8.10	7.88	7.63	7.50	7.50	7.63
MB Clay reagent, ml	32.0	32.0	32.5	33.0	32.4	31.5	30.5	30.0	30.0	30.5
1800°F LOI - Mold Sand, %	1.17	1.16	0.91	0.88	1.03	0.82	1.22	0.79	1.13	0.99
900°F Volatiles , %	0.56	0.60	0.32	0.28	0.44	0.22	0.58	0.42	0.64	0.47
Appearance within group B:best, M:median, W: worst	MedBest	Best	MedWorst	Worst		MedBest	Best	MedWorst	Worst	
Overall appearance ranking: 1 = best, 8 = worst	5	4	6	7		2	1	3	8	

Note: These casting overall looked superior to the FR castings

**Best Appearing Casting from Mold FR 003 - Baseline**



**Median Appearing Casting from Mold FR 001 – Baseline**



**Worst Appearing Casting from Mold FR 004 – Baseline**





**Best Appearing Casting from Mold FT002 – Veino**



**Median Appearing Casting from Mold FT001 – Veino**



**Worst Appearing Casting from Mold FT004 – Veino**



**Best Appearing Casting from Mold FT006 – Macor**



**Median Appearing Casting from Mold FT005 – Macor**



**Worst Appearing Casting from Mold FT008 – Macor**







APPENDIX D    METHOD 25A CHARTS
---------------------------------

*this page intentionally left blank*


**FR001 011504 TGOC ppm as Propane (75 Minute Run)**




**FR003 011604 TGOC ppm as Propane (75 Minute Run)**




**FR002 011504 TGOC ppm as Propane (75 Minute Run)**




**FR004 011604 TGOC ppm as Propane (75 Minute Run)**




**FT001 Run 033004-2 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane  
(75 Minute Run)**




**FT003 Run 033104-1 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane  
(75 Minute Run)**




**FT002 Run 033004-3 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane  
(75 Minute Run)**




**FT004 Run 033104-2 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane  
(75 Minute Run)**




**FT005 Run 040704-1 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane  
(75 Minute Run)**




**FT007 Run 040804-1 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane  
(75 Minute Run)**



**FT006 Run 040704-2 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane  
(75 Minute Run)**



**FT008 run 040804-2 E-Bench THC ppm as Propane (75  
Minute Run)**



Cliff –  
Should the charts for CO & CO<sup>2</sup> be included here?  
Carmen

*this page intentionally left blank*

APPENDIX E   GLOSSARY
-----------------------

*this page intentionally left blank*



## Glossary

<b>BO</b>	Based on ( ).
<b>BOS</b>	Based on Sand.
<b>HAP</b>	Hazardous Air Pollutant defined by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment
<b>HC as Hexane</b>	Calculated by the summation of all area between elution of Hexane through the elution of Hexadecane. The quantity of HC is performed against a five-point calibration curve of Hexane by dividing the total area count from C6 through C16 to the area of Hexane from the initial calibration curve.
<b>I</b>	Data rejected based on data validation considerations
<b>NA</b>	Not Applicable
<b>ND</b>	Non-Detect
<b>NT</b>	Lab testing was not done
<b>POM</b>	Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM) including Naphthalene and other compounds that contain more than one benzene ring and have a boiling point greater than or equal to 100 degrees Celsius.
<b>TGOC as Propane</b>	Weighted to the detection of more volatile hydrocarbon species, beginning at C1 (methane), with results calibrated against a three-carbon alkane (propane).
<b>VOC</b>	Volatile Organic Compound